

Bi-orthogonal structures and averages of derivatives of
characteristic polynomials over the classical groups
 $USp(2N)$, $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$

N.S. Witte,
P. Forrester (Uni. Melbourne) & R. Gharakhloo (IUPUI)

Institute of Fundamental Sciences
Massey University
New Zealand

February 22, 2018

Tight Frames and Approximation Theory, Taipa
February 20-23, 2018

- ▶ Moments of L -functions, of their derivatives, arising in number theory [KS 2001], [CRS 2006]
- ▶ Solution of the third Painlevé equation [FW 2006]
- ▶ Bi-orthogonal Polynomial Systems on Unit-Circle + von Mises weight
- ▶ averages over the groups $USp(2N)$, $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$ [ABP... 2014]
- ▶ New Bi-orthogonal Polynomial Systems on Unit-Circle
- ▶ Muttalib-Borodin ensembles [Mut 1995], [Bor 1999]

- ▶ Conrey and Ghosh 1998 reformulated an earlier conjecture that the $2k$ -th moment of $|\zeta(1/2 + it)|$ should grow like

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^{2k} dt \sim \frac{a(k)g(k)}{k^2!} (\log T)^{k^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad T \rightarrow \infty$$

$a(k)$ is an “arithmetic factor”

$g(k)$ is an integer

- ▶ Conjecture by Keating and Snaith, *Random matrix theory and $|\zeta(1/2 + it)|$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **214**, 57-89 (2000)

$$\frac{g(k)}{k^2!} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{j!}{(j+k)!}$$

- ▶ How? Average of characteristic polynomial $|\det(U - e^{i\theta}I)|$ raised to the $2k$ -th power over the Unitary group $U(N)$: **CUE_N**

$$\mathbb{E}_{U(N)} |\det(U - e^{i\theta}I)|^{2k} = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{j!(j+2k)!}{((j+k)!)^2}, \quad \text{by Selberg's Integral}$$

Identification of the rank N

$$N \rightarrow \frac{\log T}{2\pi}$$

Arithmetic factor

$$a(k) = \prod_{p, \text{primes}} (1 - p^{-1})^{k^2} {}_2F_1(k, k; 1; p^{-1})$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; t)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function

B. Conrey, D. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M. Rubinstein and N. Snaith, *Integral moments of L-functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **91**, 33-104 (2005)

B. Conrey, D. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M. Rubinstein and N. Snaith, *Lower order terms in the full moment conjecture for the Riemann zeta function*, J. Num. Theory, **128**, 1516-1554 (2008).

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^{2k} dt \sim \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_k \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} \right) dt$$

where $P_k(\cdot)$ is an explicit polynomial of degree k^2 with leading coefficient $a(k)g(k)/k^2!$.

Moments of the derivative of $\zeta(1/2 + it)$

With A a Haar distributed element of the unitary group $U(N)$, and $e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}$ its eigenvalues, let

$$\Lambda_A(s) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - se^{-i\theta_j}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_A(s) = e^{-\pi i N/2} e^{i \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n/2} s^{-N/2} \Lambda_A(s),$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}_A(e^{i\theta})$ is real for θ real.

Two results from Conrey, Rubinstein and Snaith [2006] are

$$\langle |\Lambda'_A(1)|^{2k} \rangle_{A \in U(N)} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} b_k N^{k^2 + 2k},$$

where

$$b_k = (-1)^{k(k+1)/2} \sum_{h=0}^k \binom{k}{h} (k+h)! [x^{k+h}] \left(e^{-x} x^{-k^2/2} \det[I_{\alpha+\beta-1}(2\sqrt{x})]_{\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,k} \right),$$

and

$$\langle |\mathcal{Z}'_A(1)|^{2k} \rangle_{A \in U(N)} \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} b'_k N^{k^2 + 2k},$$

where

$$b'_k = (-1)^{k(k+1)/2} (2k)! [x^{2k}] \left(e^{-x/2} x^{-k^2/2} \det[I_{\alpha+\beta-1}(2\sqrt{x})]_{\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,k} \right).$$

In the above the notation $[x^p]f(x)$ denotes the coefficient of x^p in $f(x)$.

This determinant can be identified in terms of \tilde{E}_2^{HE} - a *tau*-function
 We had shown in a previous study [FW 2002] (see section 4.3, in particular Eq. (4.31))
 that for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$\tilde{E}_2^{\text{HE}}(s; a, \mu; \xi = 1) = A(a, \mu) \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^{a\mu} e^{-s/4} \det[I_{\mu+\alpha-\beta}(\sqrt{s})]_{\alpha, \beta=1, \dots, a}.$$

where

$$A(a, \mu) = a! \prod_{j=1}^a \frac{(j + \mu - 1)!}{j!}.$$

Interchanging row β by row $a - \beta + 1$ ($\beta = 1, \dots, a$ in order) we see from this that

$$b_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{A(k, k)} \sum_{h=0}^k \binom{k}{h} (k+h)! [x^{k+h}] \tilde{E}_2^{\text{HE}}(4x; k, k; \xi = 1)$$

$$b'_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{A(k, k)} (2k)! [x^{2k}] (e^{x/2} \tilde{E}_2^{\text{HE}}(4x; k, k; \xi = 1))$$

According to [FW 2002], [Okamoto 1987]

$$\tilde{E}_2^{\text{HE}}(4x; k, k; \xi = 1) = \exp\left(-\int_0^{4x} \frac{ds}{s} (\sigma_{\text{III}'}(s) + k^2)\right),$$

where $\sigma_{\text{III}'}(s)$ satisfies the particular σ -Painlevé III' equation

$$(s\sigma_{\text{III}'}'')^2 + \sigma_{\text{III}'}'(4\sigma_{\text{III}'}' - 1)(\sigma_{\text{III}'} - s\sigma_{\text{III}'}') - \frac{k^2}{16} = 0, \quad (*)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$\sigma_{\text{III}'}(s) \underset{s \rightarrow 0}{\sim} -k^2 + \frac{s}{8} + O(s^2), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Substituting

$$\sigma_{\text{III}'}(s) = \eta(s) + \frac{s}{8},$$

(*) reads

$$(s\eta'')^2 + 4((\eta')^2 - \frac{1}{64})(\eta - s\eta') - \frac{k^2}{4^2} = 0.$$

We see immediately that $\eta(s)$ can be expanded in an even function of s about $s = 0$,

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n s^{2n}, \quad c_0 = -k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition

The coefficients c_1, c_2, \dots can be computed by

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{64(4k^2 - 1)},$$

while for $p \geq 2$ by the recurrence relation

$$c_p = \frac{1}{2c_1 p(2p-1) + (2p-1)/64 - 8pk^2 c_1} \\ \times \left(4k^2 \sum_{l=1}^{p-2} (l+1)(p-l)c_{l+1}c_{p-l} - \sum_{l=1}^{p-2} (l+1)(p-l)(2l+1)(2p-2l-1)c_{l+1}c_{p-l} - 4 \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} (1-2l)c_l A_{p-l-1} \right),$$

where

$$A_q = \sum_{l=0}^q (l+1)(q-l+1)c_{l+1}c_{q-l+1}.$$

Thus the 16th member of the sequences are

$$b_{16} = \frac{307 \cdot 23581 \cdot 92867 \cdot 760550281759}{2^{272} \cdot 3^{130} \cdot 5^{66} \cdot 7^{42} \cdot 11^{24} \cdot 13^{21} \cdot 17^{16} \cdot 19^{14} \cdot 23^{10} \cdot 29^6 \cdot 31^5 \cdot 37^3 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61}$$

$$b'_{16} = \frac{4148297603 \cdot 7623077808870586151748455369217213506671334530597}{2^{264} \cdot 3^{133} \cdot 5^{66} \cdot 7^{42} \cdot 11^{25} \cdot 13^{21} \cdot 17^{16} \cdot 19^{14} \cdot 23^{11} \cdot 29^7 \cdot 31^6 \cdot 37^3 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61}$$

What is a random unitary matrix?

Spectral Decomposition for CUE_N , aka Dyson Circular Ensemble

- $N \times N$ Unitary Matrices, $U = (u_{j,k})_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}$, $UU^\dagger = I$
Eigenvalue Analysis $z_1 = e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, z_N = e^{i\theta_N}$,

$$U = VZV^\dagger$$

$$Z = \text{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_N), \text{ Unitary } V = (V_1, \dots, V_N)$$

- Change of variables $\{u_{j,k}\} \mapsto \{z_j, \dots, z_N\}, \{V_1, \dots, V_N\}$
Jacobian gives the Haar measure

$$(dU) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N N!} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |e^{i\theta_k} - e^{i\theta_j}|^2 \wedge_{j=1}^N d\theta_j$$

- Joint Eigenvalue Probability Density Function: Weyl integration formula for class functions, $f(\text{Tr}U, \dots, \text{Tr}U^N)$

$$\mathbb{E}_{U(N)} f := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N N!} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_1 \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_N f(\dots, \sum_{l=1}^N e^{ik\theta_l}, \dots) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |e^{i\theta_k} - e^{i\theta_j}|^2$$

Consider a complex weight function $w(z)$, analytic in the cut complex z -plane. The latter specification means $w(z)$ possesses a Fourier expansion

$$w(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} w_k z^k, \quad w_k = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} w(z) z^{-k},$$

\mathbb{T} denotes the unit circle $|z| = 1$, appropriately deformed so not to cross the cut, and $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

For $\epsilon = 0, \pm 1$ we define the Toeplitz determinants

$$I_N^\epsilon[w] := \det \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} w(z) z^{\epsilon-j+k} \right]_{0 \leq j < k \leq N-1} = \det [w_{-\epsilon+j-k}]_{0 \leq j < k \leq N-1}$$

NB. In certain circumstances the weight is real and positive, $\overline{w(z)} = w(z)$, and thus the Toeplitz matrix is Hermitian, $\bar{w}_k = w_{-k}$, but in general this will not be true.

If $I_N^0[w] \neq 0$ for each $N = 1, 2, \dots$ then there exists a system of bi-orthogonal polynomials $\{\phi_n(z), \bar{\phi}_n(z)\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ with the orthonormality property

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} w(z) \phi_m(z) \bar{\phi}_n(z^{-1}) = \delta_{m,n}$$

Special notation for the various coefficients in $\phi_n(z)$ according to

$$\phi_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + l_n z^{n-1} + m_n z^{n-2} + \dots + \phi_n(0) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_{n,j} z^j$$

WLOG κ_n is chosen to be real and positive.

Define the reciprocal polynomial by

$$\phi_n^*(z) := z^n \bar{\phi}(1/z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{c}_{n,j} z^{n-j}$$

The ratios $r_n = \phi_n(0)/\kappa_n$, $\bar{r}_n = \bar{\phi}_n(0)/\kappa_n$ are *reflection coefficients*, *Verblusky coefficients*

From the Szegő/Geronimus theory these coefficients are related to the above Toeplitz determinants

$$r_N = (-1)^N \frac{I_N^1[w]}{I_N^0[w]}, \quad \bar{r}_N = (-1)^N \frac{I_N^{-1}[w]}{I_N^0[w]}.$$

In the case that $w(z)$ is not real, \bar{r}_N is not the complex conjugate of r_N but rather an independent variable.

Note - normalisation implies that $\kappa_0 = 1$ and thus $r_0 = \bar{r}_0 = 1$.

Knowledge of $\{r_N, \bar{r}_N\}_{N=0,1,\dots}$ is sufficient to compute $\{I_N^0[w]\}_{N=0,1,\dots}$.

$$\frac{I_{N+1}^0[w] I_{N-1}^0[w]}{(I_N^0[w])^2} = 1 - r_N \bar{r}_N.$$

Heine Identity

$$\begin{aligned} I_N^\epsilon[w] &:= \det \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} w(z) z^{\epsilon-j+k} \right]_{0 \leq j < k \leq N-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi iz_1} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz_N}{2\pi iz_N} \prod_{l=1}^N z_l^\epsilon w(z_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |z_k - z_j|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{U(N)} \prod_{l=1}^N z_l^\epsilon w(z_l) \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi iz_1} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dz_N}{2\pi iz_N} \prod_{l=1}^N z_l^\epsilon w(z_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_k - z_j)(z_k^{-1} - z_j^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Further formulae from the Szegő/Geronimus theory.

First, as a consequence of the orthogonality condition we have the mixed linear recurrence relations for ϕ_n and ϕ_n^* ,

$$\kappa_n \phi_{n+1}(z) = \kappa_{n+1} z \phi_n(z) + \phi_{n+1}(0) \phi_n^*(z)$$

$$\kappa_n \phi_{n+1}^*(z) = \kappa_{n+1} \phi_n^*(z) + \bar{\phi}_{n+1}(0) z \phi_n(z)$$

as well as the three-term recurrences

$$\kappa_n \phi_n(0) \phi_{n+1}(z) + \kappa_{n-1} \phi_{n+1}(0) z \phi_{n-1}(z) = \left[\kappa_n \phi_{n+1}(0) + \kappa_{n+1} \phi_n(0) z \right] \phi_n(z)$$

$$\kappa_n \bar{\phi}_n(0) \phi_{n+1}^*(z) + \kappa_{n-1} \bar{\phi}_{n+1}(0) z \phi_{n-1}^*(z) = \left[\kappa_n \bar{\phi}_{n+1}(0) z + \kappa_{n+1} \bar{\phi}_n(0) \right] \phi_n^*(z)$$

[Maroni, Magnus, ... 1985 ->]

Semi-Classical weights defined by

$$\frac{1}{w(z)} \frac{d}{dz} w(z) = \frac{2V(z)}{W(z)}$$

with $V(z)$ and $W(z)$ irreducible polynomials in z .

For non-integer values of μ the generalised *von Mises* weight function

$$w(z) = z^\mu e^{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t}(z+z^{-1})}$$

has a branch point at $z = 0$.

Denoting a Hankel contour by \mathcal{C} , and noting that the integral representation of the Bessel function of pure imaginary argument gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} w(z) = I_\mu(\sqrt{t})$$

we see that

$$I_N^\epsilon[w] = \det[I_{\mu+\epsilon+j-k}(\sqrt{t})]_{j,k=1,\dots,N}$$

For general μ know from [FW 2002]

$$\tau^{\text{III}'}[N](t; \mu) = \det[I_{\mu+j-k}(\sqrt{t})]_{j,k=1,\dots,N}$$

It follows from Adler & van Moerbeke 2002, eq. (0.0.17) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t} v_N (r_{N+1} + r_{N-1}) + N r_N = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t} v_N (\bar{r}_{N+1} + \bar{r}_{N-1}) + N \bar{r}_N = 0$$

where $v_N := 1 - r_N \bar{r}_N$. The initial conditions are:

$$r_0 = \bar{r}_0 = 1, \quad r_1 = -\frac{I_{\mu+1}(\sqrt{t})}{I_{\mu}(\sqrt{t})}, \quad \bar{r}_1 = -\frac{I_{\mu-1}(\sqrt{t})}{I_{\mu}(\sqrt{t})}$$

Discrete Painlevé equation associated with degeneration of the rational surfaces

$$D_6^{(1)} \rightarrow E_7^{(1)} \text{ aka (discrete Painlevé II)}$$

The reflection coefficients also satisfy the coupled 1/1 order recurrences

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t} (r_{N+1} \bar{r}_N + r_N \bar{r}_{N-1}) + N \frac{r_N \bar{r}_N}{1 - r_N \bar{r}_N} - \mu = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t} (\bar{r}_{N+1} r_N + \bar{r}_N r_{N-1}) + N \frac{r_N \bar{r}_N}{1 - r_N \bar{r}_N} + \mu = 0$$

with the same initial conditions.

Altuğ, Bettin, Petrow, Rishikesh and Whitehead (2014): $USp(2N)$, $SO(2N)$ and $O^-(2N)$ averages

- ▶ Instead of the I -Bessel functions have hypergeometric functions,

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(u) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{e^{w + \frac{u}{w^2}}}{w^{m+1}} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+1)} {}_0F_2\left(; \frac{m}{2} + 1, \frac{m+1}{2}; \frac{u}{4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- ▶ The role of the τ -function is played by

$$\mathcal{T}_{k,\ell}(u) := \det_{k \times k} \left(g_{2i-j+\ell}(u) \right),$$

for $k \geq 0$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}$, where, here and in the following, the indices i and j of the matrix in the determinant range from 1 to k .

In the context of the Theorem below ℓ takes values $0, -1, 0$ respectively.

Theorem

As $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$M_k(\mathrm{USp}(2N), 2) = b_k(\mathrm{USp}(2N), 2) \cdot (2N)^{\frac{k^2+5k}{2}} + O(N^{\frac{k^2+3k}{2}})$$

$$M_k(\mathrm{SO}(2N), 2) = b_k(\mathrm{SO}(2N), 2) \cdot (2N)^{\frac{k^2+3k}{2}} + O(N^{\frac{k^2+k}{2}})$$

$$M_k(\mathrm{O}^-(2N), 3) = b_k(\mathrm{O}^-(2N), 3) \cdot (2N)^{\frac{k^2+5k}{2}} + O(N^{\frac{k^2+3k}{2}})$$

where

$$b_k(\mathrm{USp}(2N), 2) = 2^{-\frac{k^2+5k}{2}} \frac{d^k}{du^k} \left(e^u \mathcal{T}_{k,0}(2u) \right) \Big|_{u=0}$$

$$b_k(\mathrm{SO}(2N), 2) = 2^{-\frac{k^2+k}{2}} \frac{d^k}{du^k} \left(e^u \mathcal{T}_{k,-1}(2u) \right) \Big|_{u=0}$$

$$b_k(\mathrm{O}^-(2N), 3) = 3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{k^2+3k}{2}} \frac{d^k}{du^k} \left(e^u \mathcal{T}_{k,0}(2u) \right) \Big|_{u=0}$$

Note that we find above that $b_k(\mathrm{O}^-(2N), 2) = 3 \cdot 2^k \cdot b_k(\mathrm{USp}(2N), 2)$.

We define $m_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \lambda^n \tau(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i \lambda}$ Let D_n be the following determinant :

$$D_n = \det \begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_{-1} & m_{-2} & \cdots & m_{-n+1} \\ m_2 & m_1 & m_0 & \cdots & m_{-n+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{2n-2} & m_{2n-3} & m_{2n-4} & \cdots & m_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \det(m_{2i-j})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$$

In addition define the following *generalized* determinants

$$D_{n,\ell} = \det(m_{2i-j+\ell})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

Two sequences of *monic* polynomials $p_1(z), p_2(z), \dots$ and $q_1(z), q_2(z), \dots$ ($\deg p_k(z) = \deg q_k(z) = k$) with the *bi-orthogonality condition* :

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{d\mu(z)}{2\pi iz} q_n(z^{-2}) p_k(z) = h_n \delta_{kn}$$

where $d\mu(z) \equiv w(z)dz$ for some weight function $w(z)$

Equivalent to the following orthogonality relations, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{d\mu(z)}{2\pi iz} z^{-2k} p_n(z) = h_n \delta_{kn}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{d\mu(z)}{2\pi iz} q_n(z^{-2}) z^k = h_n \delta_{kn}$$

Relation

$$h_n = \frac{D_{n+1}}{D_n}$$

$$p_n(z) := \frac{1}{D_n} \det \begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_{-1} & m_{-2} & \cdots & m_{-n} \\ m_2 & m_1 & m_0 & \cdots & m_{-n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{2n-2} & m_{2n-3} & m_{2n-4} & \cdots & m_{n-2} \\ 1 & z & z^2 & \cdots & z^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Also let us define the polynomials $Q_n(z)$ as

$$q_n(z) := \frac{1}{D_n} \det \begin{pmatrix} m_0 & m_{-1} & m_{-2} & \cdots & m_{-n+1} & 1 \\ m_2 & m_1 & m_0 & \cdots & m_{-n+3} & z \\ m_4 & m_3 & m_2 & \cdots & m_{-n+5} & z^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{2n} & m_{2n-1} & m_{2n-2} & \cdots & m_{n+1} & z^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that

$$p_n(0) = (-1)^n \frac{D_{n,-1}}{D_{n,0}}$$

and

$$q_n(0) = (-1)^n \frac{D_{n,+2}}{D_{n,0}}$$

The Muttalib-Borodin model refers to an eigenvalue PDF proportional to

$$\prod_{l=1}^N w(x_l) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (x_j - x_k)(x_j^\theta - x_k^\theta)$$

where $w(x)$ is real analytic on some support.

Eg: with $w(x) = x^c e^{-x}$ on $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$ this PDF is realised with x_l the squared singular values of a product of θ matrices X_1, \dots, X_θ with $(X_l)_{i,j} \stackrel{d}{\sim} N[0, 1] + iN[0, 1]$.







Konhauser 1965, 1967; Iserles and Nørsett 1989; Claeys and Romano 2014

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}} dx w(x) p_n(x) q_m(x^\theta) = \delta_{m,n} h_n$$

Equilibrium measure problem with potential - see Bueckner 1966; Bierman 1971; Williams 1978; Ioakimidis 1984; Estrada and Kanwal 1987

$$V(x, y) = \log|x - y| + \log|x^\theta - y^\theta|$$

Selected References

-  J. B. Conrey, M. O. Rubinstein, and N. C. Snaith.
Moments of the derivative of characteristic polynomials with an application to the Riemann zeta function.
Comm. Math. Phys., 267(3):611–629, 2006.
-  P. J. Forrester and N. S. Witte.
Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: P_V , P_{III} , the LUE, JUE, and CUE.
Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 55(6):679–727, 2002.
-  P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte.
Boundary conditions associated with the Painlevé III' and V evaluations of some random matrix averages.
J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 39(28):8983–8995, 2006.
-  S. A. Altuğ, S. Bettin, I. Petrow, Rishikesh, and I. Whitehead.
A recursion formula for moments of derivatives of random matrix polynomials.
Q. J. Math., 65(4):1111–1125, 2014.
-  K. A. Muttalib.
Random matrix models with additional interactions.
J. Phys. A, 28(5):L159–L164, 1995.
-  A. Borodin.
Biorthogonal ensembles.
Nucl. Phys. B, 536(3):704–732, 1999.

2019 NZMRI Summer School

The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Random Matrix Theory: Achievements and Challenges since Hurwitz, Wishart and Wigner 6th–11th January 2019, Waikanae



New Zealand Mathematics Research Institute (Inc.)

NZMRI Directors: Vaughan Jones, Marston Conder, Rod Downey, Rod Gover, Stephen Marsland, Gaven Martin and Eamonn O'Brien.

Speakers & Topics:

- ▶ Peter Forrester, University of Melbourne
RMT ensembles, Log-gases and potential theory
- ▶ Iain Johnstone, Stanford University
Principal Components Analysis, sample covariance matrices - null and non-null, spiked population models
- ▶ Jon Keating, Bristol University
Zeta functions and conjectures derived from RMT
- ▶ Craig Tracy, University of California Davis
Asymmetric exclusion processes and Kardar-Parisi-Zhang growth models
- ▶ Alice Guionnet, MIT/ENS Lyon
Universality of random matrices, central limit theorems, large deviations, and large rank limits and asymptotic methods