On Preclosed Sets and Their Generalizations

Jiling Cao
Maximilian Ganster
Chariklia Konstadilaki
Ivan L. Reilly

August 9, 1999

Abstract

This paper continues the study of preclosed sets and of generalized preclosed sets in a topological space. Our main objective is to establish results about the relationships between the various types of generalized closed sets. As a by-product, we are able to provide characterizations of certain known classes of topological spaces by using preclosed sets and their generalizations.

1 Introduction

Let X be a topological space. Recall that a subset A of X is said to be *preclosed* if $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A) \subseteq A$. The *preclosure* of A, denoted by pcl$A$, is the smallest preclosed set in X containing A. It is easy to check that $\mathrm{pcl}A = A \bigcup \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A)$. Complements of preclosed sets are called *preopen* (= nearly open or locally dense [7]) sets. The notion of preclosed sets plays an important role in questions concerning generalized continuity. It leads to the notion of precontinuity. Recall that a function $f : X \to Y$ is *precontinuous* if the inverse image of each closed set of Y is preclosed in X. Blumberg [3] proved that any function $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is precontinuous at each point of a certain dense set of the real line $\mathbf{R}$. Naimpally [17] showed that every linear function between Banach

[0]1991 Mathematics subject classification: 54A05, 54D10, 54F65, 54G05.
Key Words and Phrases: gp-closed set, T_{gs}-space, extremally disconnected.

spaces is precontinuous. In Functional Analysis, precontinuous functions are important in the study of various versions of Closed Graph Theorems and Open Mapping Theorems.

In 1970, Levine [12] initiated the investigation of so-called generalized closed sets. By definition, a subset A of a topological space X is called *generalized closed*, briefly *g-closed*, if $\mathrm{cl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open. Moreover, A is called *generalized open*, or *g-open*, if $X \setminus A$ is g-closed. This concept has been studied extensively in recent years by a large number of topologists (see e.g. references). In [16], Maki et al introduced the concepts of pg-closed sets and gp-closed sets in an analogous manner. These notions are generalizations of preclosed sets which were further studied by Dontchev and Maki [9], leading to a new decomposition of precontinuity.

Definition 1. Let X be a topological space. A subset A of X is called

(1) *pre-generalized closed* (briefly, *pg-closed*) [16], if $\mathrm{pcl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is preopen;

(2) *generalized preclosed* (briefly, *gp-closed*) [16] if $\mathrm{pcl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open.

A space X has been called a *pre-*$T_{\frac{1}{2}}$*-space* [16] if every pg-closed set of X is preclosed. It was proved in [16] that a space X is a pre-$T_{\frac{1}{2}}$-space if and only if every singleton of X is either preopen or preclosed. However, it is easily observed that in any topological space, a singleton is either open or preclosed. Therefore, every pg-closed set is preclosed, or equivalently, every space is *pre-*$T_{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this paper, we shall therefore study gp-closed sets and other generalizations of preclosed sets. Let us recall some basic concepts first, although most of these concepts are well known. A subset A of a topological space X is called *α-open* (resp. *semi-open, semi-preopen*) if $A \subseteq \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A))$ (resp. $A \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A)$, $A \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A))$). Moreover, A is said to be *α-closed* (resp. *semi-closed, semi-preclosed*) if $X \setminus A$ is α-open (resp. semi-open, semi-preopen) or, equivalently, if $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A)) \subseteq A$ (resp. $\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A) \subseteq A$, $\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A)) \subseteq A$). The *$\alpha$-closure* (resp. *semi-closure, semi-preclosure*) of $A \subseteq X$ is the smallest α-closed (resp. semi-closed, semi-preclosed) set containing A. It is well-known that $\alpha\text{-cl}A = A \bigcup \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A))$, $\mathrm{scl}A = A \bigcup \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A)$ and $\mathrm{spcl}A = A \bigcup \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A))$.

Definition 2. Let X be a topological space. A subset A of X is called

(1) *semi-generalized closed* (briefly, *sg-closed*) [2], if $\mathrm{scl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open;

(2) *generalized semiclosed* (briefly, *gs-closed*) [1] if $\mathrm{scl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open;

(3) *generalized α-closed* (briefly, *$g\alpha$-closed*) [13], if $\alpha\text{-cl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α-open;

(4) *α-generalized closed* (briefly, *αg-closed*) [14] if $\alpha\text{-cl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open;

(5) *generalized semi-preclosed* (briefly, *gsp-closed*) [8] if $\mathrm{spcl}A \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open.

The fundamental relationships between the various types of generalized closed sets considered in Definition 1 and Definition 2 can be summarized in the following diagram.

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & g\alpha\text{-closed} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
\text{preclosed} \arrow[dr] \arrow[dd] & & \alpha g\text{-closed} \arrow[dl] \\
 & gp\text{-closed} \arrow[dr] & \\
\text{semi-preclosed} \arrow[rr] & & gsp\text{-closed}
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Diagram 1

We observe that none of the implications in the above diagram can be reversed in general. The main aim of our paper is to characterize the classes of spaces where the converses of the implications in our diagram hold.

2 T_{gs}-spaces and generalized preclosed sets

In this section, we start with establishing relations between various generalized preclosed sets. This will lead us to characterize the class of T_{gs}-spaces and the class of extremally disconnected spaces. Recall that a space X is called a *T_{gs}-space* [15] if every gs-closed set of X is sg-closed. The following characterization of T_{gs}-spaces has been obtained in [4] and [6].

Lemma 2.1. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) X is a T_{gs}-space.
(2) Every singleton is either preopen or closed [4].
(3) Every αg-closed subset of X is $g\alpha$-closed [6].

In our next result we offer additional characterizations of T_{gs}-spaces thereby answering several possible questions about our diagram.

Theorem 2.2. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) X is a T_{gs}-space.
(2) Every gp-closed subset of X is preclosed.
(3) Every gsp-closed subset of X is semi-preclosed.
(4) Every gp-closed subset of X is semi-preclosed.

Proof. The route of our proof is: (1) $\rightarrow$ (3) $\rightarrow$ (4) $\rightarrow$ (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) $\rightarrow$ (4). Observe that the implications (3) $\rightarrow$ (4) and (2) $\rightarrow$ (4) are obvious.

(1) $\rightarrow$ (3). Suppose that X is a T_{gs}-space and let A be a gsp-closed subset of X. We want to show that $\text{spcl}A \subseteq A$. So let $x \in \text{spcl}A$ and suppose that $x \notin A$, i.e. $A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$. If $\{x\}$ is preopen, then $\text{spcl}A \subseteq \text{pcl}A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$, a contradiction. If $\{x\}$ is closed, then $\text{spcl}A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ since A is gsp-closed, also a contradiction. Thus A is semi-preclosed.

(4) $\rightarrow$ (1). First observe that every singleton in any topological space is either preopen or nowhere dense (see e.g. [11]) Now suppose that every gp-closed subset of X is semi-preclosed. Let $x \in X$. If $\{x\}$ is preopen we are done. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is nowhere dense, i.e. $\text{int}(\text{cl}\{x\}) = \emptyset$, and not closed. Then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is gp-closed, since the the only open set containing $X \setminus \{x\}$ is the whole space X itself. By assumption, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is semi-preclosed, i.e. $\{x\}$ is semi-preopen, and so $\{x\} \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}\{x\}))$, a contradiction to the fact that $\{x\}$ is nowhere dense. Thus X is a T_{gs}-space.

The proof of (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) is very similar to the proof of (1) $\rightarrow$ (3) and hence omitted. □

Recall that a space X is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of each open subset of X is open, or equivalently, if every regular closed subset of X is open.

Theorem 2.3. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gsp-closed subset of X is gp-closed.
(2) Every semi-preclosed subset of X is gp-closed.
(3) The space X is extremally disconnected.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) is obvious. Therefore, we have to show that (2) $\rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\rightarrow$ (1).

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3). Let A be a regular open subset of X. Then A is semi-preclosed. By hypothesis, A is *gp*-closed and so $\text{pcl}A \subseteq A$ which implies $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}A)$. Therefore, A is closed and hence X is extremally disconnected.

(3) $\rightarrow$ (1). Let A be a *gsp*-closed subset of X, and let $U \subseteq X$ be open with $A \subseteq U$. If $B = \text{spcl}A$ then, by assumption, $A \subseteq B \subseteq U$. Since B is semi-preclosed, by Theorem 2.3 in [4] we have that B is preclosed. Therefore $\text{pcl}A \subseteq B \subseteq U$, i.e. A is *gp*-closed. □

As a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 we now have

Corollary 2.4. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gsp-closed subset of X is preclosed.
(2) X is T_{gs} and extremally disconnected.

3 When is every gp-closed set αg-closed?

In order to answer this question we need some preparation. A subspace A of a space X is called *resolvable* if it has two disjoint dense subsets, otherwise it is called *irresolvable*. In addition, A is said to be *strongly irresolvable* if every open subspace of A is irresolvable. It has been shown by Hewitt [10] that every topological space X has a decomposition $X = F \cup G$, where F is closed and resolvable, and G is open and hereditarily irresolvable. We will call this decomposition the *Hewitt decomposition* of X. Recall also that a space X is said to be *locally indiscrete* if every open subset of X is closed. The following crucial result has been obtained in [5].

Theorem 3.1. [5] *Let $X = F \cup G$ be the Hewitt decomposition of a space (X, τ) and let $X_1 = \{x \in X : \{x\}$ is nowhere dense $\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) Every semi-preclosed set is sg-closed.

(2) $X_1 \cap \mathrm{scl}A \subseteq \mathrm{spcl}A$ for each $A \subseteq X$.

(3) $X_1 \subseteq \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}G)$.

(4) (X, τ) is the topological sum of a locally indiscrete space and a strongly irresolvable space.

(5) Every preclosed set is $g\alpha$-closed.

(6) (X, τ^α) is g-submaximal, i.e. every dense set is g-open in (X, τ^α).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $X = F \cup G$ be the Hewitt decomposition of a space X. If every preclosed set is αg-closed then* $\mathrm{int}F$ *is a clopen locally indiscrete subspace.*

Proof. Let U be an open subset of $\mathrm{int}F$. Since $\mathrm{int}F$ is resolvable, it can be decomposed into two disjoint dense subsets, say E_1 and E_2. If $R = U \cap E_1$ then R has empty interior, hence is preclosed. By assumption, $R \subseteq U$ is αg-closed and so α-cl$R \subseteq U$. Since $\mathrm{cl}R = \mathrm{cl}U$, we have $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}U) \subseteq U$ and so $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}U) = U$, i.e. U is closed in X. It follows that $\mathrm{int}F$ is a clopen locally indiscrete subspace. □

Remark 3.3. *If $X = F \cup G$ is the Hewitt decomposition of a space X, then $X \setminus \mathrm{int}F = \mathrm{cl}G$ is always strongly irresolvable. Hence, under the hypothesis of Proposition 3.2, (4) in Theorem 3.1 is fulfilled.*

We are now able to answer the question posed in the title of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*

(1) Every gp-closed set is αg-closed.

(2) Every preclosed set is αg-closed.

(3) Every preclosed set is $g\alpha$-closed.

Proof. (1) $\to$ (2) and (3) $\to$ (2) are obvious, and (2) $\to$ (3) follows from Remark 3.3 and Theorem 3.1.

(2) $\to$ (1): Let A be gp-closed and $A \subseteq U$ where U is open. If $B = \mathrm{pcl}A$ then $B \subseteq U$. By assumption, B is αg-closed and so α-cl$A \subseteq \alpha$-cl$B \subseteq U$, i.e. A is αg-closed. □

Corollary 3.5. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*

(1) Every gp-closed set is $g\alpha$-closed.

(2) X is T_{gs} and every gp-closed set is αg-closed.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2): We have to show that X is T_{gs}. Let $x \in X$ and suppose that $\{x\}$ is nowhere dense and not closed. Then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is α-open and gp-closed, and so $\alpha\text{-cl}(X \setminus \{x\}) \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$. Thus $X \setminus \{x\}$ is α-closed and $\{x\}$ is α-open, a contradiction. This proves that X is T_{gs}.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (1): This follows from Lemma 2.1. □

In concluding this section we provide an example of a space where every gp-closed set is αg-closed but which fails to be T_{gs}, hence must have a gp-closed subset which is not $g\alpha$-closed.

Example 3.6. Let X be the set of natural numbers with $\emptyset, X$ and sets of the form $\{1, 2, ..., n\}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, as open sets. Since $\{1\} \subseteq U$ for every open set U, X is strongly irresolvable and so, by Theorem 3.1, every preclosed set is $g\alpha$-closed. By Theorem 3.4, every gp-closed set is αg-closed. If $m > 1$ then $\text{cl}\{m\} = \{m, m+1, ...\}$. Hence $\{m\}$ is nowhere dense but not closed, so X is not T_{gs}.

4 gp-closed sets and sg-closed sets

In this section we shall consider the relationships between gp-closed sets and sg-closed sets (resp. gs-closed sets). First observe that every sg-closed set is obviously gs-closed. The relationships between sg-closed sets (gs-closed) sets and other generalized preclosed sets can be illustrated in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
sg\text{-closed} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & gs\text{-closed} \arrow[d] \\
\text{semi-preclosed} \arrow[r] & gsp\text{-closed}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Diagram 2

In general, the notions of gp-closed sets and sg-closed (gs-closed) sets are independent of each other. Recall also that a space X is said to be *sg-submaximal* [4] if every dense subset is sg-open.

Theorem 4.1. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gs-closed subset of X is gp-closed.
(2) Every sg-closed subset of X is gp-closed.
(3) The space X is extremally disconnected.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) is obvious. We shall show (2) $\rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\rightarrow$ (1).

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3): Let A be a regular open subset of X. Then A is semi-closed and hence sg-closed. By assumption, A is gp-closed and $A \subseteq A$. So $\mathrm{pcl}A = \mathrm{cl}A \subseteq A$, i.e. A is closed and thus X is extremally disconnected.

(3) $\rightarrow$ (1): Let A be gs-closed with $A \subseteq U$ where U is open. Then $\mathrm{scl}A = A \cup \mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A) \subseteq U$. By assumption, $\mathrm{int}(\mathrm{cl}A)$ is closed and so clearly $\mathrm{pcl}A = A \cup \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}A) \subseteq U$, i.e. A is gp-closed. □

Theorem 4.2. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gp-closed set is gs-closed.
(2) Every preclosed set is gs-closed.
(3) X is sg-submaximal.

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2) is obvious and (2) $\leftrightarrow$ (3) is Theorem 4.5 in [6].

(2) $\rightarrow$ (1): Let A be gp-closed with $A \subseteq U$ where U is open. If $B = \mathrm{pcl}A$ then B is preclosed and $B \subseteq U$. By assumption, B is gs-closed and so $\mathrm{scl}A \subseteq \mathrm{scl}B \subseteq U$, i.e. A is gs-closed. □

The proof of the following result is similar to that of Theorem 4.2, thus is omitted.

Theorem 4.3. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gsp-closed set of X is gs-closed.
(2) Every semi-preclosed set of X is gs-closed.

Proposition 4.4. *If every gp-closed subset of a space X is sg-closed, then X is T_{gs}.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x\}$ is nowhere dense but not closed. Then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is semi-open and gp-closed. By assumption, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is sg-closed and thus semi-closed. So $\{x\}$ is semi-open, contradicting the fact that $\{x\}$ is nowhere dense. □

As corollaries to Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 we now have the following results.

Corollary 4.5. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gp-closed set is sg-closed.
(2) X is both T_{gs} and sg-submaximal.

Corollary 4.6. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*
(1) Every gsp-closed set is sg-closed.
(2) X is T_{gs} and every gsp-closed set is gs-closed.
(3) X is both T_{gs} and (X, τ^{α}) is g-submaximal.

Remark 4.7. One might ask whether every sg-submaximal space has to be T_{gs}. This is, however, not the case. The space in our Example 3.6 is not T_{gs} and has the property that every preclosed set is $g\alpha$-closed and thus gs-closed. Hence, by Theorem 4.2, it is sg-submaximal.

References

[1] S. Arya and T. Nour, Characterizations of s-normal spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **21** (1990), 717-719.

[2] P. Bhattacharya and B. K. Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, *Indian J. Math.*, **29** (1987), 375-382.

[3] H. Blumberg, New properties of all real functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **24** (1922), 113-128.

[4] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. L. Reilly, Submaximality, extremal disconnectedness and generalized closed sets, *Houston J. Math.* **24** (1998), 681-688.

[5] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. L. Reilly, On sg-closed sets and $g\alpha$-closed sets, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser A, Math.*, **20** (1999), 1-5.

[6] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. L. Reilly, On generalized closed sets, *Topology Appl.*, Proceedings of the 1998 Gyula Topology Colloquium, to appear.

[7] H. Corson and E. Michael, Metrizability of certain countable unions, *Illinois J. Math.*, **8** (1964), 351-360.

[8] J. Dontchev, On generating semi-preopen sets, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A., Math.*, **16** (1995), 35-48.

[9] J. Dontchev and H. Maki, On the behavior of gp-closed sets and their generalizations, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser A, Math.*, **19** (1998), 57–72.

[10] E. Hewitt, A problem of set-theoretic topology, *Duke J. Math.*, **10** (1943), 309-333.

[11] D. Janković and I. L. Reilly, On semi-separation properties, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **16** (1985), 957-964.

[12] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **19** (1970), 89-96.

[13] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalized α-closed sets in topology, *Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III*, **42** (1993), 13-21.

[14] H. Maki, K. Balachandran and R. Devi, Associated topologies of generalized α-closed sets and α-generalized closed sets, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math.*, **15** (1994), 51-63.

[15] H. Maki, K. Balachandran and R. Devi, Remarks on semi-generalized closed sets and generalized semi-closed sets, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **36** (1996), 155-163.

[16] H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri, Every topological space is pre-$T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math.*, **17** (1996), 33-42.

[17] S. Naimpally, Analysis the unknown, preprint.

Jiling Cao and Ivan L. Reilly: Department of Mathematics, The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1, New Zealand.

Email address: cao@math.auckland.ac.nz, i.reilly@math.auckland.ac.nz

Maximilian Ganster: Department of Mathematics, Graz University of Technology, Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 Graz, Austria.

Email address: ganster@weyl.math.tu-graz.ac.at

Chariklia Konstadilaki: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006 Thessaloniki, Greece.

Email address: xariklia@ccf.auth.gr