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#### Abstract

We provide the first examples of words in the free group of rank 2 which are not proper powers and for which the corresponding word maps are non-surjective on an infinite family of finite non-abelian simple groups.


## 1 Introduction

The theory of word maps on finite non-abelian simple groups - that is, maps of the form $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \rightarrow w\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ for some word $w$ in the free group $F_{k}$ of rank $k$ - has attracted much recent attention. It was shown in $[6,1.6]$ that for a given nontrivial word $w$, every element of every sufficiently large finite simple group $G$ can be expressed as a product of $C(w)$ values of $w$ in $G$, where $C(w)$ depends only on $w$; and this has been dramatically improved to $C(w)=2$ in $[4,5,11]$. Improving $C(w)$ to 1 is not possible in general, as is shown by power words $x_{1}^{n}$, which cannot be surjective on any finite group of order non-coprime to $n$.

Certain words are surjective on all groups - namely, those in cosets of the form $x_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots . . x_{k}^{e_{k}} F_{k}^{\prime}$ where the $e_{i}$ are integers with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right)=1$ (see $[10,3.1 .1])$. The word maps for a small number of other words have been shown to be surjective on all finite simple groups. These include the commutator word $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ (the Ore conjecture [7]), the words $x_{1}^{p} x_{2}^{p}$ (for a prime $p)$ and variants $[3,8]$. Other studies have restricted the simple groups under consideration to families such as $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(q)$ (see, for example, [1]). Motivating some of this work is a conjecture of Shalev, stated in [1, Conjecture 8.3]: if $w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is not a proper power of a non-trivial word, then the corresponding word map is surjective on $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(q)$ for all sufficiently large $q$.

Theorem 1 gives a family of words which are counterexamples to Shalev's
conjecture. We believe these are the first non-power words to be proved nonsurjective on an infinite family of finite simple groups.

Theorem 1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer such that $2 k+1$ is prime, and let $w$ be the word $x_{1}^{2}\left[x_{1}^{-2}, x_{2}^{-1}\right]^{k}$. Let $p \neq 2 k+1$ be a prime of inertia degree $m>1$ in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right)$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $(2 k+1)$-th root of unity, and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=-1$. Then the word map $(x, y) \rightarrow w(x, y)$ is non-surjective on $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(q)$ for all $q=p^{n}$ where $n$ is a positive integer not divisible by 2 or by $m$.

Corollary 2. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer such that $2 k+1$ is prime, and let $w$ be the word $x_{1}^{2}\left[x_{1}^{-2}, x_{2}^{-1}\right]^{k}$. Let $p \neq 2 k+1$ be an odd prime such that $p^{2} \not \equiv 1 \bmod 16$ and $p^{2} \not \equiv 1 \bmod (2 k+1)$, and let $m$ be the smallest positive integer with $p^{2 m} \equiv 1 \bmod (2 k+1)$. Then the word map $(x, y) \rightarrow w(x, y)$ is non-surjective on $\operatorname{PSL}_{2}(q)$ for all $q=p^{n}$ where $n$ is a positive integer not divisible by 2 or by $m$.

The corollary will be deduced from Theorem 1 at the end of the paper. Taking $k=2$ we obtain the following.

Corollary 3. If $w=x_{1}^{2}\left[x_{1}^{-2}, x_{2}^{-1}\right]^{2}$, then the word map $(x, y) \rightarrow w(x, y)$ is non-surjective on $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}\left(p^{2 r+1}\right)$ for all non-negative integers $r$ and all odd primes $p \neq 5$ such that $p^{2} \not \equiv 1 \bmod 16$ and $p^{2} \not \equiv 1 \bmod 5$.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $K$ be a field and $G=\operatorname{SL}_{2}(K)$, and let $\chi: G \rightarrow K$ be the trace map. A classical result of Fricke and Klein implies for every word $w \in F_{2}$, the free group of rank 2 , there is a unique polynomial $\tau(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[s, t, u]$ such that for all $x, y \in G, \chi(w(x, y))$ is equal to $\tau(w)$ evaluated at $s=\chi(x), t=\chi(y)$, $u=\chi(x y)$. We call $\tau(w)$ the trace polynomial of $w$. A proof of this fact, providing a constructive method of computing $\tau(w)$ for a given word $w$, can be found in $[9,2.2]$. The method is based on the following identities for traces of $2 \times 2$ matrices $A, B$ of determinant 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}(A B)=\operatorname{Tr}(B A) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(A) \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{2} B\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(A) \operatorname{Tr}(A B)-\operatorname{Tr}(B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in F_{2}$,

$$
(-1)^{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i} \tau\left(w^{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\tau(w)+\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right),
$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive $(2 k+1)$-th root of unity.
Proof. We adapt the proof of [9, Proposition 2.6]. Assume first that $w=x_{1}$. Let $A:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & s\end{array}\right)$. By the uniqueness of the trace polynomial,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(w^{i}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{i}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y^{i} & 0 \\
0 & y^{-i}
\end{array}\right)=y^{i}+y^{-i}, \text { where } y+y^{-1}=s . \text { Hence } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i} \tau\left(w^{i}\right)+(-1)^{k} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i} y^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i} y^{-i}+(-1)^{k} \\
& =y^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{i} y^{i} \\
& =y^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^{2 k}\left(y+\zeta^{i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(y+\zeta^{i}\right)\left(1+\zeta^{-i} y^{-1}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(s+\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $v, v_{1}, v_{2} \in F_{2}$,

$$
\tau\left(v\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\tau(v)\left(\tau\left(v_{1}\right), \tau\left(v_{2}\right), \tau\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)\right),
$$

so the general case is derived from the special case $w=x_{1}$ by polynomial evaluation at $s=\tau(w)$, i.e., setting $v=x_{1}^{i}, v_{1}=w, v_{2}=1$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The trace polynomial of $w=x_{1}^{2}\left[x_{1}^{-2}, x_{2}^{-1}\right]^{k}$ factors over $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right]$ as

$$
\left(s^{2}-2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(s^{4}-s^{3} t u+s^{2} t^{2}+s^{2} u^{2}-4 s^{2}+2+\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right),
$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive $(2 k+1)$-th root of unity.
Proof. Let $c=\left[x_{1}^{-2}, x_{2}^{-1}\right]$. We claim that

$$
\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k}\right)=\left(\tau\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}-2\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-i} \tau\left(c^{i}\right)+(-1)^{k}\right) .
$$

The result then follows by Lemma 2.1, since $\tau\left(x_{1}\right)=s$ and $\tau(c)=s^{4}-$ $s^{3} t u+s^{2} t^{2}+s^{2} u^{2}-4 s^{2}+2$.

The proof is by induction on $k$. The claim is easily verified for $k=1,2$. For $k>1$ it is equivalent to $\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k}\right)=\left(\tau\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}-2\right) \tau\left(c^{k}\right)-\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k-1}\right)$. Using the rule $\tau\left(x^{2} y\right)=\tau(x) \tau(x y)-\tau(y)$ for all $x, y \in F_{2}$ and the fact that $x_{1}^{-2} x_{2}^{-1}=x_{2}^{-1} x_{1}^{-2} c$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k}\right) & =\left(\tau\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}-1\right) \tau\left(c^{k}\right)-\tau\left(x_{1}\right) \tau\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{1}^{-2} x_{2}^{-1} c^{k-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\tau\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}-1\right) \tau\left(c^{k}\right)-\tau\left(x_{1}\right) \tau\left(x_{1}^{-1} c^{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\tau\left(x_{1}\right)^{2}-1\right) \tau\left(c^{k}\right)-\tau\left(x_{1}^{-2} c^{k}\right)-\tau\left(c^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it suffices to prove that $\tau\left(x_{1}^{-2} c^{k}\right)=\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k-1}\right)$. Now $\tau\left(x_{1}^{-2} c^{k}\right)=$ $\tau(c) \tau\left(c^{k-1} x_{1}^{-2}\right)-\tau\left(c^{k-2} x_{1}^{-2}\right)$. By induction, for $k \geq 3$ this is equal to $\tau(c) \tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k-2}\right)-\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k-3}\right)$, which is equal to $\tau\left(x_{1}^{2} c^{k-1}\right)$.

## Proof of Theorem 1

Let $q=p^{n}$ be as in the hypothesis of the theorem, let $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and let $w$ be the word $x_{1}^{2}\left[x_{1}^{-2}, x_{2}^{-1}\right]^{k}$. The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right]$ (see [12, Proposition 2.16]). Since $2 k+1$ is prime, $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right]$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $P \unlhd \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right]$ be a prime above $p$. Then $\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right] / P=\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$, in particular $\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Suppose that some triple $(s, t, u) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$ is a zero of the trace polynomial $\tau(w)$. By Lemma 2.2, $\tau(w)$ factors as

$$
\left(s^{2}-2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(s^{4}-s^{3} t u+s^{2} t^{2}+s^{2} u^{2}-4 s^{2}+2+\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}\right)
$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$, so $(s, t, u) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{3}$ must be a zero of one of the factors. Since $s^{2}-2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q},(s, t, u)$ must be a zero of $s^{4}-s^{3} t u+s^{2} t^{2}+$ $s^{2} u^{2}-4 s^{2}+2+\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i}$ for some $i$. This implies that $\zeta^{i}+\zeta^{-i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, which is a contradiction. Hence no element of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(q)$ of the form $w(x, y)$ can have trace zero.

## Proof of Corollary 2

Let $q=p^{n}$ be as in the hypothesis of the corollary. The hypothesis $p^{2} \not \equiv$ $1 \bmod 16$ is equivalent to $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=-1$. By the cyclotomic reciprocity law (see for example [12, Theorem 2.13]), the inertia degree of $p$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is $m$ or $2 m$. In the former case, $m$ must be odd. Thus in both cases the inertia degree of $p$ in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right)$ is $m$, since $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta+\zeta^{-1}\right)$ is a subfield of index 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Now $p^{2} \not \equiv 1 \bmod (2 k+1)$ implies $m>1$, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.

Remark. Our search for non-surjective words was assisted by [2], which lists representatives of minimal length for certain automorphism classes of words in $F_{2}$.
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