T-duality, loop space and Witten gerbe modules

 $\textbf{Type IIA} \Longleftrightarrow \textbf{Type IIB}$

Geometry of Quantum Fields and Strings

University of Auckland, NZ, 10-12 January, 2020

Mathai Varghese

INSTITUTE FOR GEOMETRY AND ITS APPLICATIONS



[BEM]

Peter Bouwknegt, Jarah Evslin and V. M.,

T-duality: Topology Change from H-flux.

Communications in Mathematical Physics,

249, no. 2 (2004) 383 - 415 [hep-th/0306062]

[HM20]

Fei Han and V. M.,

T-Duality, Jacobi Forms and Witten Gerbe Modules

[arXiv: 2001.00322]

Outline of talk

T-duality in an H-flux: the case of free circle actions.

- A graded version and the Euler operator
- A loop space refinement and Jacobi forms
- Examples of Jacobi forms: Witten gerbe modules
- Jacobi forms and graded Hori formula
- An alternate (earlier) approach to T-duality on loopspace

Review: T-duality in an H-flux: the case of free circle actions

Data for a partial definition for Type II string theory is: Let Z be spacetime:

- A background H-flux $H \in \Omega^3(Z)$, dH = 0 with integral periods. Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a good open cover of Z, $B_\alpha \in \Omega^2(U_\alpha)$ such that $dB_\alpha = H|_\alpha$. Let $A_{\alpha\beta} \in \Omega^1(U_{\alpha\beta})$ such that $B_\alpha - B_\beta = dA_{\alpha\beta}$. Then $(H, B_\alpha, A_{\alpha\beta})$ captures integral info.
- **2** Ramond-Ramond (RR) fields $G \in \Omega^{even}(Z)$, Type IIB and $G \in \Omega^{odd}(Z)$, Type IIA satisfying the equations of motion, $(d H \land)G = 0$; \Rightarrow twisted cohomology/twisted K-theory.

Instein-Maxwell equation for the metric.

dilaton + axion.

We will be concerned with T-duality between the string theories, **Type IIA** \iff **Type IIB**, for circle bundle compactifications or free circle actions with an H-flux.

The transformation rules of the low energy effective fields under T-duality, are known as the **Buscher rules**.

However, in cases in which there is a topologically nontrivial NS 3-form H-flux, the Buscher rules only make sense **locally** and do not give global information/rules.

The general formula for the topology and H-flux of the T-dual with respect to any **free** circle action (on smooth spacetime) was presented for the first time in **[BEM]**.

T-duality - The case of circle bundles

In [BEM], compactify spacetime Z as a principal \mathbb{T} -bundle over M, with Chern class $c_1(Z) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and flux $H \in H^3(Z, \mathbb{Z})$.



The <u>**T-dual**</u> is another principal **T**-bundle over *M*, denoted by \hat{Z} ,



which has Chern class $c_1(\hat{Z}) = \pi_* H$. The Gysin sequence for Z enables us to define a T-dual *H*-flux $\hat{H} \in H^3(\hat{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$, satisfying $c_1(Z) = \hat{\pi}_* \hat{H}$

T-duality & correspondence spaces

<u>N.B.</u> \hat{H} is not fixed by this data, since any integer degree 3 cohomology class on *M* that is pulled back to \hat{Z} integrates to zero. However, $[\hat{H}]$ is determined uniquely upon imposing the condition $[H] = [\hat{H}]$ on the correspondence space $Z \times_M \hat{Z}$, otherwise known as the doubled space, $Z \times_M \hat{Z} = \{(x, \hat{x}) \in Z \times \hat{Z} : \pi(x) = \hat{\pi}(\hat{x})\}.$



Thus a slogan for T-duality for circle bundles is the exchange,

background H-flux \iff Chern class

The surprising/striking **new** phenomenon that we discovered is that there is a **change in topology** of spacetime when either

- * the background H-flux topologically nontrivial,
- * or the Chern class is topologically nontrivial.

Example (Lens space)

 $L(p, 1) = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_p$, where $S^3 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}$ & \mathbb{Z}_p acts on S^3 by

$$\exp(2\pi i k/p).(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, \exp(2\pi i k/p)z_2), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

L(p, 1) is the total space of the circle bundle over S^2 with Chern class equal to p times the generator of $H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. **FACT**: L(p, 1) is **never** homeomorphic to L(q, 1) if $p \neq q$. Nevertheless

$$(L(p, 1), H = q)$$
 and $(L(q, 1), H = p)$.

are T-dual pairs! Thus T-duality is the interchange

$$p \Longleftrightarrow q$$

T-duality in a background flux - Examples

Since $L(1, 1) = S^3 \& L(0, 1) = S^2 \times S^1$, we get the T-dual pairs:

 $(S^2 \times S^1, H = 1)$ and $(S^3, H = 0)$

A picture (suppressing one dimension) illustrating this is the *doughnut universe* (H = 1) & the *spherical universe* (H = 0)







Example (Heisenberg nilmanifolds)

Recall that the Heisenberg group is

$$\mathsf{Heis}_{\mathbb{R}}=\left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & z \ 0 & 1 & y \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight) \quad x,y,z\in \mathbb{R}$$

which is a central extension,

$$1 \to \mathbb{R}_z \to \text{Heis}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}^2_{x,y} \to 1$$

and for each $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, Heis_{\mathbb{R}} has the lattices

$$\mathsf{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}}(p) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & z/p \ 0 & 1 & y \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight) \quad x,y,z\in\mathbb{Z}$$

Example

which is a central extension,

$$1 o \mathbb{Z}_z o$$
 Heis $_{\mathbb{Z}}(
ho) o \mathbb{Z}^2_{x,y} o 1$

It follows that the Nilmanifold $\operatorname{Nil}(p) = \operatorname{Heis}_{\mathbb{R}}/\operatorname{Heis}_{\mathbb{Z}}(p)$ is a principal circle bundle over \mathbb{T}^2 with Chern number p. FACT: $\operatorname{Nil}(p)$ is never homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Nil}(q)$ if $p \neq q$.

Nevertheless (Nil(p), H = q) and (Nil(q), H = p).

are T-dual pairs! Thus T-duality is the interchange

$$p \Longleftrightarrow q$$

Similarly for Seifert fibred spaces over Riemann surfaces.

T-duality in a background flux - isomorphism of charges

T-duality gives rise to a map inducing degree-shifting isomorphisms between the

- * *H*-twisted cohomology of *Z* and \hat{H} -twisted cohomology of \hat{Z} ;
- * *H*-twisted K-theory of *Z* and \hat{H} -twisted K-theory of \hat{Z} ;

where charges of RR-fields in background 3-flux fields live.

These are twisted generalizations of the smooth analog of the

Fourier-Mukai transform = a geometric Fourier transform.

T-duality map is assumed to be an isometry, relating

radius *R* circle fibres of *Z* \Leftrightarrow radius 1/R circle fibres of \hat{Z} ,

a salient feature of T-duality.

T-duality in a background flux - cohomology

Choosing connection 1-forms A and \hat{A} , on the \mathbb{T} -bundles Z and \hat{Z} , respectively, the rules for transforming the RR fields can be encoded in the **[BEM]** is a Twisted Fourier-Mukai transform,

$$T_*G = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{A \wedge \hat{A}} G, \qquad (3)$$

where $G \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}}$ is the total RR fieldstrength,

$$\begin{aligned} G \in \Omega^{even}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}} & \text{ for } \underline{\text{Type IIA}}; \\ G \in \Omega^{odd}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}} & \text{ for } \underline{\text{Type IIB}}, \end{aligned}$$

and where the right hand side of (3) is an invariant differential form on $Z \times_M \hat{Z}$, and the integration is along the \mathbb{T} -fiber of Z.

T-duality in a background flux

Let F = dA and $\hat{F} = d\hat{A}$ be the curvatures of the connections, and we can assume wlog that *H* is \mathbb{T} -invariant. Then on *Z*

$$H = A \wedge \hat{F} - \Omega, \qquad (4)$$

for some $\Omega \in \Omega^3(M)$, while the T-dual \hat{H} on \hat{Z} is given by

$$\hat{H} = F \wedge \hat{A} - \Omega.$$
(5)

We note that

$$d(A \wedge \hat{A}) = -H + \hat{H}, \qquad (6)$$

 T_* indeed maps d_H -closed forms G to $d_{\hat{H}}$ -closed forms T_*G . Twisted cohomology (first defined by Rohm-Witten) is

$$H^{\bullet}(Z,H) = H^{\bullet}(\Omega^{\bullet}(Z), d_H = d - H \wedge).$$

So T-duality T_* induces a map on twisted cohomologies,

$$T_*: H^{ullet}(Z,H) \to H^{ullet-1}(\hat{Z},\hat{H}).$$

T-duality in a background flux

We define the Riemannian metrics on Z and \hat{Z} by

$$g_Z = \pi^* g_M + R^2 A \odot A, \qquad g_{\hat{Z}} = \hat{\pi}^* g_M + R^{-2} \hat{A} \odot \hat{A}.$$

Theorem (Bouwknegt, Evslin, V.M., 2004, V.M., Siye Wu 2011)

Under the above choices of Riemannian metrics and flux forms,

$$T: \Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}} \to \Omega^{\overline{k+1}}(\hat{Z})^{\hat{\mathbb{T}}},$$
(7)

for k = 0, 1, are isometries, inducing isometries on the spaces of twisted harmonic forms and twisted cohomology groups.

The circle fibre radius R of Z goes to circle fibre radius 1/R of \hat{Z} and there is an induced degree-shifting isomorphism

 $T_*: H^{\bullet}(Z, H) \cong H^{\bullet+1}(\hat{Z}, \hat{H}).$

A graded version and the Euler operator

Recall that Hori maps for k = 0, 1, are isometries.

$$T: \Omega^{\overline{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}} \to \Omega^{\overline{k+1}}(\hat{Z})^{\hat{\mathbb{T}}},$$
(8)

Since $\Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}} \cong \Omega^{\bar{k}}(M) \oplus \Omega^{k\bar{+}1}(M) \wedge A$ so that

$$T(F+G\wedge A)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}e^{A\wedge \hat{A}}(F+G\wedge A)=(-1)^{k+1}(G+F\wedge \hat{A})$$

This is the dimensionally reduced form of T-duality. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the **level** *m* **Hori map** by

$$T_{*,m}(G) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-mA\wedge\hat{A}}G,$$
 (9)

for G is an \mathbb{T} -invariant form on Z and (d + mH)G = 0. Since

$$m\hat{H} = mH + d(mA \wedge \hat{A}),$$
 (10)

it is not hard to see that $T_{*,m}G$ is a $\hat{\mathbb{T}}$ -invariant form on \hat{Z} and

$$(d+m\hat{H})(T_{*,m}(G))=0$$

Let $\Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)_{(d+mH)-cl}^{\mathbb{T}}$ denote the \mathbb{T} -invariant (d+mH)-closed forms on Z with degree parity \bar{k} . Then the level m Hori map,

$$T_{*,m}:\Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}}_{(d+mH)-cl}\longrightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(\hat{Z})^{\hat{\mathbb{T}}}_{(d+m\hat{H})-cl}$$

Now let the formal variable y encode the level. That is, define

$$T_{*,y}: \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}}_{(d+mH)-cl} y^m \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \Omega^{k\bar{+}1}(\hat{Z})^{\hat{\mathbb{T}}}_{(d+m\hat{H})-cl} y^m$$

Take a representative

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_m y^m,\tag{11}$$

with $\omega_m \in \Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}}_{(d+mH)-cl}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then each ω_m must be of the form

$$F_m + G_m \wedge A,$$
 (12)

with F_m , G_m being valued in $\Omega^{\overline{k}}(M)$ and $\Omega^{\overline{k+1}}(M)$.

Applying the level *m* Hori's formula, we get

$$T_{*,m}(F_m + G_m \wedge A)$$

= $\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-mA \wedge \hat{A}}(F_m + G_m \wedge A)$ (13)
= $(-1)^{k+1}(G_m + mF_m \wedge \hat{A}).$

Applying the reverse level *m* Hori's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{*,m}((-1)^{k+1}(G_m + mF_m \wedge \hat{A})) \\ = (-1)^{k+1} \int_{\hat{\mathbb{T}}} e^{mA \wedge \hat{A}}(G_m + mF_m \wedge \hat{A})) \\ = (-1)^{k+1}((-1)^k mG_m + (-1)^k mF_m) \\ = -m(F_m + G_m \wedge A). \end{aligned}$$
(14)

Therefore we see that

$$\widehat{T_{.,y_{*}}} \circ T_{.,y_{*}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{m} y^{m} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{T}_{*,m} \circ T_{*,m}(\omega_{m}) y^{m}$$

$$= -\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} m \omega_{m} y^{m}$$

$$= -y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_{m} y^{m} \right)$$
(15)

That is,

$$\widehat{T_{.,y_*}} \circ T_{.,y_*} = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$
(16)

A loop space refinement and Jacobi forms

Motivated by string theory, people have been attempting to generalize many concepts like vector bundles, Dirac operators, the Atiyah-Singer index theory and so on to free loop spaces. Let *V* be a rank *r* complex vector bundle on *M* and $\tilde{V} = V - \mathbb{C}^r$ in the *K*-group of *M*. In the theory of elliptic genera, one considers the **Witten bundles** $\Theta_2(V)$ and $\Theta_3(V)$, elements in $K(M)[[q^{1/2}]]$, as follows:

$$\Theta_{2}(V) := \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q^{j-1/2}}(\widetilde{V}) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q^{j-1/2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{V}}), \quad \Theta_{3}(V) := \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{q^{j-1/2}}(\widetilde{V}) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{q^{j-1/2}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{V}}).$$
(17)

where $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. They are formally viewed as vector bundles over (small) loop space *LM*. Physically, they arise in heterotic string theory.

Recall here that for an indeterminate t,

$$\Lambda_t(E) = \mathbb{C}|_M + tE + t^2 \wedge^2(E) + \cdots, \quad S_t(E) = \mathbb{C}|_M + tE + t^2 S^2(E) + \cdots,$$
(18)

are the total exterior and symmetric powers of *E* respectively. The following relations between these two operations hold,

$$S_t(E) = rac{1}{\Lambda_{-t}(E)}, \quad \Lambda_t(E-F) = rac{\Lambda_t(E)}{\Lambda_t(F)}.$$
 (19)

Let $\{2\pi i x_i\}$, $1 \le i \le r$, be the formal Chern roots of *V* and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the upper half plane. In terms of **Jacobi theta functions**, the Chern characters of the **Witten bundles** $\Theta_2(V)$ and $\Theta_3(V)$ are

$$ch(\Theta_{2}(V)) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\theta_{2}(x_{i},\tau)}{\theta_{2}(0,\tau)} \in H^{even}(M)[[q^{1/2}]], \quad ch(\Theta_{3}(V)) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\theta_{3}(x_{i},\tau)}{\theta_{3}(0,\tau)} \in H^{even}(M)[[q^{1/2}]].$$
(20)

Equip *V* with a connection ∇^{V} , then the Chern characters above can be represented by holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} , taking values in $\Omega^{even}(M)[[q^{1/2}]]$. Suppose one has

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}p_1(V) = c_1(V)^2 - 2c_2(V) = ch^{[4]}(V), \qquad (21)$$

where $p_1(V)$, $c_1(V)$, $c_2(V)$ and ch(V) stand for the first Pontryagin class, the first and second Chern class and the Chern character respectively.

Under these **anomaly vanishing conditions**, the degree *p* (with *p* even) components $ch^{[p]}(\Theta_2(V))$ and $ch^{[p]}(\Theta_3(V))$ are modular forms of weight $\frac{p}{2}$ over $\Gamma_0(2)$ and $\Gamma_{\theta}(2)$ respectively.

Examples of Jacobi forms: Witten gerbe modules

Examples of Jacobi forms: Witten gerbe modules

The next goal is to generalise this construction from finite dimensional vector bundles, to infinite dimensional Hilbert bundle gerbe modules.

Let *M* be an oriented closed smooth manifold of dimension 2*r*. Let *H* be a closed 3-form on *M* with integral periods. Let $B_{\alpha} \in \Omega^{2}(U_{\alpha})$ such that $dB_{\alpha} = H|_{\alpha}$. Let $A_{\alpha\beta} \in \Omega(U_{\alpha\beta})$ such that $B_{\alpha} - B_{\beta} = dA_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $\{(L_{\alpha\beta}, d + A_{\alpha\beta})\}$ be geometric realization of a **gerbe (with connection)**. Then we have

$$(\nabla_{\alpha\beta}^{L})^{2} = F_{\alpha\beta}^{L} = B_{\beta} - B_{\alpha}.$$
 (22)

Let $E = \{E_{\alpha}\}$ be a collection of (infinite dimensional) separable Hilbert bundles $E_{\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\alpha}$ whose structure group is reduced to U_{\Im} , which are unitary operators on the model Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} of the form (identity + trace class operator). Here \mathfrak{I} denotes the Lie algebra of U_{\Im} , the trace class operators on \mathfrak{H} . In addition, assume that on the overlaps $U_{\alpha\beta}$ there are isomorphisms

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}: \mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\beta} \cong \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}, \tag{23}$$

which are consistently defined on triple overlaps because of the gerbe property. Then $\{E_{\alpha}\}$ is said to be a **gerbe module** for the gerbe $\{L_{\alpha\beta}\}$.

A gerbe module connection ∇^{E} is a collection of (local) connections $\{\nabla_{\alpha}^{E}\}$ is of the form $\nabla_{\alpha}^{E} = d + A_{\alpha}^{E}$ where $A_{\alpha}^{E} \in \Omega^{1}(U_{\alpha}) \otimes \mathfrak{I}$ whose curvature $F^{E_{\alpha}}$ on the overlaps $U_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(F^{E_{\alpha}})\phi_{\alpha\beta}=F^{L_{\alpha\beta}}I+F^{E_{\beta}}.$$
(24)

Using equation (42), this becomes

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(B_{\alpha}I + F_{\alpha}^{E})\phi_{\alpha\beta} = B_{\beta}I + F_{\beta}^{E}.$$
(25)

It follows that $\exp(-B) \operatorname{Tr} (\exp(-F^{E}) - I)$ is a globally well defined differential form on *M* of even degree. Notice that $\operatorname{Tr}(I) = \infty$ which is why we need to consider the subtraction.

Anomaly vanishing conditions

Suppose that $\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{E'}$ are gerbe module connections on the gerbe modules E, E' respectively. Then the **twisted Chern character** is

$$Ch_{H}: \mathcal{K}^{0}(Z, \mathcal{G}) \to H^{even}(Z, H)$$

$$Ch_{H}(E, E') = \exp(-B) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\exp(-F^{E}) - \exp(-F^{E'})\right)$$
(26)

That this is a well defined homomorphism is explained in [BCMMS]. The degree 0 term of $Ch_H(E, E')$ is 0, and

$$Ch_{H}^{[2]}(E, E') = Tr[F^{E} - F^{E'}] = \{Tr[F^{E_{\alpha}} - F^{E'_{\alpha}}]\} \in H^{2}(Z)$$
 (27)

The degree 4 term is

$$Ch_{H}^{[4]}(E,E') = rac{\operatorname{Tr}[(B+F)^{2} - (B+F')^{2}]}{2} \in H^{4}(Z,H)$$
 (28)

The **anomaly vanishing conditions** in the twisted case is, $Ch_{H}^{[2]}(E, E') = 0$ and $Ch_{H}^{[4]}(E, E') = 0$.

On U_{α} , define

$$\Theta(E_{\alpha}) = \bigotimes_{u=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q^{u}}(E_{\alpha}) \otimes \bigotimes_{u=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_{-q^{u}}(\bar{E}_{\alpha}).$$
(29)

It turns out that $\Theta(E) := \{\Theta(E_{\alpha})\}$ defines a globally defined gerbe module, which we call the **Witten gerbe module**. Since it involves tensor products of E, $\Theta(E)$ is a gerbe module for the gerbe $\{\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{\alpha\beta}^{\otimes m}\}$ and its induced connection. To compute the graded twisted chern character $GCh_H(\Theta(E))$, one expresses $\Theta(E)$ as a sum

$$GCh\left(\frac{\Theta(E)}{\Theta(E')}\right) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Ch_{mH}(W_{m,n}(E,E'))q^n\right) y^m \quad (30)$$

where $\{W_{m,n}(E, E'\}$ is a gerbe module for the gerbe $(mH, mB_{\alpha}, mA_{\alpha\beta})$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence the expression above makes sense.

Under the twisted anomaly vanishing condition discussed earlier, it turns out that the graded twisted chern character $Gch_H(\Theta(E))$ is a **Jacobi form** (which will be defined next).

Jacobi theta functions

Recall that

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ \left. egin{pmatrix} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix}
ight| a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ ad - bc = 1
ight\}$$

is the modular group. Let

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \boldsymbol{T} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

be the two generators of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Their actions on \mathbb{H} are given by

$$S: au o -rac{1}{ au}, \quad T: au o au + 1.$$

Jacobi theta functions

Let

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{F}_0(2) &= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| c \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}, \\ \mathsf{F}^0(2) &= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\} \\ \mathsf{F}_\theta &= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \left(\begin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \equiv \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \operatorname{or} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \pmod{2} \right\} \end{split}$$

be the three modular subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. It is known that the generators of $\Gamma_0(2)$ are T, ST^2ST , the generators of $\Gamma^0(2)$ are *STS*, T^2STS and the generators of Γ_{θ} are *S*, T^2 .

The Jacobi theta-function (and its variants) defined by infinite products are

$$\theta(\nu,\tau) = 2q^{1/8}\sin(\pi\nu)\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}[(1-q^j)(1-e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\nu}q^j)(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\nu}q^j)],$$
(31)

It is a holomorphic function for $(v, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{C} is the complex plane and \mathbb{H} is the upper half plane. The theta function satisfies the the following transformation law

$$\theta(v,\tau+1) = e^{\pi \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}} \theta(v,\tau), \quad \theta(v,-1/\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{1/2} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1}\tau v^2} \theta(\tau v,\tau) ; \qquad (32)$$

$$\theta(z+1,\tau) = -\theta(z,\tau), \ \theta(z+\tau,\tau) = -e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}(\tau+2z)}\theta(z,\tau),$$
(33)

Jacobi forms and graded Hori formula

Let Γ be a subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of finite index. Let L be an integral lattice in \mathbb{C} preserved by Γ . Denote \mathbb{H} the upper half plane. A **(meromorphic) Jacobi form** of weight *s* and index *I* over $L \rtimes \Gamma$ is a (meromorphic) function $J(z, \tau)$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ such that

(i)
$$J\left(\frac{z}{c\tau+d},\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^{s}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(cz^{2}/(c\tau+d))}J(z,\tau);$$

(ii) $J(z+\lambda\tau+\mu,\tau) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(\lambda^{2}\tau+2\lambda z))}J(a,\tau),$ where
 $(\lambda,\mu) \in L, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma.$

We will use a slight extension of the above definition of Jacobi forms, namely, (i) we will allow $J(z, \tau)$ to take values in the differential forms on a manifold *M*; (ii) as $J(z, \tau)$ takes values in differential forms, we don't require the singular points be poles but only be undefined.

Let *M* be a manifold with *H*-flux. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\bar{k}}(M)^{\mathbb{T}}_{(d+mH)-cl}$ denote the space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} except for a set of isolated points, which take values in $\Omega^{\bar{k}}(Z)_{(d+mH)-cl}$, the \mathbb{T} -invariant (d + mH)-closed forms on *M* with degree parity \bar{k} . Let $\mathcal{H}^{\bar{k}}(M, mH)$ denote the space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} except for a set of isolated points, which take values in $H^{\bar{k}}(M, mH)$.

Denote $q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $y = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. On the spacetime *M*, further consider the 2-variable series

$$\omega(z,\tau) \in \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^{\bar{k}}(M,mH) \cdot y^m$$

with the following properties: $\omega(z, \tau)$ is represented by

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_m(\tau)\mathbf{y}^m,\tag{34}$$

with $\omega_m(\tau) \in \mathcal{A}^{\bar{k}}(M)^{\mathbb{T}}_{(d+mH)-cl}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the degree p (with $\bar{p} = \bar{k}$) component

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_m(\tau)^{[p]}\boldsymbol{y}^m\tag{35}$$

is the expansion at y = 0 of a Jacobi form of weight $\frac{p+\bar{k}}{2}$ and index 0 over $L \rtimes \Gamma$. Denote the abelian group of all such $\omega(z, \tau)$ by $\mathcal{J}_0^{\bar{k}}(M, H; L, \Gamma)$.

Now consider the situation of T-duality with pair $(Z, H), (\hat{Z}, \hat{H})$ as before. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, recall the **level** *m* **Hori map** by

$$T_{*,m}(G) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-mA\wedge\hat{A}}G,$$
 (36)

for *G* is an \mathbb{T} -invariant form on *Z* and (d + mH)G = 0. Define the **graded Hori map** of Jacobi forms,

$$LT_*: \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^{\overline{k}}(Z)^{\mathbb{T}}_{(d+mH)-cl} \cdot y^m \to \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}^{\overline{k+1}}(\hat{Z})^{\hat{\mathbb{T}}}_{(d+m\hat{H})-cl} \cdot y^m$$
(37)

by

$$LT_*\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_m(\tau)y^m\right)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}T_{*,m}(\omega_m(\tau))y^m,$$
 (38)

for

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\omega_m(au)y^m\inigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{A}^{ar{k}}(Z)_{(d+mH)-cl}^{\mathbb{T}}\cdot y^m$$

Theorem (T-duality for Jacobi forms)

Let $H(\mathbb{H})$ denote the space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} . The following statements hold:

(i) LT and \widehat{LT} are both isomorphisms of $H(\mathbb{H})$ modules under the restriction that the coefficient of y^0 is zero; moreover

$$\widehat{LT} \circ LT = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad LT \circ \widehat{LT} = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial y};$$
 (39)

(ii) After restriction, we have

$$LT\left(\mathcal{J}_{0}^{\bar{k}}(Z,H;L,\Gamma)\right) \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{0}^{\overline{k+1}}(\hat{Z},\hat{H};L,\Gamma)$$
(40)

and therefore get a morphism of abelian groups,

$$LT: \mathcal{J}_0^{\overline{k}}(Z, H; L, \Gamma)) \to \mathcal{J}_0^{\overline{k+1}}(\hat{Z}, \hat{H}; L, \Gamma);$$
(41)

Alternate approach to T-duality on loop space

Alternate approach to T-duality on loop space

[HM15]

Fei Han and V. M.,

Exotic twisted equivariant cohomology of loop spaces,

twisted Bismut-Chern character and T-duality.

Communications in Mathematical Physics,

337, No. 1, (2015) 127-150.

Motivation for some constructions on loop space

Jones-Petrack showed that a completed version of equivariant cohomology of loopspace LZ with respect to the rotation circle action, localises to the ordinary cohomology of Z, that is,

$$h^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{T}}(LZ) \stackrel{res}{\cong} H^{\bullet}(Z)[u, u^{-1}]]$$

[HM15] is concerned with the analog of this result is for **twisted cohomology**, $H^{\bullet}(Z, H)$ where H is a closed degree 3 form on Z with integral periods, i.e. $[H] \in H^{3}(Z; \mathbb{Z})$. Here $H^{\bullet}(Z, H) = H^{\bullet}(\Omega^{odd/even}(Z), d + H \wedge)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_{2} -graded cohomology theory, coinciding with $H^{\bullet}(Z)$ when H = 0.

It was first studied by Rohm-Witten (1986), and arose in String Theory as the **charge group** classifying D-brane charges at least rationally. It has many applications in mathematics such as twisted eta invariants, twisted analytic torsion, etc. In [HM15], we defined an **exotic equivariant cohomology**. A key innovation is the construction of a canonical S^1 -flat **superconnection** on the the holonomy line bundle of a gerbe with connection, satisfying the **localisation formula**

$$h^{ullet}_{\mathbb{T}}(LZ, \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{B}}}: \bar{H}) \stackrel{res}{\cong} H^{ullet}(Z, H)[u, u^{-1}]]$$

where res is the localisation map.

Gerbes

Consider a pair (*Z*, *H*), where *Z* is a spacetime and *H* is a background flux, i.e. a closed 3-form on *Z* with \mathbb{Z} periods.

We want to study open covers $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ of *Z* such that the space of loops $\{LU_{\alpha}\}$ is an open cover of $LZ = C^{\infty}(S^1, Z)$.

The usual Cech open cover of Z consisting of a convex open cover of Z does **not** satisfy this property.

Suppose that $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ is a maximal open cover of *Z* with the property that $H^{i}(U_{\alpha_{l}}) = 0$ for i = 2, 3 where $U_{\alpha_{l}} = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_{\alpha_{i}}$, $|I| < \infty$. Such an open cover is a **Brylinski open cover** of *Z*. It is easy to see that $\{LU_{\alpha}\}$ is an open cover of *LZ*.

Let *H* a closed 3-form on *Z* with integral periods. Then $H|_{U_{\alpha}} = dB_{\alpha}$ since $H^{3}(U_{\alpha}) = 0$ where $B_{\alpha} \in \Omega^{2}(U_{\alpha})$. Also $B_{\beta} - B_{\alpha} = dA_{\alpha\beta}$ since $H^{2}(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) = 0$. Then (H, B, A) defines a connective structure (or connection) for a gerbe \mathcal{G}_{B} on *Z*. More precisely, a **gerbe** \mathcal{G} on Z is a collection of line bundles $\{L_{\alpha\beta}\}$ on double overlaps, $L_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ such that on triple overlaps $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ there is a trivialization

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}: L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L_{\gamma\alpha} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}$$

Then $\{\phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}\$ is a U(1)-valued Cech 2-cocycle representing the **Dixmier-Douady invariant** of the gerbe in $H^3(Z, \mathbb{Z})$. Upto equivalence, gerbes on Z are classified by $H^3(Z, \mathbb{Z})$.

A **trivial gerbe** { $L_{\alpha\beta}$ } is of the form $L_{\alpha\beta} = L_{\alpha} \otimes L_{\beta}^{*}$, where { $L_{\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\alpha}$ } is a collection of line bundles.



Example: Spin^C-gerbes

Let $\{g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \to SO(n)\}$ denote the set of transition functions for the oriented orthonormal frame bundle of *Z*,

$$U(1) \rightarrow Spin^{\mathbb{C}}(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$$

is the defining nontrivial central extension. Let $L \to SO(n)$ be the associated line bundle, $L = Spin^{\mathbb{C}}(n) \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C}$. Then the gerbe $\{L_{\alpha\beta} = g^*_{\alpha\beta}(L)\}$ is called the **Spin^C-gerbe** of *Z*. The Dixmier-Douady class of this gerbe is equal to $W_3(Z)$, the 3rd integral Stiefel-Whitney class of *Z*. *So every oriented manifold has a Spin^C-gerbe.*

This construction also works for the oriented orthonormal frame bundle of any oriented vector bundle E over Z.

Let $\{g_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow PU\}$ denote the set of transition functions for a principal *PU*-bundle *P* over *Z*,

U(1)
ightarrow U
ightarrow PU

is the defining nontrivial central extension.

Let $L \to PU$ be the associated line bundle, $L = U \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C}$. Then the gerbe $\{L_{\alpha\beta} = g^*_{\alpha\beta}(L)\}$ is called the *PU*-gerbe of *P* over *Z*.

The Dixmier-Douady class of this gerbe is equal to DD(P).

Gerbes, connections and their holonomy line bundle

A connection on the gerbe \mathcal{G}_B is $\{(L_{\alpha\beta}, \nabla^L_{\alpha\beta})\}$, a collection of line bundles $L_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta}$ such that there is an isomorphism $L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \cong L_{\alpha\gamma}$ on $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and collection of connections $\{\nabla^L_{\alpha\beta}\}$ such that $\nabla^L_{\alpha\beta} = d + A_{\alpha\beta}$ (note that as $H^2(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}) = 0$, the bundle $L_{\alpha\beta}$ is trivial). Then we have

$$(\nabla^{L}_{\alpha\beta})^{2} = F^{L}_{\alpha\beta} = B_{\beta} - B_{\alpha}.$$
 (42)

The **holonomy** of this gerbe is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}^B \to LZ$ over the loop space LZ. \mathcal{L}^B has \mathbb{T} -invariant Brylinski local sections $\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}$ with respect to $\{LU_{\alpha}\}$ such that the transition functions are $\{e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tau(A_{\alpha_{\beta}})}\}$, i.e. $\sigma_{\alpha} = e^{-\sqrt{-1}\tau(A_{\alpha_{\beta}})}\sigma_{\beta}$, $\tau : \Omega^{\bullet}(U_{\alpha_{l}}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1}(LU_{\alpha_{l}})$ is the transgression map defined as $\tau(\xi_{l}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} ev^{*}(\xi_{l}), \quad \xi_{l} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(U_{\alpha_{l}})$. Here *ev* is the evaluation map $ev : \mathbb{T} \times LU_{\alpha_{l}} \to U_{\alpha_{l}} : (t, \gamma) \to \gamma(t)$.

The holonomy line bundle \mathcal{L}^B on loopspace LZ comes with a natural connection, whose definition with respect to the basis $\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}$ is $\nabla^{\mathcal{L}^B} = d - \sqrt{-1}\tau(B_{\alpha})$. The curvature of the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{L}^B}$ is $F_B = (\nabla^{\mathcal{L}^B})^2 = -\sqrt{-1}\tau(H)$ is the transgression of the minus i x 3-curvature H of the gerbe \mathcal{G}_B .

Observe that \mathcal{L}^B is never flat if $H \neq 0$.

Consider $\Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^B)$ = the space of differential forms on loop space LZ with values in the holonomy line bundle $\mathcal{L}^B \to LZ$ of the gerbe \mathcal{G}_B on Z.

Let $\omega \in \Omega^{i}(Z)$. Define $\hat{\omega}_{s} \in \Omega^{i}(LZ)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ by

$$\hat{\omega}_{s}(X_{1},\ldots,X_{i})(\gamma)=\omega(X_{1}\big|_{\gamma(s)},\ldots,X_{i}\big|_{\gamma(s)})$$

for $\gamma \in LZ$ and X_1, \ldots, X_i are vector fields on LZ defined near γ . Then one checks that $d\hat{\omega}_s = \widehat{d\omega}_s$.

The *i*-form

$$ar{\omega}=\int_0^1\hat{\omega}_s ds \quad\in \Omega^i(LZ)$$

is the extension of ω on Z, to LZ. Then $\bar{\omega} =$ is \mathbb{T} -invariant, that is, $L_{\mathcal{K}}(\bar{\omega}) = 0$ and $d\bar{\omega} = \overline{d\omega}$. Moreover $\tau(\omega) = i_{\mathcal{K}}\bar{\omega}$ and that $\bar{\omega}$ restricts to ω on the

submanifold of constant loops.

Exotic twisted equivariant cohomology of loop space

Let *H* be as before and $\overline{H} \in \Omega^3(LZ)$ be the associated closed 3-form on *LZ*. Define $D_{\overline{H}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^B} - i_K + \overline{H}$. Then we compute,

Lemma

$$(D_{\bar{H}})^2 = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^B)^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Proof.

Let $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ be a Brylinski open cover of *Z*. Then $\bar{H}\Big|_{LU_{\alpha}} = d\bar{B}_{\alpha}$ on LU_{α} . On LU_{α} , we have

$$(D_{\bar{H}})^2 = (\nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{B}}} - i_{\mathcal{K}} + \bar{H})^2$$
(43)

$$= (d - i_K \bar{B}_\alpha - i_K + \bar{H})^2 \tag{44}$$

$$= \left((d - i_{\mathcal{K}}) + (d - i_{\mathcal{K}}) \bar{B}_{\alpha} \right)^2 \tag{45}$$

$$= \left(\exp(-\bar{B}_{\alpha})(d-i_{K})\exp(\bar{B}_{\alpha})\right)^{2}$$
(46)

$$= -L_{\mathcal{K}} - (L_{\mathcal{K}}\bar{B}_{\alpha}) = -L_{\mathcal{K}}, \qquad (47)$$

Proof.

where $L_{\mathcal{K}}$ denotes the Lie derivative of the vector field \mathcal{K} . As the Brylinski sections are invariant, we have $L_{\mathcal{K}} = L_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{L}^B}$ on LU_{α} . So $(D_{\bar{H}})^2 = -L_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{L}^B}$, which vanishes on $\Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^B)^{\mathbb{T}}$ as claimed. \Box

Notice that $D_{\bar{H}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{B}} - i_{\mathcal{K}} + \bar{H}$ is a flat **T**-equivariant superconnection (in the sense of Quillen) on $\Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^{B})^{\mathbb{T}}$. Therefore $(\Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^{B})^{\mathbb{T}}, D_{\bar{H}})$ is a \mathbb{Z}_{2} -graded complex. We call the cohomology of this complex the exotic twisted **T**-equivariant cohomology of loop space, denoted by $H^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{T}}(LZ, \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{B}} : \bar{H})$.

Completed exotic twisted equivariant cohomology of loop space

Define the completed periodic exotic twisted \mathbb{T} -equivariant cohomology $h^*_{\mathbb{T}}(LZ, \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^B} : \overline{H})$ to be the cohomology of the complex

$$(\Omega^{\bullet}(LZ,\mathcal{L}^B)^{\mathbb{T}}[u,u^{-1}]], \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^B}-ui_{\mathcal{K}}+u^{-1}\overline{H}).$$

NB the holonomy line bundle \mathcal{L}^{B} is trivial when restricted to Z, the constant loop space, we have

Theorem (Localisation)

The restriction to the constant loops

$$\mathit{res}: h^*_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathit{LZ}, \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{B}}}: \bar{\mathit{H}}) \cong \mathit{H}^*(\mathit{Z}, \mathit{H})[u, u^{-1}]]$$

is an isomorphism.

This justifies the following 2 proposals:

RR fields in type II String Theory in a background H-flux, are exotic differential forms in $\Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^B)^{S^1}$ and are closed wrt the exotic differential $D_{\overline{H}}$. (EOM)

It also includes massive RR-fields.

Also

Over the rationals, D-brane charges on space-time Z in a background H-flux, take values in $h^*_{\mathbb{T}}(LZ, \nabla^B : \overline{H})$.

Path ordered exponential

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra and $a : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a continuous function. Define the **path ordered exponential**, denoted $\mathcal{T}(t) = \mathcal{T}(\exp(\int_0^1 a(s)ds))$ as the unique solution to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{T}(t) = a(t)\mathcal{T}(t)$$
$$\mathcal{T}(0) = 1$$

Then it has a convergent power series expansion

$$\mathcal{T}(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_n(t)} a(s_1) \cdots a(s_n) ds_1 \cdots ds_n$$

where $\Delta_n(t)$ is the n-simplex of size *t*, ie

$$\Delta_n(t) = \{ 0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_n \leq t \}.$$

Twisted Bismut-Chern character

Via the path ordered exponential method, lift the twisted Chern character of [BCMMS] to loop space *LZ* by defining $BCh_{H,\alpha}(\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{E'}) \in \Omega^{\bullet}(LU_{\alpha}, \mathcal{L}^{B})^{\mathbb{T}}[u, u^{-1}]$ by

$$BCh_{H,\alpha}(\nabla^{E},\nabla^{E'}) = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-u)^{-n} \int_{\Delta_{n}(1)} \widehat{B_{\alpha}}_{s_{1}} \cdots \widehat{B_{\alpha}}_{s_{n}}\right) \left(BCh_{\alpha}(\nabla^{E}) - BCh_{\alpha}(\nabla^{E'})\right) \sigma_{\alpha}$$
$$= \mathcal{T}\left(exp\left(\frac{-1}{u} \int_{0}^{1} \widehat{B_{\alpha}}_{s} ds\right)\right) \left(BCh_{\alpha}(\nabla^{E}) - BCh_{\alpha}(\nabla^{E'})\right) \sigma_{\alpha}$$

 $BCh_{\alpha}(\nabla^{E})$ is the path ordered exponential lift of the Chern chracter to loop space *LZ* due to Bismut. Since the curvature of ∇^{E} is vector valued therefore parallel transport wrt ∇^{E} has to be inserted into the curvature factors before taking the trace.

Cartan model for equivariant cohomology

Define the **twisted Bismut-Chern character form** $BCh_{H}(\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{E'}) \in \Omega^{\bullet}(LZ, \mathcal{L}^{B})^{\mathbb{T}}[u, u^{-1}]]$ to be the global form patched together from the local forms constructed above.

Theorem

(i) We have $(\nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{B}} - ui_{\mathcal{K}} + u^{-1}\overline{H})BCh_{\mathcal{H}}(\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{E'}) = 0;$ (ii) The exotic twisted \mathbb{T} -equivariant cohomology class $[BCh_{\mathcal{H}}(\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{E'})]$ does not depend on the choice of connections $\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{E'}$.

(iii) One has a commutative diagram



T-duality: a loop space perspective

Consider



where Z, \widehat{Z} are principal circle bundles over a base X with fluxes H and \widehat{H} , respectively, satisfying $p_*(H) = c_1(\widehat{Z}), \ \widehat{p}_*(\widehat{H}) = c_1(Z)$ and $H - \widehat{H}$ is exact on the correspondence space $Z \times_X \widehat{Z}$. The T-duality Theorem for circle bundles states that there is an isomorphism of twisted K-theories $K^{\bullet}(Z, H) \cong K^{\bullet+1}(\widehat{Z}, \widehat{H})$ and an isomorphism of twisted cohomology theories, $H^{\bullet}(Z, H) \cong H^{\bullet+1}(\widehat{Z}, \widehat{H})$,

As a consequence of our Localisation Theorem, properties of the twisted Bismut-Chern character, T-duality Theorem for circle

T-duality: a loop space perspective

Theorem (T-duality : a loop space perspective)

In the notation above, there is an isomorphism

$$T: h^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{T}}(LZ, \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{B}}}: \bar{H}) \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} h^{\bullet+1}_{\mathbb{T}}(L\widehat{Z}, \nabla^{\mathcal{L}^{\widehat{\mathcal{B}}}}: \overline{\hat{H}}),$$

such that the following diagram commutes,

