

involve

a journal of mathematics

Spherical half-designs of high order

Daniel Hughes and Shayne Waldron



Spherical half-designs of high order

Daniel Hughes and Shayne Waldron

(Communicated by David Royal Larson)

We give some explicit examples of putatively optimal spherical half-designs, i.e., ones for which there is numerical evidence that they are of minimal size. These include a 16-point weighted spherical half-design of order 8 for \mathbb{R}^3 based on the pentakis dodecahedron. This gives rise to a 32-point weighted spherical 9-design for the sphere.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{S} be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d and σ be the surface area measure on \mathbb{S} , normalised to have $\sigma(\mathbb{S}) = 1$, i.e., to be a probability measure. A “spherical design” is a sequence of points v_1, \dots, v_n in \mathbb{S} for which the integration (cubature) rule

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n p(v_j) \quad (1-1)$$

holds for all p in some finite-dimensional space of polynomials P . The existence of such a spherical design for n sufficiently large was proved in [Seymour and Zaslavsky 1984]. When P is the space of polynomials of degree $\leq t$, one has a *spherical t -design*. Suppose that $P = \Pi_k^\circ(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree k . If k is even, then (1-1) integrates all the homogeneous polynomials q of even degrees $2m \leq k = 2t$, since taking

$$p(x) = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^{t-m} q(x)$$

in (1-1) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} q(x) d\sigma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n p(v_j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n q(v_j).$$

For this reason, the spherical designs which integrate the homogeneous polynomials of degree $2t$ (and hence of degrees $0, 2, \dots, 2t$) are called *spherical half-designs*

MSC2010: primary 05B30, 42C15, 65D30; secondary 94A12.

Keywords: spherical t -designs, spherical half-designs, tight spherical designs, finite tight frames, integration rules, cubature rules, cubature rules for the sphere, pentakis dodecahedron.

of order $2t$. The terms spherical $2t$ -design [Seidel 2001] and spherical (t, t) -design [Waldron 2017] are also used. The related spherical designs of harmonic index $2t$ [Bannai et al. 2015] integrate the subspace of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree $2t$.

We also observe that if q is homogeneous of odd degree, then $q(-x) = -q(x)$ and its integral over \mathbb{S} is zero. Thus if a spherical design is *centrally symmetric*, i.e., of the form $\{\pm v_j\}$, then it integrates all homogeneous polynomials of odd degree. Thus:

Proposition 1.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\pm v_j)$ is a centrally symmetric spherical $(2t+1)$ -design of $2n$ vectors for \mathbb{R}^d .
- (ii) (v_j) is a spherical half-design of order $2t$ of n vectors for \mathbb{R}^d .

The analogue of Proposition 1.1 for complex spherical designs is discussed in [Roy and Suda 2014, Lemma 3.4; Mohammadpour and Waldron 2019].

There are various equivalent conditions to being a spherical design [Delsarte et al. 1977; Bannai and Bannai 2009]. These include being an integration rule for a subspace of harmonic polynomials, and a variational characterisation. The spherical half-designs (v_j) of order $2t$ are characterised in [Waldron 2017] as the vectors in \mathbb{R}^d which give equality in the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle v_j, v_k \rangle|^{2t} \geq \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2t-1)}{d(d+2) \cdots (d+2(t-1))} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \|v_\ell\|^{2t} \right)^2. \quad (1-2)$$

This implies that a spherical half-design (v_j) is *projectively unitarily invariant*; i.e., $(c_j U v_j)$ is also a half-design when $c_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and U is unitary. A spherical t -design has this property if and only if it is centrally symmetric. In view of this (and their definitions), a spherical t -design can be thought of as a set of points that are evenly spaced on the sphere, and a spherical half-design as a set of lines (antipodal points) which are evenly spaced on the sphere. Using results from Brouwer degree theory, [Bondarenko et al. 2013] showed that the minimum number of points in a spherical t -design (and hence in a spherical half-design of order $2t$) grows like t^{d-1} (with d fixed).

When the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n in \mathbb{R}^d giving equality in (1-2) are not all of unit norm and not all zero, then one has the weighted integration rule

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} p \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{\sum_k \|v_k\|^{2t}} \sum_{j=1}^n p(v_j) = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ v_j \neq 0}}^n w_j p\left(\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) \quad \text{for all } p \in \Pi_{2t}^\circ(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where

$$w_j := \frac{\|v_j\|^{2t}}{\sum_k \|v_k\|^{2t}},$$

and we call (v_j) a *weighted* spherical half-design of order $2t$ with weights (w_j) [Kotelina and Pevnyi 2011].

Since spherical half-designs (v_j) satisfy (1-2) and are determined by the equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle v_j, v_k \rangle|^{2t} = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2t-1)}{d(d+2) \cdots (d+2(t-1))} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \|v_\ell\|^{2t} \right)^2, \quad (1-3)$$

it is possible to find them numerically, for n sufficiently large [Bramwell 2011]. In this way, [Hughes and Waldron 2018] found *putatively optimal* spherical half-designs of order $2t$ for a given t and d , i.e., those with the smallest number of vectors. This was done by using an iterative algorithm that attempts to minimise the difference between the left-hand and right-hand sides of (1-2) by making appropriate perturbations, starting from an initial guess. A similar search for putatively optimal spherical t -designs for the sphere ($d = 3$) was done in [Hardin and Sloane 1996]. Analogous searches for complex (projective) spherical designs include [Renes et al. 2004] (complex equiangular lines) and [Roy and Scott 2007] (complex weighted t -designs, with predetermined weights).

In this paper, we give some explicit spherical half-designs motivated by the putatively optimal ones found in [Hughes and Waldron 2018]. Since these exhibit a high degree of symmetry, we believe them to be optimal, i.e., to have the minimum number of vectors possible. Before doing this, we give a couple of examples.

Example 1.2 ($t = 1$). The spherical half-designs of order 2 are precisely the *tight frames* for \mathbb{R}^d [Waldron 2003]. A sequence of vectors (v_j) is a *tight frame* for \mathbb{R}^d , see [Waldron 2018], if it satisfies the generalised Parseval identity

$$x = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, v_j \rangle v_j \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \text{where } dA = \sum_j \|v_j\|^2.$$

Example 1.3 (tight spherical t -designs). The term “tight” is also used for a spherical t -design which gives equality in the estimate

$$N(d, t) \geq \begin{cases} \binom{d-1+k}{d-1} + \binom{d-2+k}{d-1}, & t = 2k, \\ 2\binom{d-1+k}{d-1}, & t = 2k + 1, \end{cases} \quad (1-4)$$

of [Delsarte et al. 1977] for the minimal number $N(d, t)$ of vectors in a spherical t -design for \mathbb{R}^d . A tight spherical $(2t+1)$ -design is centrally symmetric. Therefore, if $(\pm v_j)$ is a tight spherical $(2t+1)$ -design for \mathbb{R}^d , then (v_j) is an optimal spherical half-design of order $2t$.

From the known tight spherical $(2t+1)$ -designs for \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$ [Bannai and Bannai 2009; Nebe and Venkov 2012], we have the following optimal spherical half-designs: an orthonormal basis (Example 1.2) which comes from the cross polytope $(\pm e_j)$, 6 vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 (order 4) obtained from 12 vertices of the icosahedron, 28 vectors in \mathbb{R}^7 (order 4), 276 vectors in \mathbb{R}^{23} (order 4), 120 vectors in \mathbb{R}^8 (order 6), 2300 vectors in \mathbb{R}^{23} (order 6), 98280 vectors in \mathbb{R}^{24} (order 10).

2. Optimal spherical half-designs for \mathbb{R}^2

The putatively optimal spherical half-designs for \mathbb{R}^2 are given by uniformly spaced lines.

Proposition 2.1. *The $n = t + 1$ uniformly spaced lines in \mathbb{R}^2 given by the vectors*

$$(v_j) = \left\{ \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{n} j, \sin \frac{\pi}{n} j \right) : j = 0, \dots, n - 1 \right\}$$

are a spherical half-design of order $2t$.

Proof. We will use the cubature rule that for all bivariate polynomials $p \in \Pi_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)} p(x, y) d\sigma(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n p\left(\cos \frac{2\pi}{n} j, \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} j\right).$$

We now verify that (w_j) is a spherical (t, t) -design for \mathbb{R}^2 , i.e., (1-3) holds. Using the trigonometric identity $\cos^2 \theta = (\cos 2\theta + 1)/2$, and the cubature rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \sum_k | \langle v_j, v_k \rangle |^{2t} &= \sum_j \sum_k \left(\cos j \frac{\pi}{n} \cos k \frac{\pi}{n} + \sin j \frac{\pi}{n} \sin k \frac{\pi}{n} \right)^{2t} \\ &= \sum_j \sum_k \left(\cos(j - k) \frac{\pi}{n} \right)^{2t} = \sum_j \sum_k \left(\frac{\cos \frac{2\pi}{n}(j - k) + 1}{2} \right)^t \\ &= n^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \left(\frac{\cos \frac{2\pi}{n} j + 1}{2} \right)^t = n^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} \right)^t d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The integral above simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\cos \theta + 1}{2} \right)^t d\theta &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2t} \frac{d\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (\cos x)^{2t} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos x)^{2t} dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdots \frac{2t-3}{2t-2} \cdot \frac{2t-1}{2t}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. □

The corresponding spherical $(2t+1)$ -design of $2(t+1)$ vectors for the circle is a *tight* spherical design (see [Example 1.3](#)), and so this configuration is an optimal spherical half-design, which is unique in the class of *rigid* spherical designs; see [\[Bannai and Bannai 2009\]](#).

3. Optimal spherical half-designs for \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^5

The putatively optimal spherical half-designs we present here are *weighted*. There is a corresponding notion for t -designs: a sequence of points v_1, \dots, v_n in $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

and weights $w_1, \dots, w_n \geq 0$, $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$, is said to be a *weighted spherical t -design* if

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x) d\sigma(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j p(v_j) \tag{3-1}$$

holds for all polynomials of degree $\leq t$. The correspondence of [Proposition 1.1](#) extends. The following is proved in [\[Kotelina and Pevnyi 2011\]](#) using a different definition of spherical half-designs.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (v_j) be a sequence of n vectors in \mathbb{R}^d and*

$$w_j = w_j^{(t)} := \frac{\|v_j\|^{2t}}{\sum_k \|v_k\|^{2t}}$$

be its weights as a spherical half-design of order $2t$. Then following are equivalent:

- (i) $(\pm v_j / \|v_j\|), (w_j/2)$ is a weighted spherical $(2t+1)$ -design of $2n$ vectors for \mathbb{R}^d .
- (ii) (v_j) is a weighted spherical half-design of order $2t$ of n vectors for \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. First suppose that $(\pm v_j / \|v_j\|), (w_j/2)$ is a weighted spherical $(2t+1)$ -design of $2n$ vectors for \mathbb{R}^d (the weight for $\pm v_j / \|v_j\|$ is $w_j/2$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x) d\sigma(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j^{(t)}}{2} \left\{ p\left(\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) + p\left(-\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{(t)} p\left(\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) \quad \text{for all } p \in \Pi_{2t}^{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^d), \end{aligned}$$

so that (v_j) is a weighted spherical half-design of order $2t$.

Now suppose that (v_j) is a weighted spherical half-design of order $2t$. Then the integration rule with points $(\pm v_j / \|v_j\|)$ and weights $(w_j/2)$ integrates $\Pi_{2t}^{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (by the above calculation). It also integrates the homogeneous polynomials of odd order (since $p(x) + p(-x) = 0$ when p is odd) and the constants (since the weights add to 1). It therefore only remains to show that this rule integrates $\Pi_{2r}^{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq r < t$. A direct calculation, see [\[Waldron 2017\]](#), of the condition (1-3) shows that $(\|v_j\|^{t/r-1} v_j)$ is a spherical half-design of order $2r$, $1 \leq r \leq t$. Thus, we have the integration rule

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x) d\sigma(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{(r)} p\left(\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) \quad \text{for all } p \in \Pi_{2r}^{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where

$$w_j^{(r)} = \frac{\| \|v_j\|^{t/r-1} v_j \|^{2r}}{\sum_k \| \|v_k\|^{t/r-1} v_k \|^{2r}} = \frac{\|v_j\|^{2t}}{\sum_k \|v_k\|^{2t}} = w_j^{(t)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq t.$$

Therefore, for $p \in \Pi_{2r}^{\circ}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j^{(t)}}{2} \left\{ p\left(\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) + p\left(-\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{(r)} p\left(\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} p(x) d\sigma(x),$$

as desired. \square

This gives a 1-1 correspondence, where the weighted spherical half-designs are given up to multiplication of its vectors by ± 1 and fixed nonzero scalar. In particular, if $(\pm u_j), (w_j)$ is a weighted spherical $(2t+1)$ -design, then $v_j := w_j^{1/(2t)} u_j$ gives the corresponding spherical half-design of order $2t$. We note that the minimal number of vectors in a *weighted* spherical half-design of order $2t$ is an increasing function of t (as it is for weighted spherical t -designs). We also observe that a (weighted) spherical $2t$ -design is a (weighted) spherical half-design of order $2t$.

When describing our weighted spherical designs, we will use the normalised weights

$$\hat{w}_j := nw_j = \frac{n\|v_j\|^{2t}}{\sum_k \|v_k\|^{2t}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

which are all 1 for an unweighted spherical design.

We now summarise our new constructions, with details and explanation to follow.

Theorem 3.2. *There exist*

- (i) a weighted spherical half-design of 16 vectors for \mathbb{R}^3 of order 8 ([Example 3.3](#)),
 - (ii) a weighted spherical half-design of 16 vectors for \mathbb{R}^5 of order 4 ([Example 3.5](#)),
- and correspondingly (by [Theorem 3.1](#))
- (iii) a weighted spherical 9-design of 32 vectors for \mathbb{R}^3 ,
 - (iv) a weighted spherical 5-design of 32 vectors for \mathbb{R}^5 .

The normalised weights \hat{w}_j for all of these designs are $\frac{20}{21} \approx 0.9523$, $\frac{36}{35} \approx 1.0286$.

In particular, we observe that tight t -designs for \mathbb{R}^n can exist only for $t \leq 5$, $t = 7$, or $t = 11$, and for $n = (2m + 1)^2 - 2$ [[Bannai and Damerell 1980](#); [Bannai et al. 2004](#)], so that there is no tight spherical 5-design of 30 points for \mathbb{R}^5 , and no tight spherical 9-design of 30 points for \mathbb{R}^3 . This suggests the weighted spherical 5-design and 9-design of 32 points are indeed optimal.

Let U be unitary. Since the unitary image (Uv_j) of a spherical half-design (v_j) for \mathbb{R}^d is also a spherical half-design, one cannot recognise an exact form for spherical half-design from the individual coordinates of the vectors of a numerically generated one. Instead, one must consider the Gramian matrix $(\langle v_j, v_k \rangle)$ of the design, which determines it up to the above unitary equivalence.

In the following example, we first found an exact form for the Gramian, and then recognised the vectors to be the vertices of a pentakis dodecahedron (a Catalan

solid). A *pentakis dodecahedron* (or *kisdodecahedron*) is a dodecahedron with a pentagonal pyramid covering each face, i.e., the Kleitope of the dodecahedron.

Example 3.3. There is a weighted spherical half-design (v_j) of 16 vectors for \mathbb{R}^3 of order 8, which is given by the lines through the antipodal vertices of the pentakis dodecahedron (take one of the two vertices) as follows (the six vertices/lines of the icosahedron are the first columns):

$$[v_j] := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \tau & 0 & -1 & \tau & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\tau} & \frac{1}{\tau} & \tau & -\tau \\ \tau & 0 & 1 & \tau & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & \frac{1}{\tau} & \frac{1}{\tau} & \tau & -\tau & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \tau & 0 & -1 & \tau & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \tau & -\tau & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\tau} & \frac{1}{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \Lambda_1 & \\ & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tau := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \text{ (the golden ratio)}, \quad \alpha := \sqrt{\frac{3}{1+\tau^2}}, \quad \Lambda_1 := \left(\frac{20}{21}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} I_6, \quad \Lambda_2 := \left(\frac{36}{35}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} I_{10}.$$

It is easy to verify that (1-3) holds. These vectors have lengths $\|v_j\| = \left(\frac{20}{21}\right)^{1/8}$, $\left(\frac{36}{35}\right)^{1/8}$ (respectively), and the corresponding normalised weights are

$$\frac{16\left(\frac{20}{21}\right)}{6\left(\frac{20}{21}\right) + 10\left(\frac{36}{35}\right)} = \frac{20}{21} \approx 0.9523, \quad \frac{16\left(\frac{36}{35}\right)}{6\left(\frac{20}{21}\right) + 10\left(\frac{36}{35}\right)} = \frac{36}{35} \approx 1.0286. \quad (3-2)$$

By Theorem 3.1, this gives a weighted spherical 9-design of 32 points for \mathbb{R}^3 . By way of comparison, [Hardin and Sloane 1996] gives numerical evidence for a spherical 8-design of $n = 36, 40, 42, \geq 44$ points, and of a spherical 9-design of $n = 48, 50, 52, \geq 54$ points for \mathbb{R}^3 ; [Womersley 2018] suggests $n = 50$.

Equiangular lines have long been studied in relation to spherical designs. The unit vectors (v_j) in \mathbb{R}^d (or the lines that they give) are said to be *equiangular* if they have equal cross-correlation, i.e.,

$$|\langle v_j, v_k \rangle| = \alpha, \quad j \neq k, \text{ for some angle } \alpha > 0.$$

Example 3.4 (maximal lines). The number n of equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^d satisfies the absolute (or Gerzon) bound $n \leq d(d+1)/2$. When this bound is attained, the set of lines has angle $1/\sqrt{d+2}$, and hence is a spherical half-design of order 4, by checking (1-3), i.e.,

$$n \cdot 1 + (n^2 - n) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d+2}}\right)^4 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{d(d+1)^2}{d+2} = \frac{1 \cdot 3}{d(d+2)} n^2.$$

Such lines can exist only when $d = 2, 3$ or $d + 2$ is the square of an odd integer. On the other hand, the spherical 5-design of the $2n = d(d+1)$ vectors these lines give is tight, since (1-4) holds as

$$N(d, 5) = 2 \binom{d-1+2}{d-1} = d(d+1) = 2n.$$

Therefore a set of $n = d(d+1)/2$ equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^d gives an optimal spherical half-design of order 4. These are known to exist for $d = 2, 3, 7, 23$ (there are just a few cases of tight spherical t -designs known; see [Example 1.3](#)).

In our final example, various subsets of equiangular lines were recognised from the Gramian, which ultimately led to the presentation we now give.

Example 3.5. There is a weighted spherical half-design (v_j) of 16 vectors for \mathbb{R}^5 of order 4. This consists of 6 equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^5 at an angle of $\frac{1}{5}$ (the vertices of a simplex) given by vectors of length $(\frac{20}{21})^{1/4}$, and 10 equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^5 at an angle of $\frac{1}{3}$ given by vectors of length $(\frac{36}{35})^{1/4}$, where the angle between lines from different families is $1/\sqrt{5}$. A direct calculation shows that (1-3) holds for $t = 2$; i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{20}{21}\right)^{1/4} \left(30\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^4 + 6\right) + \left(\frac{36}{35}\right)^{1/4} \left(90\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^4 + 10\right) + \left(\frac{20}{21} \frac{36}{35}\right)^{1/4} \left(120\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\right)^4\right) = \frac{3}{35} \left(6\frac{20}{21} + 10\frac{36}{35}\right)^2.$$

The Gramian can be presented as the (rank-5) block matrix (the six lines first)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & \\ & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}BB^T & B \\ B^T & \frac{5}{6}B^T B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & \\ & \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_1 := \left(\frac{20}{21}\right)^{1/4} I_6, \quad \Lambda_2 := \left(\frac{36}{35}\right)^{1/4} I_{10},$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3-3)$$

The weights for this design are $\frac{20}{21} \approx 0.9523$, $\frac{36}{35} \approx 1.0286$, the same as in [Example 3.3](#). This design gives a 32-point weighted spherical 5-design for \mathbb{R}^5 . By way of comparison, a tight spherical 5-design for \mathbb{R}^5 (which does not exist) would have $N(5, 5) = 30$ points.

The 6×10 matrix B of (3-3) is very interesting, since its columns and its rows give equiangular lines in \mathbb{R}^5 , i.e.,

$$A = \frac{1}{2}BB^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & -\frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3-4)$$

$$C = \frac{5}{6}B^T B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3-5}$$

The presentation of these lines also seems to be new, as they do not appear in Janet Tremain’s list [2008] of concrete constructions of equiangular lines.

The weighted 16-point designs for \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^5 share the following properties:

- They have the same weights $\frac{20}{21} \approx 0.9523$, $\frac{36}{35} \approx 1.0286$ (which are rationals).
- For the 32-point weighted spherical t -designs that they correspond to, the number of points in an unweighted tight spherical design is $30 = N(3, 9) = N(5, 5)$.
- Both are the orbit of two vectors, under the projective symmetry group.

This seems to be a curious coincidence, since the designs are of different orders and are in different dimensions. Moreover, the projective symmetry groups, see [Chien and Waldron 2018], of the designs are different: for the design for \mathbb{R}^3 it is A_5 (the symmetries of the dodecahedron factored by $\langle -I \rangle$), and for the design for \mathbb{R}^5 it is S_6 .

Motivated by the fact that the projective symmetry group of the design for \mathbb{R}^5 of Example 3.5 is (isomorphic to) S_6 , we can give the following neat presentation of it:

$$V = [v_1, \dots, v_{16}] = [\alpha B B^T, \beta B] \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 16}, \quad \frac{12}{5}\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{20}{21}}, \quad \beta^2 = \frac{5}{6}\sqrt{\frac{36}{35}},$$

where B is given by (3-3), since the Gramian of this V is

$$\begin{aligned} V^T V &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha B B^T \\ \beta B^T \end{pmatrix} (\alpha B B^T \quad \beta B) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 (B B^T)^2 & \alpha \beta B B^T B \\ \alpha \beta B^T B B^T & \beta^2 B^T B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{12}{5}\alpha^2 B B^T & \frac{12}{5}\alpha \beta B \\ \frac{12}{5}\alpha \beta B^T & \beta^2 B^T B \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The vectors (v_j) are in the 5-dimensional subspace $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^6 : x_1 + \dots + x_6 = 0\}$ of \mathbb{R}^6 , and S_6 acts on them by permutation of the coordinates (the first six vectors are the

orthogonal projections of the standard basis vectors onto the subspace). This action on the subspace is irreducible; indeed it is the complex reflection group $G(1, 1, 6)$ in the first infinite family of the Shephard–Todd classification of complex reflection groups [Lehrer and Taylor 2009].

4. Conclusion

We gave explicit examples of putatively optimal weighted spherical half-designs, which are the orbit of *two* vectors (Examples 3.3 and 3.5) of close to equal norm. This suggests that the *weighted* spherical designs with a high degree of symmetry and a small number of vectors are natural in some cases. The study of such spherical t -designs is still in its infancy; see [Sloan and Womersley 2004; Bondarenko and Gorbachev 2012; Womersley 2018; Zhou and Chen 2018].

We also clarified the very close relationship between (centrally symmetric) weighted spherical $(2t+1)$ -designs and weighted spherical half-designs of order $2t$ (Theorem 3.1). In this regard, it would be interesting to know if there are any optimal (weighted) spherical $(2t+1)$ -designs which are not centrally symmetric for t large.

References

- [Bannai and Bannai 2009] E. Bannai and E. Bannai, “A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres”, *European J. Combin.* **30**:6 (2009), 1392–1425. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bannai and Damerell 1980] E. Bannai and R. M. Damerell, “Tight spherical designs, II”, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **21**:1 (1980), 13–30. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bannai et al. 2004] E. Bannai, A. Munemasa, and B. Venkov, “The nonexistence of certain tight spherical designs”, *Algebra i Analiz* **16**:4 (2004), 1–23. In Russian; translated in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **16**:4 (2005), 609–625. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bannai et al. 2015] E. Bannai, T. Okuda, and M. Tagami, “Spherical designs of harmonic index t ”, *J. Approx. Theory* **195** (2015), 1–18. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bondarenko and Gorbachev 2012] A. V. Bondarenko and D. V. Gorbachev, “Minimal weighted 4-designs on the sphere S^2 ”, *Mat. Zametki* **91**:5 (2012), 787–790. In Russian; translated in *Math. Notes* **91**:5-6 (2012), 738–741.
- [Bondarenko et al. 2013] A. Bondarenko, D. Radchenko, and M. Viazovska, “Optimal asymptotic bounds for spherical designs”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **178**:2 (2013), 443–452. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bramwell 2011] J. Bramwell, *On the existence of spherical (t, t) -designs*, honours project, University of Auckland, 2011, available at <https://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~waldron/Students/Jennifer/JenniferBramwelldissertation.pdf>.
- [Chien and Waldron 2018] T.-Y. Chien and S. Waldron, “The projective symmetry group of a finite frame”, *New Zealand J. Math.* **48** (2018), 55–81. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Delsarte et al. 1977] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel, “Spherical codes and designs”, *Geometriae Dedicata* **6**:3 (1977), 363–388. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hardin and Sloane 1996] R. H. Hardin and N. J. A. Sloane, “McLaren’s improved snub cube and other new spherical designs in three dimensions”, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **15**:4 (1996), 429–441. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Hughes and Waldron 2018] D. Hughes and S. Waldron, “Spherical (t, t) -designs with a small number of vectors”, preprint, 2018, available at <https://www.math.auckland.ac.nz/~waldron/Preprints/Numerical-t-designs/numerical-t-designs.html>.
- [Kotelina and Pevnyi 2011] N. O. Kotelina and A. B. Pevnyi, “The Venkov inequality with weights and weighted spherical half-designs”, *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **173**:6 (2011), 674–682. MR Zbl
- [Lehrer and Taylor 2009] G. I. Lehrer and D. E. Taylor, *Unitary reflection groups*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series **20**, Cambridge University Press, 2009. MR Zbl
- [Mohammadpour and Waldron 2019] M. Mohammadpour and S. Waldron, “Complex spherical designs from group orbits”, preprint, 2019. arXiv
- [Nebe and Venkov 2012] G. Nebe and B. Venkov, “On tight spherical designs”, *Algebra i Analiz* **24**:3 (2012), 163–171. In Russian; translated in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **24**:3 (2013), 485–491. MR Zbl
- [Renes et al. 2004] J. M. Renes, R. Blume-Kohout, A. J. Scott, and C. M. Caves, “Symmetric informationally complete quantum measurements”, *J. Math. Phys.* **45**:6 (2004), 2171–2180. MR Zbl
- [Roy and Scott 2007] A. Roy and A. J. Scott, “Weighted complex projective 2-designs from bases: optimal state determination by orthogonal measurements”, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**:7 (2007), art. id. 072110. MR Zbl
- [Roy and Suda 2014] A. Roy and S. Suda, “Complex spherical designs and codes”, *J. Combin. Des.* **22**:3 (2014), 105–148. MR Zbl
- [Seidel 2001] J. J. Seidel, “Definitions for spherical designs”, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **95**:1-2 (2001), 307–313. MR Zbl
- [Seymour and Zaslavsky 1984] P. D. Seymour and T. Zaslavsky, “Averaging sets: a generalization of mean values and spherical designs”, *Adv. in Math.* **52**:3 (1984), 213–240. MR Zbl
- [Sloan and Womersley 2004] I. H. Sloan and R. S. Womersley, “Extremal systems of points and numerical integration on the sphere”, *Adv. Comput. Math.* **21**:1-2 (2004), 107–125. MR Zbl
- [Tremain 2008] J. C. Tremain, “Concrete constructions of real equiangular line sets”, preprint, 2008. arXiv
- [Waldron 2003] S. Waldron, “Generalized Welch bound equality sequences are tight frames”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **49**:9 (2003), 2307–2309. MR Zbl
- [Waldron 2017] S. Waldron, “A sharpening of the Welch bounds and the existence of real and complex spherical t -designs”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **63**:11 (2017), 6849–6857. MR Zbl
- [Waldron 2018] S. F. D. Waldron, *An introduction to finite tight frames*, Springer, 2018. MR Zbl
- [Womersley 2018] R. S. Womersley, “Efficient spherical designs with good geometric properties”, pp. 1243–1285 in *Contemporary computational mathematics: a celebration of the 80th birthday of Ian Sloan*, edited by J. Dick et al., Springer, 2018. MR Zbl
- [Zhou and Chen 2018] Y. Zhou and X. Chen, “Spherical t_c -designs for approximations on the sphere”, *Math. Comp.* **87**:314 (2018), 2831–2855. MR Zbl

Received: 2018-09-05 Revised: 2019-06-03 Accepted: 2019-11-04

dhug729@aucklanduni.ac.nz Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland,
Auckland, New Zealand

waldron@math.auckland.ac.nz Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland,
Auckland, New Zealand

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

| | | | |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| Colin Adams | Williams College, USA | Robert B. Lund | Clemson University, USA |
| Arthur T. Benjamin | Harvey Mudd College, USA | Gaven J. Martin | Massey University, New Zealand |
| Martin Bohner | Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA | Mary Meyer | Colorado State University, USA |
| Amarjit S. Budhiraja | U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA | Frank Morgan | Williams College, USA |
| Pietro Cerone | La Trobe University, Australia | Mohammad Sal Moslehian | Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran |
| Scott Chapman | Sam Houston State University, USA | Zuhair Nashed | University of Central Florida, USA |
| Joshua N. Cooper | University of South Carolina, USA | Ken Ono | Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville |
| Jem N. Corcoran | University of Colorado, USA | Yuval Peres | Microsoft Research, USA |
| Toka Diagana | University of Alabama in Huntsville, USA | Y.-F. S. Pétermann | Université de Genève, Switzerland |
| Michael Dorff | Brigham Young University, USA | Jonathon Peterson | Purdue University, USA |
| Sever S. Dragomir | Victoria University, Australia | Robert J. Plemmons | Wake Forest University, USA |
| Joel Foisy | SUNY Potsdam, USA | Carl B. Pomerance | Dartmouth College, USA |
| Erin W. Fulp | Wake Forest University, USA | Vadim Ponomarenko | San Diego State University, USA |
| Joseph Gallian | University of Minnesota Duluth, USA | Bjorn Poonen | UC Berkeley, USA |
| Stephan R. Garcia | Pomona College, USA | József H. Przytycki | George Washington University, USA |
| Anant Godbole | East Tennessee State University, USA | Richard Rebarber | University of Nebraska, USA |
| Ron Gould | Emory University, USA | Robert W. Robinson | University of Georgia, USA |
| Sat Gupta | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA | Javier Rojo | Oregon State University, USA |
| Jim Haglund | University of Pennsylvania, USA | Filip Saidak | U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA |
| Johnny Henderson | Baylor University, USA | Hari Mohan Srivastava | University of Victoria, Canada |
| Glenn H. Hurlbert | Virginia Commonwealth University, USA | Andrew J. Sterge | Honorary Editor |
| Charles R. Johnson | College of William and Mary, USA | Ann Trenk | Wellesley College, USA |
| K. B. Kulasekera | Clemson University, USA | Ravi Vakil | Stanford University, USA |
| Gerry Ladas | University of Rhode Island, USA | Antonia Vecchio | Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy |
| David Larson | Texas A&M University, USA | John C. Wierman | Johns Hopkins University, USA |
| Suzanne Lenhart | University of Tennessee, USA | Michael E. Zieve | University of Michigan, USA |
| Chi-Kwong Li | College of William and Mary, USA | | |

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2020 is US \$205/year for the electronic version, and \$275/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2020 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2020

vol. 13

no. 2

| | |
|---|-----|
| Arithmetic functions of higher-order primes | 181 |
| KYLE CZARNECKI AND ANDREW GIDDINGS | |
| Spherical half-designs of high order | 193 |
| DANIEL HUGHES AND SHAYNE WALDRON | |
| A series of series topologies on \mathbb{N} | 205 |
| JASON DEVITO AND ZACHARY PARKER | |
| Discrete Morse functions, vector fields, and homological sequences on trees | 219 |
| IAN RAND AND NICHOLAS A. SCOVILLE | |
| An explicit third-order one-step method for autonomous scalar initial value problems of first order based on quadratic Taylor approximation | 231 |
| THOMAS KRAINER AND CHENZHANG ZHOU | |
| New generalized secret-sharing schemes with points on a hyperplane using a Wronskian matrix | 257 |
| WESTON LOUCKS AND BAHATTIN YILDIZ | |
| Generalized Cantor functions: random function iteration | 281 |
| JORDAN ARMSTRONG AND LISBETH SCHAUBROECK | |
| Numerical semigroup tree of multiplicities 4 and 5 | 301 |
| ABBY GRECO, JESSE LANSFORD AND MICHAEL STEWARD | |
| Enumerating diagonalizable matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} | 323 |
| CATHERINE FALVEY, HEEWON HAH, WILLIAM SHEPPARD, BRIAN SITTINGER AND RICO VICENTE | |
| On arithmetical structures on complete graphs | 345 |
| ZACHARY HARRIS AND JOEL LOUWSMA | |
| Connectedness of digraphs from quadratic polynomials | 357 |
| SIJI CHEN AND SHENG CHEN | |