

# Condition for the Discreteness of the Laplacean on a Manifold

Mark Harmer and Boris Pavlov  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Auckland  
New Zealand

## Abstract

Here we propose a simple condition for the compactness of the resolvent of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a class of smooth Riemannian manifolds.

## 1 Introduction

Some work has been done on finding conditions for the discreteness of operators on manifolds, see for instance [5, 2] and references therein. Related results may be found in [3]. Here we prove a simple condition for the compactness of the resolvent of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a class of smooth manifolds of arbitrary dimension. Our proof takes a lot from the work in [1] and at the centre of the proof is a weighted Hardy inequality, independently discovered by the authors but which is a specific case of the inequality in [4].

## 2 Condition for Discreteness

Consider a smooth  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  which is both non-compact and has finite volume. The cases where  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact or has infinite volume are easily disposed of: for compact  $\mathcal{M}$  we immediately have that the Laplacean is discrete and for infinite volume we immediately have that it has a branch of continuous spectrum.

We consider the class of manifolds for which the following assumption holds: the non-compact part of  $\mathcal{M}$  can be written as a finite union of disjoint sets  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{nonc}} = \bigcup_i U_i$  and furthermore we assume that on each  $U_i$  we can find coordinates so that the metric is expressed

$$|dl|^2 = ds^2 + \sigma^2(s) |d\xi|^2 .$$

Here  $|d\xi|^2$  is the metric on a compact  $n - 1$ -dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{K}$  and the non-compact region is parameterised by

$$U_i = \{(s, \xi) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}\} .$$

It is clear from the definition that the coordinate  $s$  measures arc-length along geodesics. The condition for finiteness of the volume is equivalent to the integrability of  $\sigma^{n-1}$  on the semi axis. The form of volume may be written

$$d\mu = \sigma^{n-1}(s) ds d\xi^{n-1} \quad (1)$$

where  $d\xi^{n-1}$  is the form of volume on  $\mathcal{K}$ . The Laplace-Beltrami operator is easily seen to have the form

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \sigma^{1-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sigma^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \Delta_\xi$$

where again  $\Delta_\xi$  is the Laplacean on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Now consider the Hilbert space

$$H = L^2(\mathcal{M}, d\mu)$$

of square integrable functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the volume form with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f \bar{g} d\mu .$$

It is well known that for a suitable domain  $\mathcal{L}$  is a selfadjoint operator in  $H$ . The Dirichlet integral of  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Using the coordinates defined above it is clear that on each non-compact  $U_i$  the Dirichlet integral takes the form

$$\int_{U_i} (|f_s|^2 + |\nabla_\xi f|^2) d\mu$$

where the subscript indicates differentiation and  $\nabla_\xi$  is the divergence on  $\mathcal{K}$ . To state our condition for discreteness we define a new measure  $\nu$  on each of the  $U_i$ . Really for subsets

$$V_{i,a,\mathcal{A}} = \{(s, \xi) \in (a, \infty) \times \mathcal{A}\} \subset U_i ,$$

where  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{K}$ , we define

$$\nu(V_{i,a,\mathcal{A}}) = |\mathcal{A}| \left( \int_0^a \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}(s)} \right)^{-1} . \quad (2)$$

Here  $|\mathcal{A}|$  is the measure of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let us denote  $V_{i,s} = V_{i,s,\mathcal{K}}$ . We note that  $\nu$ , like  $\mu$ , is smooth with respect to Lebesgue measure in the coordinates and finite on subsets  $V_{i,s,\mathcal{A}}$  for  $s > 0$  (however, unlike  $\mu$ , it is not finite in neighbourhoods of  $s = 0$ ). Our theorem is written in terms of these measures.

**Theorem 2.1** *The Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathcal{M}$  has compact resolvent iff in each non-compact neighbourhood  $U_i$*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(V_{i,s})}{\nu(V_{i,s})} = 0.$$

For its proof we need the following weighted Hardy inequality which is written in terms of the measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with the dependence on the coordinates  $\xi$  on the sphere dropped.

**Lemma 2.1** *Given  $d\mu$  and defining the associated measure  $d\nu$  as above we have the following inequality*

$$\int_0^\infty f^2(s) d\nu(s) \leq 4 \int_0^\infty (f_s(s))^2 d\mu(s). \quad (3)$$

for functions vanishing in a neighbourhood of the origin.

The proof of this lemma may be found using standard techniques. For details and a more general formulation see the paper by Muckenhoupt [4].

**Theorem 2.2** *The set*

$$N = \{f; |f|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} < c, \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} < c\}$$

is compact in  $H$  iff in each non-compact neighbourhood  $U_i$  of  $\mathcal{M}$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(V_{i,s})}{\nu(V_{i,s})} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Proof: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) It is not difficult to see that the set

$$N_a = \left\{ f; |f|_{\mathcal{M}_a}^2 < c, \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_a} < c \right\}$$

is compact in  $L^2(\mathcal{M}_a, d\mu)$  where

$$\mathcal{M}_a = \mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_i V_{i,a}$$

is a compact set. Consequently we just need to show that the ‘tails’

$$\int_{V_{i,a}} |f|^2 d\mu$$

of  $f \in N$  go to zero uniformly as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $f \in N$  is real and smooth and, since we are only interested in the behaviour of the tails, that  $f$  is zero in a neighbourhood of  $s = 0$ . Writing

$$f^2(s) = 2 \int_0^s f(r) f_s(r) dr,$$

where for now we ignore the dependence on  $\xi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^\infty f^2(s) d\mu(s) &= 2 \int_a^\infty \int_0^s f(r) f_s(r) dr d\mu(s) \\
&= 2 \left[ - \int_s^\infty d\mu(r) \int_0^s f(t) f_s(t) dt \Big|_{s=a}^{s=\infty} + \int_a^\infty \int_s^\infty d\mu(r) f(s) f_s(s) ds \right] \\
&= 2 \left[ \int_a^\infty d\mu(r) \int_0^a \frac{\nu(t, \infty)}{\nu(t, \infty)} f(t) f_s(t) dt + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_a^\infty \nu^{-1}(s, \infty) \int_s^\infty d\mu(r) f(s) f_s(s) \nu(s, \infty) ds \right] \\
&\leq 2 \left[ \nu^{-1}(a, \infty) \int_a^\infty d\mu(r) \int_0^a |f(t) f_s(t)| \nu(t, \infty) dt + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sup_{s \geq a} \left( \nu^{-1}(s, \infty) \int_s^\infty d\mu(r) \right) \int_a^\infty |f(s) f_s(s)| \nu(s, \infty) ds \right] \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{s \geq a} \left( \nu^{-1}(s, \infty) \int_s^\infty d\mu(r) \right) \int_0^\infty |f(s) f_s(s)| \nu(s, \infty) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the notation

$$\nu(s, \infty) = \left( \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\sigma^{n-1}(r)} \right)^{-1}.$$

We note from (2) that

$$d\nu(s) = \frac{\nu^2(s, \infty)}{\sigma^{n-1}(s)} ds,$$

where we emphasise that we have ignored the dependence on  $\xi$ . Then the right hand side of the inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty |f(s) f_s(s)| \nu(s, \infty) ds &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|f(s)| \nu(s, \infty)}{\sigma^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(s)} |f_s(s)| \sigma^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(s) ds \\
&\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty |f(s)|^2 d\nu(s) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_0^\infty |f_s(s)|^2 d\mu(s) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^\infty |f_s(s)|^2 d\mu(s)
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the Hardy inequality (3). Integrating over the angular coordinates the inequality becomes

$$\int_{V_{i,a}} f^2(s) d\mu \leq 4 \sup_{s \geq a} \frac{\mu(V_{i,s})}{\nu(V_{i,s})} \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Since  $f \in N$  the Dirichlet integral is bounded and by the hypothesis the supremum can be made as small as desired by making  $a$  large so we are done.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) We proceed by contradiction assuming that the limit (4) is strictly positive. We claim that this implies the existence of a sequence  $\{s_l\}$  such that

$$\int_0^{s_l} \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}} \int_{s_l}^{\hat{s}_l} \sigma^{n-1} ds \geq c_0 > 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $\hat{s}$  is the unique solution of  $z(\hat{s}) = 2z(s)$  and

$$z(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dr}{\sigma^{n-1}}.$$

Really by contradiction we suppose that given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $S$  such that for all  $s > S$

$$\int_0^s \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}} \int_s^{\hat{s}} \sigma^{n-1} ds < \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(V_{i,s})}{\nu(V_{i,s})} &= \int_0^s \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}} \int_s^\infty \sigma^{n-1} ds \\ &= \int_0^s \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}} \left( \int_s^{\hat{s}} \sigma^{n-1} ds + \int_{\hat{s}}^{\hat{\hat{s}}} \sigma^{n-1} ds + \dots \right) \\ &= z(s) \int_s^{\hat{s}} \sigma^{n-1} ds + \frac{1}{2} 2z(s) \int_{\hat{s}}^{\hat{\hat{s}}} \sigma^{n-1} ds + \dots \\ &\leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n}\epsilon + \dots = 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $2z(s) = z(\hat{s})$ ,  $4z(s) = z(\hat{\hat{s}})$ ,  $\dots$ . But this contradicts our assumption that (4) is not zero giving the existence of  $\{s_l\}$ .

Let us take a subsequence  $\{s_{l_k}\}$  such that

$$z(s_{l_{k+1}}) > 3z(s_{l_k}). \quad (6)$$

We drop the extra subscript and denote this sequence by  $\{s_k\}$  and also put  $z_k = z(s_k)$ . Let us choose a smooth real function  $\eta$  with support  $(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{9}{4})$  which is equal to one on  $[1, 2]$ . Then we construct the sequence of functions

$$u_k(s, \xi) = \sqrt{z_k} \eta\left(\frac{z(s)}{z_k}\right).$$

The Dirichlet integral is

$$\int |u'_k(s)|^2 d\mu = c_k \int \left| \eta' \left( \frac{z}{z_k} \right) \right|^2 \frac{1}{z_k} \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}} = c_n \int |\eta'(y)|^2 dy = c_n c_1$$

for some constant  $c_1$ . Likewise the  $L^2$  norms of the  $u_k$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |u_k|^2 d\mu &= z_k \int \left| \eta \left( \frac{z}{z_k} \right) \right|^2 d\mu \geq z_k c_n \int_{s_k}^{\hat{s}_k} d\mu \\ &= c_n \int_0^{s_k} \frac{ds}{\sigma^{n-1}} \int_{s_k}^{\hat{s}_k} \sigma^{n-1} ds \geq c_n c_0 \end{aligned}$$

are bounded below. Therefore we may normalise this sequence in  $H$ ,  $v_k = u_k/|u_k|_{\mathcal{M}}$ , and in doing so the Dirichlet integral remains bounded by

$$\langle \mathcal{L}v_k, v_k \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \frac{c_1}{c_0},$$

ie.  $\{v_k\} \subset N$ . Furthermore we have from (6) that the support of  $v_l$  and  $v_m$  are disjoint so that the sequence is orthonormal, ie. we have an infinite orthonormal sequence in  $N$  which consequently cannot be compact.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1** *The Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $H$  has only discrete spectrum iff the measure satisfies (4).*

Proof: From the previous theorem we have that the set of elements bounded with respect to the quadratic form

$$F[f] = \langle (\mathcal{L} + 1)f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$$

is compact in  $H$ . This means that the preimage under  $\sqrt{\mathcal{L} + 1}$  of a bounded set in  $H$  is a compact set in  $H$ , ie. the inverse operator is compact. This gives us the desired discreteness of the spectrum.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1** *The weighted Hardy inequality by Muckenhoupt [4] generalises the inequality stated here to the case of  $L^p$  spaces. This raises the question of whether it is possible to use [4] to generalise the result in this paper to  $L^p$  spaces over a manifold.*

## References

- [1] M. Š. Birman. The spectrum of singular boundary problems. In *American Mathematical Society Translations Series 2*, volume 53, pages 23–80. American Mathematical Society, 1966.
- [2] V. A. Kondratiev, V. Maz'ja, and M. A. Shubin. Discreteness of spectrum and strict positivity criteria for magnetic schrödinger operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 29:489–521, 2004.
- [3] V. G. Maz'ja. *Sobolev Spaces*. Springer, Berlin, New York, 1985.

- [4] B. Muckenhoupt. Hardy's inequality with weights. *Studia Math.*, 44:31–38, 1972.
- [5] M. Shubin. Spectral theory of the schrödinger operator on non-compact manifolds. In Brian Davies and Yuri Safarov, editors, *Spectral theory and geometry*, volume 273 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 226–283, 1999.