An introduction to codes from finite projective planes

Geertrui Van de Voorde

University of Canterbury

CODES FROM DESARGUESIAN PROJECTIVE PLANES

CONICS AND HYPEROVALS

KM-ARCS

BLOCKING SETS

A: Incidence matrix of PG(2, q), $q = p^h$, p prime:

- lines of PG(2, q)
- columns=points of PG(2, q)

with entry

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if point } j \text{ belongs to line } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- \triangleright C₁(2, q): row span of A
- Generated over \mathbb{F}_p .

The code
$$C_1(2, q), q = p^h$$
 has:
Length $n = q^2 + q + 1$,

The code
$$C_1(2, q)$$
, $q = p^h$ has:
Length $n = q^2 + q + 1$,
Dimension: $\binom{p+1}{2}^h + 1$ (Hamada/Goethals-Delsarte)

The code $C_1(2, q)$, $q = p^h$ has:

• Length
$$n = q^2 + q + 1$$
,

- Dimension: $\binom{p+1}{2}^{h}$ + 1 (Hamada/Goethals-Delsarte)
- Distance d=minimum weight =?.

→ blocking sets.

DEFINITION The dual code C^{\perp} of C: Set of vectors v with v.c = 0 for all $c \in C$. DEFINITION The dual code C^{\perp} of C:

Set of vectors v with v.c = 0 for all $c \in C$.

For $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$:

• Length
$$n = q^2 + q + 1$$
,

- Dimension: $q^2 + q + 1 \left(\binom{p+1}{2}^h + 1\right)$
- Distance d =?.
- → sets without tangents.

DEFINITION The dual code C^{\perp} of C:

Set of vectors v with v.c = 0 for all $c \in C$.

For $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$:

• Length
$$n = q^2 + q + 1$$
,

- Dimension: $q^2 + q + 1 \left(\binom{p+1}{2}^h + 1\right)$
- Distance d =?.
- → sets without tangents.

OBSERVATION

▶ If *G* is a generator matrix for C, then $vG^t = 0$ for all $v \in C^{\perp}$.

OBSERVATION

- ▶ If *G* is a generator matrix for C, then $vG^t = 0$ for all $v \in C^{\perp}$.
- A matrix H such that $cH^t = 0$ for all $c \in C$ is is called a parity check matrix for C.

OBSERVATION

- ▶ If *G* is a generator matrix for C, then $vG^t = 0$ for all $v \in C^{\perp}$.
- A matrix H such that $cH^t = 0$ for all $c \in C$ is is called a parity check matrix for C.
- Parity check matrix of C=generator matrix of C[⊥] and vice versa.

CODES FROM DESARGUESIAN PROJECTIVE PLANES

CONICS AND HYPEROVALS

KM-ARCS

BLOCKING SETS

DEFINITION

A *conic* in PG(2, q) is a set of points whose coordinates (x_0, y_0, z_0) satisfy a homogeneous quadratic equation.

DEFINITION

A *conic* in PG(2, q) is a set of points whose coordinates (x_0, y_0, z_0) satisfy a homogeneous quadratic equation.

EXAMPLE

The set of points (x, y, z) with $y^2 = xz$ is a conic.

$$\{(1, t, t^2) : t \in \mathbb{K}\} \cup \{(0, 0, 1)\}\$$

THEOREM In PG(2, \mathbb{K}), all non-empty irreducible conics are projectively equivalent to

$$\{(1, t, t^2) : t \in \mathbb{K}\} \cup \{(0, 0, 1)\}.$$

THEOREM In PG(2, \mathbb{K}), all non-empty irreducible conics are projectively equivalent to

$$\{(1, t, t^2) : t \in \mathbb{K}\} \cup \{(0, 0, 1)\}.$$

OBSERVATION

$$\{(1, t, t^2) : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, 0, 1)\}\$$

has q + 1 points; so every non-degenerate conic in PG(2, q) has q + 1 points.

- Every line meets an irreducible conic in either 0, 1 or 2 points.
- Every point lies on a unique tangent line to an irreducible conic.

DEFINITION

An oval is a set of points *S* no three of which lie on a line and such that every point lies on a unique tangent line to the oval.

DEFINITION

An oval is a set of points *S* no three of which lie on a line and such that every point lies on a unique tangent line to the oval. In PG(2, q): an oval has q + 1 points.

Every non-singular conic is an oval; but is every oval in PG(2, q) a conic?

Every non-singular conic is an oval; but is every oval in PG(2, q) a conic?

MR0054979 (14,1008d) Reviewed Järnefelt, G.; Kustaanheimo, Paul An observation on finite geometries. Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematikerkongress, Trondheim, 1949, pp. 166–182. Johan Grundt Tanums Forlag, Oslo, 1952. 48.0X Review PDF | Cliobard | Series | Chapter | Make Link

Citations From References: 4 From Reviews: 3

In a geometry with coordinates from a field with a prime number of elements, p, the axioms of incidence will of course be satisfied. It is observed here that the quadratic form $x^2 - ky^2$ with k a quadratic non-residue may be used to define a metric. Certain axioms of congruence are satisfied if this metric is used. It is conjectured that in a plane with $p^2 + p + 1$ points a set of p + 1 points, no three on a line, will form a quadric. The reviewer finds this conjecture implausible.

Reviewed by Marshall Hall Jr.

THEOREM (SEGRE 1955)

Every set of q + 1 points in PG(2, q), q odd, such that no three are collinear, is the set of points on a conic.

OVALS AND CONICS

MR0071034 (17,72g) Reviewed Segre, Beniamino Ovals in a finite projective plane. *Canadian J. Math.* 7 (1955), 414–416. 48.0X Review PDF Cloboard Journal Article Make Link Citations From References: 98 From Reviews: 21

In a finite projective plane with n + 1 points on a line there can be at most n + 2 points with the property that no three are on a line and if n is odd there can be at most n + 1 with this property. If n is even and we have n + 1 points, no three on a line, then there exists a further point which can be adjoined to these giving n + 2 points, no three on a line. In a Desarguesian plane a non-degenerate conic contains n + 1 points, no three on a line. If, when n is odd, we call n + 1 points, no three on a line, an oval, then i was conjectured by Järnefelt and Kustaanheimo [Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematikerkongress, Trondheim, 1949, Tanum, 1952, pp. 166-182; MR0054979] that in a Desarguesian plane of odd order n, an oval is necessarily a conic. This conjecture is shown to be true in this paper. The method of proof is ingenious. We may take three points of the oval to be $A_1: (1,0,0), A_2: (0,1,0)$, and $A_3: (0,0,1)$ and if $P(a_1,a_2,a_3)$ is a further point on the oval and $x_2 = \lambda_1 x_3, x_3 = \lambda_2 x_1, x_1 = \lambda_3 x_2$ are the three secants PA_1, PA_2, PA_3 , then immediately $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1$. Since the product of all non-zero elements in the field is -1, it will follow that for the tangents at A_1, A_2, A_3 that $x_2 = k_1 x_3, x_3 = k_2 x_1, x_1 = k_3 x_2$ we will have $k_1 k_2 k_3 = -1$. From this the inscribed triangle and its circumscribed triangle are perspective with respect to the center $(1, k_1 k_2, -k_2)$. It follows generally that every inscribed triangle and its circumscribed triangle are parspective. Using this relation on the triangles formed from $P, A_1, A_2, and A_3$, we find that the coordinates of P satisfy a quadratic equation which becomes $x_2 x_3 + x_3 + x_1 x_1 = x_3$ and A_3 we find that the coordinates of P satisfy a quadratic equation which becomes $x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1 + x_1 x_2 = 0$ if we take C as (1, 1, 1), as we may. [The fact that this conjecture seemed implausible to the reviewer seems to have been at least a partial incentive to the author t

Reviewed by Marshall Hall Jr.

DEFINITION

A (planar) arc is a set of points in a projective plane, no three of which are collinear.

DEFINITION

An arc is a set of points in a projective space in general position (no *n* points contained in an n - 2-space).

FOLKLORE THEOREM

Arcs and MDS codes (codes meeting the Singleton bound) are equivalent objects

SIDE NOTE: ARCS AND MDS CODES

Take coordinates for points of arc as columns of a parity-check matrix.

EXAMPLE

(1,0,0),(1,1,1),(1,2,4),(1,3,4),(1,4,1),(0,0,1) is an arc of PG(2,5).

Let
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then H is a parity check matrix for a code with

SIDE NOTE: ARCS AND MDS CODES

Take coordinates for points of arc as columns of a parity-check matrix.

EXAMPLE

(1,0,0), (1,1,1), (1,2,4), (1,3,4), (1,4,1), (0,0,1) is an arc of PG(2,5).

Let
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then H is a parity check matrix for a code with

- So the Singleton bound gives d = 4 ≤ n − k + 1 = 4: MDS code
- Reed-Solomon code

SIDE NOTE: ARCS AND MDS CODES

Take coordinates for points of arc as columns of a parity-check matrix.

EXAMPLE

(1,0,0), (1,1,1), (1,2,4), (1,3,4), (1,4,1), (0,0,1) is an arc of PG(2,5).

Let
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then H is a parity check matrix for a code with

- So the Singleton bound gives d = 4 ≤ n − k + 1 = 4: MDS code
- Reed-Solomon code

Why is d = 4?

A matrix *H* is a parity check matrix for a code with distance *d* if and only if all sets of d - 1 columns are linearly independent and there are *d* dependent columns.

A matrix *H* is a parity check matrix for a code with distance *d* if and only if all sets of d - 1 columns are linearly independent and there are *d* dependent columns.

OPEN PROBLEM MDS Conjecture: An arc of PG(k - 1, q), with $k \le q$, has size at most q + 1,

A matrix *H* is a parity check matrix for a code with distance *d* if and only if all sets of d - 1 columns are linearly independent and there are *d* dependent columns.

OPEN PROBLEM

MDS Conjecture: An arc of PG(k - 1, q), with $k \le q$, has size at most q + 1, unless q is even and k = 3 or k = q - 1, in which case it has size at most q + 2. A linear MDS code of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q has length at most

q+1

A matrix *H* is a parity check matrix for a code with distance *d* if and only if all sets of d - 1 columns are linearly independent and there are *d* dependent columns.

OPEN PROBLEM

MDS Conjecture: An arc of PG(k - 1, q), with $k \le q$, has size at most q + 1, unless q is even and k = 3 or k = q - 1, in which case it has size at most q + 2.

A linear MDS code of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q has length at most q + 1 unless q is even and k = 3 or k = q - 1, in which case it has length at most q + 2.

▶ The MDS conjecture is true for *q* prime (S. Ball 2012).

An arc in PG(2, q) is a set of points no three of which are collinear. Let A be an arc in PG(2, q), then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq q+2.$$

LEMMA (BOSE (1947)) Let A be an arc in PG(2, q), q odd, then

 $|\mathcal{A}| \leq q+1.$

DEFINITION An arc in PG(2, q), q even, containing q + 2 points is called a hyperoval.
DEFINITION

An arc in PG(2, q), q even, containing q + 2 points is called a hyperoval.

Every line meets a hyperoval in 0 or 2 points.

DEFINITION

An arc in PG(2, q), q even, containing q + 2 points is called a hyperoval.

Every line meets a hyperoval in 0 or 2 points.

EXAMPLE

The set

$$\{(1,t,t^2):t\in\mathbb{F}_{2^h}\}\cup\{(0,0,1\}\cup\{0,1,0)\}$$

is a hyperoval.

DEFINITION

An arc in PG(2, q), q even, containing q + 2 points is called a hyperoval.

Every line meets a hyperoval in 0 or 2 points.

EXAMPLE

The set

$$\{(1,t,t^2):t\in\mathbb{F}_{2^h}\}\cup\{(0,0,1\}\cup\{0,1,0)\}$$

is a hyperoval.

More generally, for even q, every conic has a *nucleus* in PG(2, q) and forms a hyperoval. These hyperovals are the regular hyperovals.

OBSERVATION

Not every hyperoval is a regular hyperoval.

Bill Cherowitzo's Hyperoval Page Introduction | Table of Contents | Bibliography | Table of Known Hyperovals | Open Problems | Glossary | Search Index | Exit

Name	O-Polynomial	Field Restriction	Section Comments	Properties
Hyperconic	$f(x) = x^2$	None	Section 2	Available
Translation	$f(x) = x^{2^{i}}$ (i,h) = 1	None	Section 2	
Segre	$f(x) = x^6$	h odd	Section 2	
Glynn I	$f(x) = x^{3\sigma + 4}$	h odd	Section 2	
Glynn II	$f(x) = x^{\sigma + \gamma}$	h odd	Section 2	
Payne	$f(x) = x^{1/6} + x^{1/2} + x^{5/6}$	h odd	Section 3	
Cherowitzo	$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{\sigma} + \mathbf{x}^{\sigma+2} + \mathbf{x}^{3\sigma+4}$	h odd	Section 3	
Subiaco	see comments	None	Section 3	
Adelaide	see comments	h even	Section 3	
Penttila-O'Keefe	see comments	h = 5	Section 4	

Known Hyperovals in PG(2,2^h)

 $\mathbf{Y}^4 \equiv \mathbf{\sigma}^2 \equiv 2 \mod (2^{h}-1)$

turn to Research Section of Bill Cherowitzo's Home Page. Page established October 1, 1999 Last Updated June 8, 2004.

Rows of generator matrix of $C_1(2, q)$: lines of PG(2, q)

Rows of generator matrix of C₁(2, q): lines of PG(2, q)
 Generator matrix=parity check matrix of C₁(2, q)[⊥].
 c ∈ C₁(2, q)[⊥] ⇔ c.ℓ = 0 for all lines of PG(2, q)

- Rows of generator matrix of C₁(2, q): lines of PG(2, q)
- Generator matrix=parity check matrix of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$.
- $c \in C_1(2,q)^{\perp} \iff c.\ell = 0$ for all lines of PG(2,q)
- Codeword of C₁(2, q)[⊥] corresponds to a set of points such that every line contains 0 or at least 2 of them

- Rows of generator matrix of $C_1(2, q)$: lines of PG(2, q)
- Generator matrix=parity check matrix of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$.
- $c \in C_1(2,q)^{\perp} \iff c.\ell = 0$ for all lines of PG(2,q)
- Codeword of C₁(2, q)[⊥] corresponds to a set of points such that every line contains 0 or at least 2 of them
- This is a set without tangents.

- Rows of generator matrix of C₁(2, q): lines of PG(2, q)
- Generator matrix=parity check matrix of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$.
- $c \in C_1(2,q)^{\perp} \iff c.\ell = 0$ for all lines of PG(2,q)
- Codeword of C₁(2, q)[⊥] corresponds to a set of points such that every line contains 0 or at least 2 of them
- This is a set without tangents.

COROLLARY

The minimum weight for $C_1(2,q)^{\perp}$ is at least q + 2.

- If q is even, a codeword corresponds to a set S of points that every line intersects S in an even number of points.
- A hyperoval is a set of q + 2 points, no three collinear.
- Hyperovals in PG(2, q) exist iff q is even.

COROLLARY

The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$, q even is q + 2.

- If q is even, a codeword corresponds to a set S of points that every line intersects S in an even number of points.
- A hyperoval is a set of q + 2 points, no three collinear.
- Hyperovals in PG(2, q) exist iff q is even.

COROLLARY

The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$, q even is q + 2.

SETS WITHOUT TANGENTS

Every codeword of C¹₁ gives rise to a set without tangents, but not vice versa.

SETS WITHOUT TANGENTS

- Every codeword of C¹₁ gives rise to a set without tangents, but not vice versa.
- If q is odd: smallest size of set without tangents not known
- Lower bound (Blokhuis Seress -Wilbrink 1991) $q + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q} + 2$ points
- ▶ Example of size 2*p* − 2 for *p* prime.
- The minimum weight of $C_1(2, p)^{\perp}$, p prime, is 2p.

SETS WITHOUT TANGENTS

- Every codeword of C¹₁ gives rise to a set without tangents, but not vice versa.
- If q is odd: smallest size of set without tangents not known
- Lower bound (Blokhuis Seress -Wilbrink 1991) $q + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2q} + 2$ points
- ▶ Example of size 2*p* − 2 for *p* prime.
- The minimum weight of $C_1(2, p)^{\perp}$, *p* prime, is 2*p*.
- ▶ The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$, *q* odd, non-prime???

CODES FROM DESARGUESIAN PROJECTIVE PLANES

CONICS AND HYPEROVALS

KM-ARCS

BLOCKING SETS

Further codewords of $C_1(2, q)$, q even

RECALL

The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$, *q* even is q + 2. Every line meets the support of a codeword in an even number of points, so the weight of each codeword is even.

RECALL

The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$, *q* even is q + 2. Every line meets the support of a codeword in an even number of points, so the weight of each codeword is even.

Is there a codeword of weight q + 4?

RECALL

The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)^{\perp}$, *q* even is q + 2. Every line meets the support of a codeword in an even number of points, so the weight of each codeword is even.

Is there a codeword of weight q + 4?

LEMMA

The support of a codeword of weight q + 4 is necessarily a set of size q + 4 such that every line meets in 0, 2 or 4 points.

→ KM-arcs.

Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1990), 108, 445 Printed in Great Britain

On (q+t)-arcs of type (0, 2, t) in a desarguesian plane of order qBy GÁBOR KORCHMÁROS

Department of Mathematics, University of Basilicata, 85100 Potenza, Italy

AND FRANCESCO MAZZOCCA

Department of Mathematics and its Applications, University of Napoli, via Mezzocannone 8, 80134 Napoli, Italy

(Received 13 December 1989; revised 2 March 1990)

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with certain point-sets T in a projective plane PG(2, q) over GF(q) which have only three characters with respect to the lines. We assume throughout this paper that for any line l of π

$$|T \cap l| = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 2 \\ l, l \neq 0, 2 \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where

$$T] = q + t. \tag{1.2}$$

It is easily seen that if t = 1 then T is a (q+1)-arc, i.e. an oval; otherwise T is a (q+t,t)-arc of type (0,2,t). Therefore (q+t,t)-arcs of type (0,2,t) appear to be a generalization of ovals and there are interesting connections between ovals and (q+t,t)-arcs of type (0,2,t) from various points of view. Our purpose is to investigate

BASIC PROPERTIES

THEOREM (KORCHMÁROS-MAZZOCCA, GÁCS-WEINER) If \mathcal{A} is a KM-arc of type *t* in PG(2, *q*), $2 \le t < q$, then

q is even;

 \blacktriangleright t is a divisor of q.

BASIC PROPERTIES

THEOREM (KORCHMÁROS-MAZZOCCA, GÁCS-WEINER) If \mathcal{A} is a KM-arc of type t in $PG(2, q), 2 \le t < q$, then q is even; t is a divisor of q. If t > 2, then • there are $\frac{q}{t}$ + 1 different *t*-secants to \mathcal{A} , and they are concurrent.

The common point of the *t*-secants is called the *t*-nucleus.



EXAMPLE (*) Let Tr: $\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_2$: $x \mapsto x + x^2 + x^4 + \dots + x^{q/2}$

EXAMPLE (*)
Let Tr:
$$\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_2$$
: $x \mapsto x + x^2 + x^4 + \dots + x^{q/2}$
 $S_0 = \{(1, 0, x) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(x) = 0\}$
 $S_1 = \{(1, 1, y) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 1\}$
 $S_{\infty} = \{(0, 1, z) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(z) = 0\}$

Then, $S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_\infty$ is a KM-arc of type q/2. Its q/2-secants are Y = 0, X + Y = 0 and X = 0. The q/2-nucleus is (0, 0, 1).

EXAMPLE (*)
Let Tr:
$$\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_2$$
: $x \mapsto x + x^2 + x^4 + \dots + x^{q/2}$
 $S_0 = \{(1, 0, x) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(x) = 0\}$
 $S_1 = \{(1, 1, y) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 1\}$
 $S_{re} = \{(0, 1, z) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(z) = 0\}$

Then, $S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_\infty$ is a KM-arc of type q/2. Its q/2-secants are Y = 0, X + Y = 0 and X = 0. The q/2-nucleus is (0, 0, 1).

THEOREM (DE BOECK-VDV 2015)

A set of q + q/2 points in PG(2, q) such that every line meets in 0,2 or q/2 points is equivalent to example (*).

EXAMPLE (*)
Let Tr:
$$\mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_2$$
: $x \mapsto x + x^2 + x^4 + \dots + x^{q/2}$
 $S_0 = \{(1, 0, x) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(x) = 0\}$
 $S_1 = \{(1, 1, y) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(y) = 1\}$
 $S_{re} = \{(0, 1, z) \mid \operatorname{Tr}(z) = 0\}$

Then, $S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_\infty$ is a KM-arc of type q/2. Its q/2-secants are Y = 0, X + Y = 0 and X = 0. The q/2-nucleus is (0, 0, 1).

THEOREM (DE BOECK-VDV 2015)

A set of q + q/2 points in PG(2, q) such that every line meets in 0, 2 or q/2 points is equivalent to example (*). It is necessarily a translation KM-arc.

OVERVIEW: INFINITE FAMILIES OF KM-ARCS OF TYPE 2' IN PG $(2, 2^h)$ for

(A) $h - i \mid h$ (Korchmáros–Mazzocca, Gács–Weiner)

(B) $h - i + 1 \mid h$ (Gács–Weiner; iterative construction)

OVERVIEW: INFINITE FAMILIES OF KM-ARCS OF TYPE 2' IN PG $(2, 2^h)$ For

(A) $h - i \mid h$ (Korchmáros–Mazzocca, Gács–Weiner) (B) $h - i + 1 \mid h$ (Gács–Weiner; iterative construction)

(C) *i* = *h* - 2 (Vandendriessche, De Boeck-VdV 2015)
(D) *i* = *h* - 3 (De Boeck-VdV 2017)
(E) *i* = *h* - 4 for some *h* (De Boeck-VdV 2017)

(F) i = 1 Hyperovals

A CONJECTURE

THEOREM (GÁCS-WEINER)

A KM-arc of type t in PG(2, q) determines a Vandermonde set on each of its t-secants.

THEOREM (GÁCS-WEINER)

A KM-arc of type t in PG(2, q) determines a Vandermonde set on each of its t-secants.

DEFINITION $T = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ is a Vandermonde set if $\sum_{i=0}^n y_i^k = 0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n-2$.

THEOREM (GÁCS-WEINER)

A KM-arc of type t in PG(2, q) determines a Vandermonde set on each of its t-secants.

DEFINITION $T = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ is a Vandermonde set if $\sum_{i=0}^n y_i^k = 0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n-2$.

CONJECTURE (VANDENDRIESSCHE)

A KM-arc of type *t* in PG(2, *q*) together with its nucleus determines an \mathbb{F}_2 -linear set on each of its *t*-secants.

If there is a line L such that the subgroup of the pointwise stabiliser of L stabilising A acts transitively on the points of Aoutside L, then A is a translation KM-arc with translation line L.

THEOREM (DE BOECK–VDV 2015) *Translation KM-arcs of type* 2^{i} *in* PG(2, 2^{h}) *and i-clubs of rank h in* PG(1, 2^{h}) *are equivalent objects.* If there is a line L such that the subgroup of the pointwise stabiliser of L stabilising A acts transitively on the points of Aoutside L, then A is a translation KM-arc with translation line L.

THEOREM (DE BOECK–VDV 2015)

Translation KM-arcs of type 2^i *in* PG(2, 2^h) *and i-clubs of rank h in* PG(1, 2^h) *are equivalent objects.*

- Via *i*-clubs: examples of type 2^i , with i = h 1, i = h 2, h i|h, h i + 1|h.
- No 2-club in PG(2, 32), but there is a KM-arc of type 4 in PG(2, 32) and PG(2, 64).

DE BOECK-VDV 20??

If there are only points of weight 1 and 2, then the number of points of weight 2 is contained in

 $[q-2\sqrt{q}+1, q+2\sqrt{q}+1] \cup \{2q, 2q+1, 2q+2, 3q, 3q+1, q^2+1\}.$ In particular, there are no \mathbb{F}_q -linear 2-clubs in PG(1, q^5).

CODES FROM DESARGUESIAN PROJECTIVE PLANES

CONICS AND HYPEROVALS

KM-ARCS

BLOCKING SETS
- Origins in game theory (J. Von Neumann O. Morgenstern 1944)
- M. Richardson (1956), J. Di Paola (1966), A.A. Bruen (1970)

HISTORY

ON FINITE PROJECTIVE GAMES

MOSES RICHARDSON¹

1. Preliminaries on simple games. Let $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a finite set of *n* elements termed *players*. Let \mathfrak{N} be the class of all subsets *S* of *N*; the elements *S* of \mathfrak{N} are termed *coalitions*. If $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{N}$, let \mathfrak{S}^+ denote the class of all supersets of elements of *s*, and \mathfrak{S}^* the class of all complements of elements of *s*; in symbols, $\mathfrak{S}^+ = [X \in \mathfrak{N} \mid X \supset S$ for some $S \in \mathfrak{S}$], $\mathfrak{S}^* = [X \in \mathfrak{N} \mid N - X \in \mathfrak{S}]$. By a simple game is meant an ordered pair $G = (N, \mathfrak{W})$ where $\mathfrak{W} \subset \mathfrak{N}$ satisfies (1) $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{W}^+$, (2) $\mathfrak{W} \cap \mathfrak{W}^* = 0$. The elements of \mathfrak{W} are termed *winning coalitions*. The elements of $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{L}^*$ are termed *blocking coalitions*. A simple game² is termed

M. Richardson. On finite projective games. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7, 458–465, 1956.

HISTORY

ON FINITE PROJECTIVE GAMES

MOSES RICHARDSON¹

1. Preliminaries on simple games. Let $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a finite set of *n* elements termed *players*. Let \mathfrak{N} be the class of all subsets *S* of *N*; the elements *S* of \mathfrak{N} are termed *coalitions*. If $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{N}$, let \mathfrak{S}^+ denote the class of all supersets of elements of *S*, and \mathfrak{S}^* the class of all complements of elements of *S*; in symbols, $\mathfrak{S}^+ = [X \in \mathfrak{N} | X \supset S$ for some $S \in \mathfrak{S}$], $\mathfrak{S}^* = [X \in \mathfrak{N} | N - X \in \mathfrak{S}]$. By a simple game is meant an ordered pair $G = (N, \mathfrak{W})$ where $\mathfrak{W} \subset \mathfrak{N}$ satisfies (1) $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{W}^+$, (2) $\mathfrak{W} \cap \mathfrak{W}^* = 0$. The elements of \mathfrak{W} are termed *winning coalitions*. The elements of $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{W}$ are termed *losing coalitions*. The elements of $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{L}^*$ are termed *blocking coalitions*. A simple game² is termed

- M. Richardson. On finite projective games. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7, 458–465, 1956.
- Subsets of a set of players are called coalitions. Winning coalitions can force a decision. A blocking coalition can block every decision: it contains at least one player of each winning coalition.

DEFINITION FOR PROJECTIVE PLANES

A set of points *B* in a projective plane Π such that every line of Π contains at least 1 point of *B* is a blocking set.

DEFINITION FOR PROJECTIVE PLANES

A set of points *B* in a projective plane Π such that every line of Π contains at least 1 point of *B* is a blocking set.

MINIMAL BLOCKING SETS

A blocking set B in Π is called minimal if no proper subset of B is a blocking set.







A line: q + 1 points



A line: q + 1 points A projective triangle in PG(2, q), q odd: 3(q + 1)/2 well-chosen points on a triangle



A line: q + 1 points

A projective triangle in PG(2, q), q odd: 3(q + 1)/2 well-chosen points on a triangle

A Baer subplane PG(2, \sqrt{q}), *q* square: $q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ points.



A line: q + 1 points

A projective triangle in PG(2, q), q odd: 3(q + 1)/2 well-chosen points on a triangle

A Baer subplane PG(2, \sqrt{q}), *q* square: $q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ points.

TRIVIAL BLOCKING SETS

A blocking set B in PG(2, q) is called trivial if it contains a line.

SMALL BLOCKING SETS

A blocking set B in PG(2, q) is called small if |B| < 3(q + 1)/2.

A (TRIVIAL) LOWER BOUND

THEOREM (R.C. BOSE, R.H. BURTON (1966)) If *B* is a blocking set in a projective plane of order *q*, then $|B| \ge q + 1$ and |B| = q + 1 if and only if *B* is a line.

THEOREM (A. BRUEN)

Let B be a non-trivial blocking set in a projective plane Π of order q. Then $|B| \ge q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ and equality holds if and only if B is a Baer subplane.

THEOREM (A. BRUEN)

Let B be a non-trivial blocking set in a projective plane Π of order q. Then $|B| \ge q + \sqrt{q} + 1$ and equality holds if and only if B is a Baer subplane.



Π_q : projective plane of order q, q square Π' : Baer subplane of Π_q



 \triangleright *P* lies on *q* + 1 lines of Π_q



- P lies on q + 1 lines of Π_q
- At most one of these meets Π' in a line (so contains $\sqrt{q} + 1$ points)



- P lies on q + 1 lines of Π_q
- At most one of these meets Π' in a line (so contains $\sqrt{q} + 1$ points)
- The other at least q points of Π' are connected to P by distinct lines.
- So the points of Π' block all lines of Π

RECALL A blocking set in PG(2, q) is *small* if its size is less than 3(q + 1)/2.

RECALL

A blocking set in PG(2, q) is *small* if its size is less than 3(q + 1)/2.

THEOREM (A. BLOKHUIS (1994))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), p prime, is a line.

RECALL

A blocking set in PG(2, q) is *small* if its size is less than 3(q+1)/2.

THEOREM (A. BLOKHUIS (1994))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), p prime, is a line.

Тнеогем (Т. Szőnyi (1997))

A small minimal blocking set in $PG(2, p^2)$, p prime, is a line or a Baer subplane.

BLOCKING SETS: CLASSIFICATION RESULTS

THEOREM (O. POLVERINO(1998))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p^3), p prime, is a line or is projectively equivalent to $\{(x, x^{p}, 1) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\} \cup \{(x, x^{p}, 0) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}$ or $\{(x, x + x^{p} + x^{p^2}, 1) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\} \cup \{(x, x + x^{p} + x^{p^2}, 0) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}.$

BLOCKING SETS: CLASSIFICATION RESULTS

THEOREM (O. POLVERINO(1998))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p^3), p prime, is a line or is projectively equivalent to $\{(x, x^p, 1) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\} \cup \{(x, x^p, 0) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}$ or $\{(x, x + x^p + x^{p^2}, 1) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\} \cup \{(x, x + x^p + x^{p^2}, 0) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}.$

REMARKS

• Either
$$p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$$
 points or $p^3 + p^2 + 1$ points.

THEOREM (O. POLVERINO(1998))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p^3), p prime, is a line or is projectively equivalent to $\{(x, x^p, 1) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\} \cup \{(x, x^p, 0) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}$ or $\{(x, x + x^p + x^{p^2}, 1) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\} \cup \{(x, x + x^p + x^{p^2}, 0) | x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}.$

REMARKS

- Either $p^3 + p^2 + p + 1$ points or $p^3 + p^2 + 1$ points.
- ► of Rédei-type: there is a line with |B| p³ points of the blocking set B.
- consists of p³ affine points, together with their determined directions.





Take a (blue) point set of size q.



- Take a (blue) point set of size *q*.
- The green points are the directions determined by the blue point set.



- Take a (blue) point set of size *q*.
- The green points are the directions determined by the blue point set.
- Each line $\neq L_{\infty}$ through a red point is a tangent line to the blue point set.



- Take a (blue) point set of size *q*.
- The green points are the directions determined by the blue point set.
- Each line $\neq L_{\infty}$ through a red point is a tangent line to the blue point set.
- Union of the blue and green point set is a minimal blocking set.
- lf the green set has size < q/2, the blocking set is small.





- Pointset of size q, not at the line at infinity Z = 0 and not determining the 'vertical' direction: {(x, f(x), 1)|x ∈ F_q}.
- Directions determined by a *function f* over a finite field.

THEOREM (S. BALL - A. BLOKHUIS - A. BROUWER - L. STORME - T. SZŐNYI, S. BALL) Let *f* be a function from \mathbb{F}_q to \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^h$, for some prime *p*, and let *N* be the number of directions determined by *f*. THEOREM (S. BALL - A. BLOKHUIS - A. BROUWER - L. STORME - T. SZŐNYI, S. BALL) Let *f* be a function from \mathbb{F}_q to \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^h$, for some prime *p*, and let *N* be the number of directions determined by *f*. Let $s = p^e$ be maximal such that any line with a direction determined by *f* is incident with a multiple *s* of points of the graph of *f*. One of the following holds: THEOREM (S. BALL - A. BLOKHUIS - A. BROUWER - L. STORME - T. SZŐNYI, S. BALL) Let f be a function from \mathbb{F}_q to \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^h$, for some prime p, and let N be the number of directions determined by f. Let $s = p^e$ be maximal such that any line with a direction determined by f is incident with a multiple s of points of the graph of f. One of

the following holds:

(I) s = 1 and $(q + 3)/2 \le N \le q + 1$;

(II) \mathbb{F}_s is a subfield of \mathbb{F}_q and $q/s + 1 \le N \le (q-1)/(s-1)$; (III) s = q and N = 1.

Moreover, if s > 2, then f is an \mathbb{F}_s -linear map.

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), is a line, and hence of Rédei type.

- A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), is a line, and hence of Rédei type.
- A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p²), is a line or a Baer subplane, and hence of Rédei type.

- A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), is a line, and hence of Rédei type.
- A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p²), is a line or a Baer subplane, and hence of Rédei type.
- A small minimal blocking set in $PG(2, p^3)$ is of Rédei type.

A CONJECTURE (A. BLOKHUIS)

All small minimal blocking sets of Rédei-type and the smallest minimal blocking set equivalent to $\{(1, x, \text{Tr}(x)) | x \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(0, x, \text{Tr}(x)) | x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$
THEOREM (P. POLITO, O. POLVERINO (1999)) There exists a small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p^h), p prime, h > 3, that is not of Rédei-type.

THEOREM (P. POLITO, O. POLVERINO (1999)) There exists a small minimal blocking set in $PG(2, p^h)$, p prime, h > 3, that is not of Rédei-type.

The constructed blocking sets are \mathbb{F}_{p} -linear point sets.

(ALTERNATIVE) DEFINITION

 \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in $PG(n, q^t)$: a subgeometry over $\mathbb{F}_q \cong PG(n, q)$ or the projection of a subgeometry from a suitable subspace.

VIA PROJECTION: RANK 4 IN $PG(2, q^3)$



Scattered linear set of rank 4: blocking set of size $q^3 + q^2 + q + 1$.

VIA PROJECTION: RANK 4 IN $PG(2, q^3)$



Linear set or rank 4: blocking set of size $q^3 + q^2 + 1$.

Conjecture [P. Sziklai ('2008')]

All small minimal blocking sets in PG(2, q), $q = p^{h}$, p prime, are \mathbb{F}_{p} -linear sets.

Conjecture [P. Sziklai ('2008')]

All small minimal blocking sets in PG(2, q), $q = p^h$, p prime, are \mathbb{F}_p -linear sets.

- All blocking sets of Rédei-type are linear sets.
- The linearity conjecture in PG(2, p^h), p prime, is wide open for h > 3.

The size of a linear set of rank k + 1

A linear set *L* of rank *k* is the projection of a PG(k, q), which has $\frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$ points.

The size of a linear set of rank k + 1

A linear set *L* of rank *k* is the projection of a PG(*k*, *q*), which has $\frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$ points. So $|L| \leq \frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$.

Is there a trivial lower bound?

The size of a linear set of rank k + 1

A linear set *L* of rank *k* is the projection of a PG(*k*, *q*), which has $\frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$ points. So $|L| \le \frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$.

Is there a trivial lower bound?

THEOREM (J. DE BEULE AND G. VDV (2018)) For a linear set *L* in PG(1, q^t) of rank *k*:

 $|L| \geq q^{k-1} + 1$

The size of a linear set of rank k + 1

A linear set *L* of rank *k* is the projection of a PG(*k*, *q*), which has $\frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$ points. So $|L| \le \frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$.

Is there a trivial lower bound?

THEOREM (J. DE BEULE AND G. VDV (2018)) For a linear set *L* in PG(1, q^t) of rank *k*:

 $|L| \ge q^{k-1} + 1$

An \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in PG(2, q^t) of rank t + 1 contains at least $q^t + q^{t-1} + 1$ points.

OBSERVATION

The trace map gives us an example of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in $PG(2, q^t)$ of rank t + 1 of Rédei-type containing $q^t + q^{t-1} + 1$ points.

OBSERVATION

The trace map gives us an example of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in $PG(2, q^t)$ of rank t + 1 of Rédei-type containing $q^t + q^{t-1} + 1$ points.

THEOREM (D. JENA AND G. VDV (2020))

There exist linear sets of rank t in $PG(1, q^t)$ of size $q^{t-1} + 1$ not arising from the Trace map,

OBSERVATION

The trace map gives us an example of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in $PG(2, q^t)$ of rank t + 1 of Rédei-type containing $q^t + q^{t-1} + 1$ points.

THEOREM (D. JENA AND G. VDV (2020))

- There exist linear sets of rank t in $PG(1, q^t)$ of size $q^{t-1} + 1$ not arising from the Trace map,
- and there exist non-Rédei-type linear blocking sets of size q^t + q^{t-1} + 1 in PG(2, q^t),

OBSERVATION

The trace map gives us an example of an \mathbb{F}_q -linear set in $PG(2, q^t)$ of rank t + 1 of Rédei-type containing $q^t + q^{t-1} + 1$ points.

THEOREM (D. JENA AND G. VDV (2020))

- There exist linear sets of rank t in $PG(1, q^t)$ of size $q^{t-1} + 1$ not arising from the Trace map,
- and there exist non-Rédei-type linear blocking sets of size q^t + q^{t-1} + 1 in PG(2, q^t),
- where we can specify the weight of the heaviest point.

Incidence vector of a line in a projective plane of order q: codeword of weight q + 1.

Difference of the incidence vectors of two lines: codeword of weight 2*q*.

Is there anything in between?

THEOREM (M. LAVRAUW, L. STORME, G. VDV (2008)) A codeword $c \in C_1(2, q)$ with weight < 2q defines a small minimal blocking set in PG(2, q).

THEOREM (M. LAVRAUW, L. STORME, G. VDV (2008)) A codeword $c \in C_1(2, q)$ with weight < 2q defines a small minimal blocking set in PG(2, q).

i.e: the set of non-zero positions in the codeword c corresponds to a set of points in PG(2, q) forming a blocking set.

RECALL (R.C. BOSE, R.H. BURTON (1966)) If *B* is a blocking set in PG(2, *q*), then $|B| \ge q + 1$ and |B| = q + 1 iff *B* is a line.

RECALL (R.C. BOSE, R.H. BURTON (1966)) If *B* is a blocking set in PG(2, *q*), then $|B| \ge q + 1$ and |B| = q + 1 iff *B* is a line.

COROLLARY

The minimum weight of $C_1(2, q)$ is q + 1 and the minimum weight vectors correspond to the incidence vectors of lines. (first obtained by E. Assmus and J.D. Key)

THEOREM (A. BLOKHUIS (1994))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), p prime, is a line.

THEOREM (A. BLOKHUIS (1994))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), p prime, is a line.

COROLLARY There are no codewords in $C_1(2, p)$, p prime, with weight in]p + 1, 2p[.

THEOREM (A. BLOKHUIS (1994))

A small minimal blocking set in PG(2, p), p prime, is a line.

COROLLARY There are no codewords in $C_1(2, p)$, p prime, with weight in]p + 1, 2p[.

(first obtained by K. Chouinard and by G. McGuire and H. Ward for]p + 1,3(p + 1)/2[)

Even stronger:

LEMMA (M. LAVRAUW, L. STORME, P. SZIKLAI, G. VDV (2009))

A codeword $c \in C_1(2, q)$ with weight < 2q defines a small minimal blocking set, intersecting every other small minimal blocking set in 1 mod p points.

Looking at intersections with linear blocking sets:

THEOREM (M. LAVRAUW, L. STORME, P. SZIKLAI, G. VDV (2009))

A small minimal blocking set, intersecting every other small minimal blocking set in 1 mod p points, is a line.

Looking at intersections with linear blocking sets:

THEOREM (M. LAVRAUW, L. STORME, P. SZIKLAI, G. VDV (2009))

A small minimal blocking set, intersecting every other small minimal blocking set in 1 mod p points, is a line.

COROLLARY

There are no codewords in $C_1(2, q)$, with weight in]q + 1, 2q[.

THEOREM (FACK, FANCSALI, STORME, VDV, WINNE (2006)

For *q* prime: a codeword in $C_1(2, p)$ with weight $\leq 2p + \frac{p-1}{2}$ is a linear combination of at most 2 lines, so has weight p + 1, 2p, or 2p + 1.

THEOREM (FACK, FANCSALI, STORME, VDV, WINNE (2006)

For *q* prime: a codeword in $C_1(2, p)$ with weight $\leq 2p + \frac{p-1}{2}$ is a linear combination of at most 2 lines, so has weight p + 1, 2p, or 2p + 1.

BAGCHI (2012)/DE BOECK–VANDENDRIESSCHE (2014)

There exists a codeword in $C_1(2, p)$ of weigth 3p - 3 which is not a linear combination of 3 lines.

THEOREM (T. SZŐNYI AND ZS. WEINER (2018)) A codeword c in C₁(2, q), $q = p^h$, with weight smaller than $q\sqrt{q} + 1$ is a linear combination of at most $\lceil \frac{wt(c)}{q+1} \rceil$ lines, when q is large and $h \ge 2$.

OPEN PROBLEMS

- Prove (or disprove) that every projective plane has prime power order
- Prove (or disprove) that a projective plane of order p prime is Desarguesian
- Find a new hyperoval/classify hyperovals
- Construct a KM-arc of type t for all t|q.
- Prove (or disprove) the MDS conjecture
- Determine the minimum weight of $C(2, q)^{\perp}$
- Find the smallest size of a set without tangents in PG(2, q), q odd
- Prove (or disprove) that a small minimal blocking set in PG(2, q) is a linear set

Thank you for your attention!