

# ANY SEMITOPOLOGICAL GROUP THAT IS HOMEOMORPHIC TO A PRODUCT OF ČECH-COMPLETE SPACES IS A TOPOLOGICAL GROUP

WARREN B. MOORS

**Abstract.** A semitopological group (topological group) is a group endowed with a topology for which multiplication is separately continuous (multiplication is jointly continuous and inversion is continuous). In this paper we answer [1, Problem 10.4], by showing that if  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is a semitopological group and  $(G, \tau)$  is homeomorphic to a product of Čech-complete spaces, then  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is a topological group.

---

**AMS (2010) subject classification:** Primary 22A20, 91A44; Secondary 54E18, 54H11, 54H15.

---

**Key words:** Topological group; Semitopological group; Čech-complete space.

---

A *semitopological group* (*topological group*) is a group endowed with a topology for which multiplication is separately continuous (multiplication is jointly continuous and inversion is continuous). Ever since [22] there has been continued interest in determining topological properties of a semitopological group that are sufficient to ensure that it is a topological group. There have been many significant contributions to this area, see [1–9, 12–14, 18, 19, 21–32] to name but a few. Just about all of these results require the semitopological group to be *regular* (i.e., every closed subset and every point not in this set, can be separated by disjoint open sets) and *Baire*, (i.e., the intersection of any countable family of dense open sets is dense) and satisfy some additional completeness properties.

In this paper we answer [1, Problem 10.4], by showing that if  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is a semitopological group such that  $(G, \tau)$  is homeomorphic to a product of Čech-complete spaces, then  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is a topological group. Our approach is based upon topological games.

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $X$ . The  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ -*game* is a two player game. An instance of the  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ -game is a sequence  $(A_n, B_n, b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined inductively in the following way: player  $\beta$  begins by choosing a pair  $(B_1, b_1)$  consisting of a nonempty open subset  $B_1$  of  $X$  and a point  $b_1 \in D$ ; player  $\alpha$  then chooses a nonempty open subset  $A_1$  of  $B_1$ . When  $(A_i, B_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)$ , have been defined, player  $\beta$  chooses a pair  $(B_n, b_n)$  consisting of a nonempty open subset  $B_n$  of  $A_{n-1}$  and a point  $b_n \in A_{n-1} \cap D$ . Player  $\alpha$  then chooses a nonempty open subset  $A_n$  of  $B_n$ . Player  $\alpha$  is declared the *winner* if:

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\{b_k : k \geq n\}} \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \neq \emptyset.$$

---

In celebration of Petar S. Kenderov's 70<sup>th</sup> Birthday

We shall call a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  *nearly strongly Baire* if it is a regular topological space and there exists a dense subset  $D$  of  $X$  such that the player  $\beta$  does **not** have a winning strategy in the  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ -game played on  $X$ .

In this paper we also consider another game. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $a \in X$ , and let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $X$ . The  $\mathcal{G}_p(a, D)$ -game is a two player game. An instance of the  $\mathcal{G}_p(a, D)$ -game is a sequence  $(A_n, b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined inductively in the following way: player  $\beta$  begins by choosing a point  $b_1 \in D$ ; player  $\alpha$  then chooses an open neighbourhood  $A_1$  of  $a$ . When  $(A_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)$ , have been defined, player  $\beta$  chooses a point  $b_n \in A_{n-1} \cap D$ . Player  $\alpha$  then chooses an open neighbourhood  $A_n$  of  $a$ . Player  $\alpha$  is declared the *winner* if the sequence  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a cluster-point in  $X$ . We shall call a point  $a$  a *nearly  $q_D$ -point* if the player  $\alpha$  has a winning strategy in the  $\mathcal{G}_p(a, D)$ -game played on  $X$ . For more information on topological games, see [10].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  be a semitopological group. If  $(G, \tau)$  is nearly strongly Baire then for each pair of open neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $W$  of identity element  $e \in G$  there exists a nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $U$  such that  $V^{-1} \subseteq W \cdot W \cdot W$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose, in order to obtain a contradiction, that there exists a pair of open neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $W$  of  $e \in G$  such that for each nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $U$ ,  $V^{-1} \not\subseteq W \cdot W \cdot W$ . From this it follows that for each nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $U$  and each dense subset  $D'$  of  $V$  there exists a point  $x \in V \cap D'$  such that  $x^{-1} \notin W \cdot W$ , because otherwise,

$$V^{-1} \subseteq (\overline{V \cap D'})^{-1} \subseteq W \cdot (V \cap D')^{-1} \subseteq W \cdot W \cdot W.$$

Recall that for any nonempty subset  $A$  of a semitopological group  $(H, \cdot, \tau)$  and any open neighbourhood  $W$  of the identity element  $e \in H$ ,  $(\overline{A})^{-1} \subseteq W \cdot A^{-1}$ .

Now, let  $D$  be any dense subset of  $G$  such that  $\beta$  does not have a winning strategy in the  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ -game played on  $G$ . We will define a (necessarily non-winning) strategy  $t$  for  $\beta$  in the  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ -game played on  $G$ , but first we set, for notational reasons,  $A_0 := U$  and  $b_0 := e$ .

*Step 1.* Choose  $b_1 \in A_0 \cap D$  so that  $(b_0^{-1} \cdot b_1)^{-1} = b_1^{-1} \notin W \cdot W$ . Then choose  $U_1$  to be any open neighbourhood of  $e$ , contained in  $U \cap W$ , such that  $b_1 \cdot \overline{U_1} \subseteq A_0$ . Then define  $t(\emptyset) := (b_1 \cdot U_1, b_1)$ .

Now, suppose that  $b_j, U_j$  and  $t(A_1, \dots, A_{j-1})$  have been defined for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$  so that:

- (i)  $b_j \in A_{j-1} \cap D$  and  $(b_{j-1}^{-1} \cdot b_j)^{-1} \notin W \cdot W$ ;
- (ii)  $U_j$  is an open neighbourhood of  $e$ , contained in  $U \cap W$ , such that  $b_j \cdot \overline{U_j} \subseteq A_{j-1}$ ;
- (iii)  $t(A_1, \dots, A_{j-1}) := (b_j \cdot U_j, b_j)$ .

*Step  $n+1$ .* Choose  $b_{n+1} \in A_n \cap D$  so that  $(b_n^{-1} \cdot b_{n+1})^{-1} \notin W \cdot W$ . Note that this is possible since  $b_n^{-1} \cdot (A_n \cap D)$  is a dense subset of  $b_n^{-1} \cdot A_n$  and

$$b_n^{-1} \cdot A_n \subseteq b_n^{-1} \cdot (b_n \cdot U_n) = U_n \subseteq U.$$

Then choose  $U_{n+1}$  to be any neighbourhood of  $e$ , contained in  $U \cap W$ , such that  $b_{n+1} \cdot \overline{U_{n+1}} \subseteq A_n$ . Finally, define  $t(A_1, \dots, A_n) := (b_{n+1} \cdot U_{n+1}, b_{n+1})$ . Note that:

- (i)  $b_{n+1} \in A_n \cap D$  and  $(b_n^{-1} \cdot b_{n+1})^{-1} \notin W \cdot W$ ;

(ii)  $U_{n+1}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $e$ , contained in  $U \cap W$ , such that  $b_{n+1} \cdot \overline{U_{n+1}} \subseteq A_n$ ;

(iii)  $t(A_1, \dots, A_n) := (b_{n+1} \cdot U_{n+1}, b_{n+1})$ .

This completes the definition of  $t$ . Since  $t$  is not a winning strategy for  $\beta$  there exists a play  $(A_n, t(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $\alpha$  wins. Let  $b_\infty \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\{b_k : k \geq n\}} \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ . Choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$b_k \in b_\infty \cdot W \subseteq A_{k+1} \cdot W \subseteq b_{k+1} \cdot U_{k+1} \cdot W \subseteq b_{k+1} \cdot W \cdot W.$$

Therefore,  $(b_k^{-1} \cdot b_{k+1})^{-1} = b_{k+1}^{-1} \cdot b_k \in W \cdot W$ . However, this contradicts the way  $b_{k+1}$  was chosen. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be topological spaces. We will say that a function  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is *strongly quasi-continuous, with respect to the second variable*, at  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , if for each neighbourhood  $W$  of  $f(x, y)$  and each product of open sets  $U \times V \subseteq X \times Y$  containing  $(x, y)$  there exists a nonempty open subset  $U' \subseteq U$  and a neighbourhood  $V'$  of  $y$  such that  $f(U' \times V') \subseteq W$ , [25]. Further, a function  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is said to be *separately continuous* on  $X \times Y$  if for each  $x_0 \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y$  the functions  $y \mapsto f(x_0, y)$  and  $x \mapsto f(x, y_0)$  are both continuous on  $Y$  and  $X$  respectively.

Variations of the following result are well-known, see [5, 6, 12, 18, 21].

**Lemma 2** *Let  $X$  be a nearly strongly Baire space,  $Y$  be topological space and  $Z$  a regular space. If  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is a separately continuous function and  $D$  is a dense subset of  $Y$ , then for each nearly  $q_D$ -point  $y_0 \in Y$  the function  $f$  is strongly quasi-continuous, with respect to the second variable, at each point of  $X \times \{y_0\}$ .*

If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$  is a surjection acting between topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \tau')$  then we say that  $f$  is *feebly continuous* on  $X$  if for each nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $Y$ ,  $\text{int}[f^{-1}(V)] \neq \emptyset$ , [9, 15].

**Proposition 1** *Let  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  be a semitopological group. If multiplication,  $(h, g) \mapsto h \cdot g$ , is feebly continuous on  $G \times G$  then for each nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a point  $x$  in  $U$  and an open neighbourhood  $V$  of the identity element  $e \in G$  such that:*

$$x \cdot \underbrace{V \cdot V \cdot V \cdots V}_{n\text{-times}} \subseteq U \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{V \cdot V \cdot V \cdots V}_{n\text{-times}} \cdot x \subseteq U.$$

**Proof:** The proof of this follows from a simple induction argument and the fact that for each  $g \in G$ , both  $\{g \cdot U : U \text{ is a neighbourhood of } e\}$  and  $\{U \cdot g : U \text{ is a neighbourhood of } e\}$  are local bases for  $\tau$  at the point  $g \in G$ .  $\square$

**Remarks 1** *It follows from Proposition 1 that the multiplication operation on a semitopological group  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is feebly continuous on  $G \times G$  if, and only if, it is strongly quasi-continuous, with respect to the second variable, at the point  $(e, e) \in G \times G$ .*

**Lemma 3** *Let  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  be a semitopological group and let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $G$ . If  $(G, \tau)$  is nearly strongly Baire and the identity element  $e \in G$  is a nearly  $q_D$ -point then the multiplication operation,  $(h, g) \mapsto h \cdot g$ , is continuous on  $G \times G$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is a semitopological group it is sufficient to show that multiplication is jointly continuous at  $(e, e)$ . So, in order to obtain a contradiction, we will assume that multiplication is not jointly continuous at  $(e, e)$ . Therefore, by the regularity of  $(G, \tau)$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $e$  so that for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $e$ ,  $U \cdot U \not\subseteq \overline{W}$ . Since  $(G, \tau)$  is a nearly strongly Baire space there exists a dense subset  $D_G$  of  $G$  such that  $\beta$  does not possess a winning strategy in the  $\mathcal{G}(D_G)$ -game played on  $G$ .

We will now inductively define a (necessarily non-winning) strategy  $t$  for the player  $\beta$  in the  $\mathcal{G}(D_G)$ -game played on  $G$ .

*Step 1.* We may choose a point  $x \in G$  and an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $e \in G$  such that

$$x \cdot U \subseteq x \cdot U \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq G.$$

Next, we may pick  $y, z \in U$  such that  $y \cdot z \notin \overline{W}$  (i.e.,  $y \notin \overline{W} \cdot z^{-1}$  and so  $U \setminus (\overline{W} \cdot z^{-1}) \neq \emptyset$ ). By Lemma 2 and Proposition 1 we may select a point  $y' \in U \setminus (\overline{W} \cdot z^{-1})$  and an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $e$ , contained in  $U$ , such that

$$V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot y' \subseteq U \setminus (\overline{W} \cdot z^{-1}).$$

Then,  $(V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot x^{-1}) \cdot (x \cdot V) \cdot y' \cdot z \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 1 there exists a nonempty open subset  $B_1$  of  $x \cdot V \subseteq x \cdot U \subseteq G$  such that  $(B_1)^{-1} \subseteq V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot x^{-1}$ . Thus,  $(B_1)^{-1} \cdot B_1 \cdot y' \cdot z \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$ . Choose

$$b_1 \in (B_1 \cdot y' \cdot z) \cap D_G \subseteq B_1 \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq x \cdot V \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq x \cdot U \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq G.$$

Then define  $t(\emptyset) := (B_1, b_1)$ . Note that:  $(B_1)^{-1} \cdot b_1 \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$  —  $(*_1)$ .

Now suppose that  $t(A_1, \dots, A_{j-1})$  has been defined for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

*Step  $n+1$ .* By Lemma 2 and Proposition 1 we may choose a point  $x \in A_n$  and an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $e \in G$  such that

$$x \cdot U \subseteq x \cdot U \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq A_n.$$

Next, we may pick  $y, z \in U$  such that  $y \cdot z \notin \overline{W}$  (i.e.,  $y \notin \overline{W} \cdot z^{-1}$  and so  $U \setminus (\overline{W} \cdot z^{-1}) \neq \emptyset$ ). Again by Lemma 2 and Proposition 1 we may select a point  $y' \in U \setminus (\overline{W} \cdot z^{-1})$  and an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $e$ , contained in  $U$ , such that

$$V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot y' \subseteq U \setminus (\overline{W} \cdot z^{-1}).$$

Then,  $(V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot x^{-1}) \cdot (x \cdot V) \cdot y' \cdot z \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 1 there exists a nonempty open subset  $B_{n+1}$  of  $x \cdot V \subseteq x \cdot U \subseteq A_n$  such that  $(B_{n+1})^{-1} \subseteq V \cdot V \cdot V \cdot x^{-1}$ . Thus,  $(B_{n+1})^{-1} \cdot B_{n+1} \cdot y' \cdot z \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$ . Choose

$$b_{n+1} \in (B_{n+1} \cdot y' \cdot z) \cap D_G \subseteq B_{n+1} \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq x \cdot V \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq x \cdot U \cdot U \cdot U \subseteq A_n.$$

Then define  $t(A_1, \dots, A_n) := (B_{n+1}, b_{n+1})$ . Note that:  $(B_{n+1})^{-1} \cdot b_{n+1} \cap \overline{W} = \emptyset$  —  $(*_{n+1})$ .

This completes the definition of  $t$ . Since  $t$  is not a winning strategy for  $\beta$  there exists a play  $(A_n, t(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $\alpha$  wins. Let  $b_\infty \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\{b_k : k \geq n\}} \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by equation  $(*_n)$ ,  $b_\infty^{-1} \cdot b_n \notin \overline{W}$ . Therefore,  $e = b_\infty^{-1} \cdot b_\infty \notin W$ . However, this contradicts the fact that  $W$  is an open neighbourhood of  $e$ . Hence the multiplication operation on  $G$  is jointly continuous.  $\square$

If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau')$  is a function acting between topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \tau')$  and  $x \in X$  then we say that  $f$  is *quasi-continuous* at  $x$  if for each neighbourhood  $W$  of  $f(x)$  and neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists a nonempty open subset  $V \subseteq U$  such that  $f(V) \subseteq W$ , [17].

**Theorem 1** *Let  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  be a semitopological group and let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $G$ . If  $(G, \tau)$  is nearly strongly Baire and the identity element  $e \in G$  is a nearly  $q_D$ -point then  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is a topological group.*

**Proof:** From Lemma 3 we know that the multiplication operation on  $G$  is continuous. Therefore, by Lemma 1, we see that inversion is quasi-continuous at  $e$ . The result now follows from [18, Lemma 4] where it is shown that each semitopological group with continuous multiplication and inversion that is quasi-continuous at the identity element is a topological group.  $\square$

**Example 1** *Suppose that  $\{X_s : s \in S\}$  is a family of nonempty Čech-complete spaces. Then  $X := \prod_{s \in S} X_s$  is nearly strongly Baire and each point of  $X$  is a nearly  $q_D$ -point with respect to some dense subset  $D$  of  $X$ .*

**Proof:** For each  $a \in X = \prod_{s \in S} X_s$  the  $\Sigma$ -product of  $\{X_s : s \in S\}$  with base point  $a$ , denoted  $\Sigma_{s \in S} X_s(a)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $\{s \in S : x(s) \neq a(s)\}$  is at most countable. Obviously, for each  $a \in X$ ,  $\Sigma_{s \in S} X_s(a)$  is dense in  $X$ . It follows by making a small modification of the proof of [11, Proposition 4.2] that for an arbitrary  $a \in X$ , the player  $\alpha$  has a winning strategy in the  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{s \in S} X_s(a))$ -game played on  $X$ . Furthermore, it follows in a similar way to [16, Theorem 4.6] or [20, Theorem 2.5] that for each  $a \in X$ , the player  $\alpha$  has a winning strategy in the  $\mathcal{G}_p(a, \Sigma_{s \in S} X_s(a))$ -game played on  $X$ .  $\square$

**Remarks 2** *It is easy to show that every strongly Baire space  $X$  (see, [18]) is a nearly strongly Baire space and has at least one nearly  $q_D$ -point for some dense subset  $D$  of  $X$ . Hence Theorem 1 improves the main result of [18]. Furthermore, there exist nearly strongly Baire spaces that are not strongly Baire. For example, by above,  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is nearly strongly Baire and every point of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a nearly  $q_D$ -point for some dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . However,  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is not a strongly Baire space as it has no  $q_D$ -points (see, [18]).*

## References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. M. Choban and P. S. Kenderov, Topological games and topologies on groups, *Math. Maced.* **8** (2010), 1–19.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. M. Choban and P. S. Kenderov, Topological games and continuity of group operations, *Topology Appl.* **157** (2010), 2542–2552.
- [3] A. V. Arhangel'skii and E. A. Reznichenko, Paratopological and semitopological groups versus topological groups, *Topology Appl.* **151** (2005), 107–119.
- [4] A. V. Arhangel'skii and M. G. Tkachenko, “*Topological Groups and Related Structures*,” Atlantis Press, Amsterdam/Paris, 2008.
- [5] A. Bouziad, The Ellis theorem and continuity in groups, *Topology Appl.* **50** (1993), 73–80.
- [6] A. Bouziad, Continuity of separately continuous group actions in  $p$ -spaces, *Topology Appl.* **71** (1996), 119–124.
- [7] A. Bouziad, Every Čech-analytic Baire semi-topological group is a topological group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 953–959.
- [8] N. Brand, Another note on the continuity of the inverse, *Arch. Math.* **39** (1982), 241–245.
- [9] J. Cao, R. Drozdowski and Z. Piotrowski, Weak continuity properties of topologized groups, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **60** (2010), 133–148.

- [10] J. Cao and W. B. Moors, A survey of topological games and their applications in analysis, *RACSAM, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **100** (2006), 39–49.
- [11] J. Chaber and R. Pol, On hereditarily Baire spaces,  $\sigma$ -fragmentability of mappings and Namioka property, *Topology Appl.* **151** (2005), 132–143.
- [12] M. M. Choban, P. S. Kenderov and W. B. Moors, Pseudo-compact semi-topological groups, *Proceedings of the Forty First Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, Borovetz, April 9–12, 2012*.
- [13] R. Ellis, Locally compact transformation groups, *Duke Math. J.* **24** (1957), 119–125.
- [14] R. Ellis, A note on the continuity of the inverse, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 372–373.
- [15] Z. Frolík, Remarks concerning the invariance of Baire spaces under mappings, *Czechoslovakia Math. J.* **11** (1961), 381–385.
- [16] G. Gruenhagen, Infinite games and generalizations of first-countable spaces, *Topology Appl.* **6** (1976), 339–352.
- [17] S. Kempisty, Sur les fonctions quasi-continues, *Fund. Math.* **19** (1932), 184–197.
- [18] P. S. Kenderov, I. Kortezov and W. B. Moors, Topological games and topological groups, *Topology Appl.* **109** (2001), 157–165.
- [19] Petar S. Kenderov and Warren B. Moors, Fragmentability of groups and metric-valued function spaces, *Topology Appl.* **159** (2012), 183–193.
- [20] P. Lin and W. B. Moors, Rich families,  $W$ -spaces and the product of Baire spaces, *Math. Balkanica (N.S.)* **22** (2008), 175–187.
- [21] A. K. Mirmostafaei, Continuity of separately continuous mappings, *Math. Slovaca* **195** (2012), 1–7.
- [22] D. Montgomery, Continuity in topological groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc* **42** (1936), 879–882.
- [23] I. Namioka, Separate and joint continuity, *Pacific J. Math.* **51** (1974), 515–531.
- [24] H. Pfister, Continuity of the inverse, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 312–314.
- [25] Z. Piotrowski, Quasi-continuity and product spaces, *Proc. Int. Conf. on Geometric Topology, Warszawa 1978*, PWN, Warsaw, 1980, pp. 349–352.
- [26] Z. Piotrowski, Separate and joint continuity, *Real Anal. Exch.* **11** (1985–86), 293–322.
- [27] Z. Piotrowski, Separate and joint continuity II, *Real Anal. Exch.* **15** (1990), 248–258.
- [28] E. A. Reznichenko, Extension of functions defined on products of pseudocompact spaces and continuity of the inverse in pseudocompact groups, *Topology Appl.* **59** (1994), 233–244.
- [29] Jean Saint Raymond, Jeux topologiques et espaces de Namioka, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1983), 499–504.
- [30] M. G. Tkachenko, Paratopological and semitopological vs topological group, in K. P. Hart, J. van Mill and P. Simon (Eds.) *Recent Progress in General Topology III*, *Atlantis Press, Paris, Springer*, (to appear).
- [31] A. D. Wallace, The structure of topological semigroups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **61** (1955), 95–112.
- [32] W. Zelazko, A theorem on  $B_0$  division algebras, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.* **8** (1960), 373–375.

---

Warren B. Moors  
Department of Mathematics,  
The University of Auckland,  
Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand.  
email: moors@math.auckland.ac.nz  
phone: 0064-9-3737-599 ext. 84747.