

The diameter of permutation groups

Ákos Seress

February 2011, Queenstown

Cayley graphs

Definition

$G = \langle S \rangle$ is a group. The **Cayley graph** $\Gamma(G, S)$ has vertex set G with g, h connected if and only if $gs = h$ or $hs = g$ for some $s \in S$.

By definition, $\Gamma(G, S)$ is **undirected**.

Cayley graphs

Definition

$G = \langle S \rangle$ is a group. The **Cayley graph** $\Gamma(G, S)$ has vertex set G with g, h connected if and only if $gs = h$ or $hs = g$ for some $s \in S$.

By definition, $\Gamma(G, S)$ is **undirected**.

Definition

The **diameter** of $\Gamma(G, S)$ is

$$\text{diam } \Gamma(G, S) = \max_{g \in G} \min_k g = s_1 \cdots s_k, \quad s_i \in S \cup S^{-1}.$$

(Same as graph theoretic diameter.)

Computing the diameter is difficult

NP-hard even for elementary abelian 2-groups (Even, Goldreich 1981)

Computing the diameter is difficult

NP-hard even for elementary abelian 2-groups (Even, Goldreich 1981)

How large can be the diameter?

$$G = \langle x \rangle \cong Z_n, \quad \text{diam } \Gamma(G, \{x\}) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$$

More generally, G with large abelian factor group may have Cayley graphs with diameter proportional to $|G|$.

Rubik's cube

$$S = \{(1, 3, 8, 6)(2, 5, 7, 4)(9, 33, 25, 17)(10, 34, 26, 18) \\ (11, 35, 27, 19), (9, 11, 16, 14)(10, 13, 15, 12)(1, 17, 41, 40) \\ (4, 20, 44, 37)(6, 22, 46, 35), (17, 19, 24, 22)(18, 21, 23, 20) \\ (6, 25, 43, 16)(7, 28, 42, 13)(8, 30, 41, 11), (25, 27, 32, 30) \\ (26, 29, 31, 28)(3, 38, 43, 19)(5, 36, 45, 21)(8, 33, 48, 24), \\ (33, 35, 40, 38)(34, 37, 39, 36)(3, 9, 46, 32)(2, 12, 47, 29) \\ (1, 14, 48, 27), (41, 43, 48, 46)(42, 45, 47, 44)(14, 22, 30, 38) \\ (15, 23, 31, 39)(16, 24, 32, 40)\}$$

$$Rubik := \langle S \rangle, |Rubik| = 43252003274489856000.$$

Rubik's cube

$$S = \{(1, 3, 8, 6)(2, 5, 7, 4)(9, 33, 25, 17)(10, 34, 26, 18) \\ (11, 35, 27, 19), (9, 11, 16, 14)(10, 13, 15, 12)(1, 17, 41, 40) \\ (4, 20, 44, 37)(6, 22, 46, 35), (17, 19, 24, 22)(18, 21, 23, 20) \\ (6, 25, 43, 16)(7, 28, 42, 13)(8, 30, 41, 11), (25, 27, 32, 30) \\ (26, 29, 31, 28)(3, 38, 43, 19)(5, 36, 45, 21)(8, 33, 48, 24), \\ (33, 35, 40, 38)(34, 37, 39, 36)(3, 9, 46, 32)(2, 12, 47, 29) \\ (1, 14, 48, 27), (41, 43, 48, 46)(42, 45, 47, 44)(14, 22, 30, 38) \\ (15, 23, 31, 39)(16, 24, 32, 40)\}$$

$$Rubik := \langle S \rangle, |Rubik| = 43252003274489856000.$$

$$20 \leq \text{diam } \Gamma(Rubik, S) \leq 29 \text{ (Rokicki 2009)}$$

The diameter of groups

Definition

$$\text{diam}(G) := \max_S \text{diam} \Gamma(G, S)$$

The diameter of groups

Definition

$$\text{diam}(G) := \max_S \text{diam} \Gamma(G, S)$$

Conjecture (Babai, in [Babai, Seress 1992])

There exists a positive constant c :

G simple, nonabelian $\Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) = O(\log^c |G|)$.

The diameter of groups

Definition

$$\text{diam}(G) := \max_S \text{diam} \Gamma(G, S)$$

Conjecture (Babai, in [Babai, Seress 1992])

There exists a positive constant c :

G simple, nonabelian $\Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) = O(\log^c |G|)$.

Conjecture true for

- $\text{PSL}(2, p)$, $\text{PSL}(3, p)$ (Helfgott 2008, 2010)
- Lie-type groups of bounded rank (Pyber, E. Szabó 2011) and (Breuillard, Green, Tao 2011)

Alternating groups ???

Alternating groups: why is it difficult?

Attempt # 1: Techniques for Lie-type groups

Diameter results for Lie-type groups are proven by
product theorems:

Theorem (Pyber, Szabó)

*There exists a polynomial $c(x)$ such that if G is simple,
Lie-type of rank r , $G = \langle A \rangle$ then $A^3 = G$ or*

$$|A^3| \geq |A|^{1+1/c(r)}.$$

*In particular, for **bounded** r , we have $|A^3| \geq |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ for
some **constant** ε .*

Alternating groups: why is it difficult?

Attempt # 1: Techniques for Lie-type groups

Diameter results for Lie-type groups are proven by
product theorems:

Theorem (Pyber, Szabó)

There exists a polynomial $c(x)$ such that if G is simple, Lie-type of rank r , $G = \langle A \rangle$ then $A^3 = G$ or

$$|A^3| \geq |A|^{1+1/c(r)}.$$

*In particular, for **bounded** r , we have $|A^3| \geq |A|^{1+\varepsilon}$ for some **constant** ε .*

Given $G = \langle S \rangle$, $O(\log \log |G|)$ applications of the theorem gives all elements of G .

Tripling length $O(\log \log |G|)$ times gives diameter $3^{O(\log \log |G|)} = (\log |G|)^c$.

Product theorems are false in A_n .

Example

$G = A_n$, $H \cong A_m \leq G$, $g = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ (n odd).

$S = H \cup \{g\}$ generates G , $|S^3| \leq 9(m+1)(m+2)|S|$.

For example, if $m \approx \sqrt{n}$ then growth is too small.

Product theorems are false in A_n .

Example

$G = A_n$, $H \cong A_m \leq G$, $g = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ (n odd).

$S = H \cup \{g\}$ generates G , $|S^3| \leq 9(m+1)(m+2)|S|$.

For example, if $m \approx \sqrt{n}$ then growth is too small.

Powerful techniques, developed for Lie-type groups, are not applicable.

Attempt # 2: construction of a 3-cycle

Any $g \in A_n$ is the product of at most $(n/2)$ 3-cycles:

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) = (1, 2, 3)(1, 4, 5)(1, 6, 7)$$

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = (1, 2, 3)(1, 4, 5)(1, 6)$$

$$(1, 2)(3, 4) = (1, 2, 3)(3, 1, 4)$$

Attempt # 2: construction of a 3-cycle

Any $g \in A_n$ is the product of at most $(n/2)$ 3-cycles:

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) = (1, 2, 3)(1, 4, 5)(1, 6, 7)$$

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = (1, 2, 3)(1, 4, 5)(1, 6)$$

$$(1, 2)(3, 4) = (1, 2, 3)(3, 1, 4)$$

It is enough to construct one 3-cycle (then conjugate to all others).

Construction in stages, cutting down to smaller and smaller support.

Support of $g \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$: $\text{supp}(g) = \{\alpha \in \Omega \mid \alpha^g \neq \alpha\}$.

One generator has small support

Theorem (Babai, Beals, Seress 2004)

$G = \langle S \rangle \cong A_n$ and $|\text{supp}(a)| < (\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)n$ for some $a \in S$.
Then $\text{diam } \Gamma(G, S) = O(n^{7+o(1)})$.

Recent improvement:

Theorem (Bamberg, Gill, Hayes, Helfgott, Seress, Spiga 2011)

$G = \langle S \rangle \cong A_n$ and $|\text{supp}(a)| < 0.63n$ for some $a \in S$.
Then $\text{diam } \Gamma(G, S) = O(n^c)$.

One generator has small support

Theorem (Babai, Beals, Seress 2004)

$G = \langle S \rangle \cong A_n$ and $|\text{supp}(a)| < (\frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon)n$ for some $a \in S$.
Then $\text{diam } \Gamma(G, S) = O(n^{7+o(1)})$.

Recent improvement:

Theorem (Bamberg, Gill, Hayes, Helfgott, Seress, Spiga 2011)

$G = \langle S \rangle \cong A_n$ and $|\text{supp}(a)| < 0.63n$ for some $a \in S$.
Then $\text{diam } \Gamma(G, S) = O(n^c)$.
The proof gives $c = 78$ (with some further work,
 $c = 66 + o(1)$).

How to construct one element with moderate support?

Up to recently, only one result with no conditions on the generating set.

Theorem (Babai, Seress 1988)

Given $A_n = \langle S \rangle$, there exists a word of length $\exp(\sqrt{n \log n}(1 + o(1)))$, defining $h \in A_n$ with $|\text{supp}(h)| \leq n/4$. Consequently

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq \exp(\sqrt{n \log n}(1 + o(1))).$$

A quasipolynomial bound

Theorem (Helfgott, Seress 2011)

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

Babai's conjecture would require
 $\text{diam}(A_n) \leq n^{O(1)} = \exp(O(\log n)).$

A quasipolynomial bound

Theorem (Helfgott, Seress 2011)

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

Babai's conjecture would require

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq n^{O(1)} = \exp(O(\log n)).$$

Corollary

$$G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

A quasipolynomial bound

Theorem (Helfgott, Seress 2011)

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

Babai's conjecture would require

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq n^{O(1)} = \exp(O(\log n)).$$

Corollary

$$G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

Corollary follows from

Theorem (Babai, Seress 1992)

$$G \leq S_n \text{ transitive}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) \leq \exp(O(\log^3 n)) \cdot \text{diam}(A_k) \text{ where } A_k \text{ is the largest alternating composition factor of } G.$$

A quasipolynomial bound

Theorem (Helfgott, Seress 2011)

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

Babai's conjecture would require

$$\text{diam}(A_n) \leq n^{O(1)} = \exp(O(\log n)).$$

Corollary

$$G \leq S_n \text{ transitive} \Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) \leq \exp(O(\log^4 n \log \log n)).$$

Corollary follows from

Theorem (Babai, Seress 1992)

$$G \leq S_n \text{ transitive}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{diam}(G) \leq \exp(O(\log^3 n)) \cdot \text{diam}(A_k) \text{ where } A_k \text{ is the largest alternating composition factor of } G.$$